



DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT
ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR
450 110th Ave NE., P.O. BOX 90012
BELLEVUE, WA 98009-9012

OPTIONAL DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE (DNS) NOTICE MATERIALS

The attached materials are being sent to you pursuant to the requirements for the Optional DNS Process (WAC 197-11-355). A DNS on the attached proposal is likely. This may be the only opportunity to comment on environmental impacts of the proposal. Mitigation measures from standard codes will apply. Project review may require mitigation regardless of whether an EIS is prepared. A copy of the subsequent threshold determination for this proposal may be obtained upon request.

File No. 12-127243-LO

Project Name/Address: Valley Creek Flood Control Project/14070 NE 20th Street

Planner: Heidi M. Bedwell

Phone Number: 425-452-4862

Minimum Comment Period: December 13, 2012

Materials included in this Notice:

- ☒ Blue Bulletin
- ☒ Checklist
- ☒ Vicinity Map
- ☐ Plans
- ☐ Other:

WAC 197-11-960 Environmental checklist.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply." IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

Valley Creek NE 21st Street Flood Control Project

2. Name of applicant:

City of Bellevue, WA

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Contact Person: Bruce Jensen (425) 452-7240

City of Bellevue Utilities Department

450 110th Avenue NE

P.O. Box 90012

Bellevue, WA 98009

4. Date checklist prepared: **August 30, 2012**
5. Agency requesting checklist: **City of Bellevue, WA**
6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

The target dates for construction are June 15, 2013 through September 30, 2013. All in-water construction would occur during the in-water work windows defined by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW).

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

No

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

Critical Areas Report (David Evans Associates (DEA))

Biological Assessment (DEA)

Cultural Resources Overview (Northwest Archaeology Associates)

Geotechnical Report (Landau Associates)

Wetland and Stream Delineation (DEA)

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

No applications for governmental approvals directly affecting the property covered by this project are known.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

City of Bellevue Critical Areas Review

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 404 permit

Washington State Department of Ecology 401 Water Quality Certification

Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife for Hydraulic Project Approval

City of Bellevue Clearing and Grading

City of Bellevue Right-of-Way Use Permit

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

NE 21st Street just east of 140th Avenue NE floods at an estimate 2 to 5-year frequency. NE 21st Street is a dead end and provides the only access to properties along the roadway east of 140th Avenue NE. As a result, when the roadway floods, the flooding impedes access not only for the property owners, their customers and business associates, but also for emergency vehicles. The flooding is primarily the result of an under-sized Valley Creek culvert under the roadway as well as sediment accumulation in Valley Creek downstream of the NE 21st Street crossing exacerbated by a thick mesh of invasive Himalayan blackberries causing backwater in the channel. Sediment has accumulated downstream of the crossing such that the channel bottom elevation is currently at approximately the same elevation as the crown (top) of the NE 21st Street culvert. The sediment and debris

accumulation in the creek causes flow to back up contributing to the flooding of the roadway and also causes some of the base flow to be bypassed. In addition, the existing culvert under NE 21st Street does not meet Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) design criteria.

The project includes removing the existing 96-inch-wide by 30-inch-high by 47-foot-long Valley Creek cmp culvert crossing of NE 21st Street and replacing it with a larger fish-passable 14-foot-wide by 3-foot-and-6-inch-high 47-foot-long box culvert that meets WDFW design criteria. The project also includes removing the sediment that has accumulated in the creek channel and restoring approximately 150 linear feet of stream channel (plus 17 linear feet of where the restored channel transitions to existing where streambed gravel will be added only) and adjoining wetland and riparian habitat. In addition, the project includes removing and replacing the upstream high flow bypass structure with a structure that matches the elevation and capacity of the existing high flow bypass structure. In addition, the project includes improving the trashrack on the high flow bypass structure downstream of NE 21st Street to reduce the potential for clogging. Please refer to Attachment A, Sheets 4-8.

This project will help reduce the frequency of flooding of the roadway and minimize the potential of losing access to the properties along NE 21st Street. Fish passage and stream processes will be improved by installing a properly designed culvert and stopping the bypass system from intercepting base-flow, which will further facilitate fish passage. The project will improve in-stream habitat by replacing sand and silt that has washed into the stream channel from anthropogenic sources with stream gravel and large woody debris (LWD). The adjoining riparian zone will be enhanced by removing Himalayan blackberry and reed canarygrass, and replacing them with native species per the mitigation plan. The existing amount of debris that is composed primarily of Himalayan blackberry branches will be removed, which will further improve fish passage. The installation of LWD will improve in-stream habitat conditions by creating scour pools that can be utilized by salmonids.

Neither Valley Creek nor Sears Creek are expected to produce a significant amount of sediment in the future. Both creeks are routed through detention facilities which act as traps for sediment immediately upstream of the project site. The Valley Creek pond DMP 197 is about 1300 feet upstream of the project site on NE 24th Street. The Commissioner's Pond is a detention pond that captures sediment on Sears Creek and is located south of Bel-Red Road about 3000 feet upstream. There are no known sediment sources between the project and the ponds. In addition, the City of Redmond is currently designing a large detention vault on Sears Creek in the Overlake area. This vault will further reduce peak flows and the potential for sediment transport. In addition, by removing the sediment wedge and Himalayan blackberry debris in the creek channel, velocities through the culvert and restored channel will increase, decreasing the potential for aggradation.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The project is located at the NE 21st Street crossing of Valley Creek just east of 140th Avenue NE, Bellevue, WA in the roadway right-of-way, on Parcel 2725059003 (purchase by the City pending) as well as an easement on Parcel 2725059171. Section SE 27, T25N R5E. Please refer to Attachment A, Sheets 1 and 4.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

EVALUATION FOR
AGENCY USE ONLY

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. **Earth**

- a. General description of the site (circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous,
other

- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

The existing side slopes of the channel are as steep as 20 percent (5 horizontal to 1 vertical), however the overall gradient of the channel is 0.4 percent.

- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

The soils at the project site consist primarily of Bellingham silt loam.

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

No.

- e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

The project will require the excavation of approximately 250 cubic yards of material in order to remove and replace the existing culvert. Approximately 220 cubic yards of fill will be required to backfill the NE 21st Street roadway embankment around the new culvert.

In addition, 225 cubic yards of excavation and 87 cubic yards of fill in the form of streambed gravel will be required to restore the creek channel downstream of the culvert crossing.

Fill material will be on-site excavated materials, imported backfill, subgrade crushed rock backfill and streambed gravel.

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

As with any ground clearing and excavation, the erosion hazard will be increased. Excavation erosion may occur when Valley Creek flow is diverted around the project site and again when flows are returned to the channel to flow through the new culvert. However, erosion control measures, including bypassing the flow around the project site during construction, will be implemented to minimize or eliminate this concern.

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

No additional impervious will be added as a result of this project. NE 21st Street will be restored within its existing foot print.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Potential impacts associated with construction can be reduced or avoided by implementation of the conservation and performance measures outlined below:

Conservation and Performance Measures

General

- A Temporary Erosion Sediment Control (TESC) Plan will be developed and implemented.
- A qualified Erosion and Control Inspector will review all sediment control measures twice per week during construction. Qualified means the inspector will be a Certified Erosion Sediment Control Lead (CESCL).
- Turbidity will be monitored per the Turbidity Monitoring Plan outlined in Appendix G of the Critical Areas Report.
- A Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures plan that meets Ecology standards will be developed and implemented for the project to ensure that all pollutants and products will be controlled and contained.
- Seasonal restrictions applied to work conducted below the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) will be as required by a project specific hydraulic project approval (HPA) issued by the WDFW.
- Construction impacts will be confined to the minimum area necessary to complete the project.
- Removal of riparian vegetation will be minimized as much as possible.

Water Quality/Erosion Control

- All best management practices (BMPs) will be installed according to City of Bellevue standards and will be inspected and maintained throughout the life of the project.
- Staging and soil stockpile areas will be limited to those outlined in the clearing and grading permit. Staging areas will be fenced.
- Spill kits will be kept on-site.
- Fuels and other potentially hazardous materials will be kept in a secured area. Secured means fenced, and locked during non-work hours.
- Secondary containment will be required for all hazardous materials. Spill containment is required for generators, parked equipment, porta-potty, fuels, solvents, etc.
- The project will comply with water quality conditions identified by Ecology.
- Wash water resulting from wash down of equipment or work areas will be contained for proper treatment and/or disposal, and will not be directly discharged into state waters.
- There will be no discharge of oil, fuels, or chemicals to surface waters, or onto land where there is a potential for reentry into surface waters.
- No cleaning solvents or chemicals used for tools or equipment cleaning will be discharged to ground or surface waters.
- The contractor will regularly check fuel hoses, oil drums, oil or fuel transfer valves, fittings, etc. for leaks, and will maintain and store materials properly to prevent spills.
- BMPs will be used on all project activities to control and prevent sediments from entering aquatic systems.

In-water and Over-water Work

- All fish will be removed from the work area prior to any in-water work activities per the Fish Salvage Plan in Appendix F of the Critical Areas Report.
- Materials removed from below the OHWM, will be placed in an upland location where they cannot enter water bodies.
- Materials, such as rock and LWD, placed within the water, will be free of sediment and or other contaminants.
- Water pumped from work isolation areas will be treated to remove suspended sediments prior to returning to the water body. Discharge will occur in such a manner as not to cause erosion.

- Mechanical equipment will not enter the stream channel until the project area has been dewatered and fish salvage has been completed.
- Mechanical equipment operating in the project area will be inspected daily for leaks. Any equipment found to be leaking will immediately be fixed or removed from the project site.

a. **Air**

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Potential emissions include dust associated with moving soil on the site during the earthwork phase (e.g., land clearing and excavation). The extent of dust emitted to the air at any given time is dependent on the level of activity, soil content and moisture, wind speed and type of equipment.

Emissions also include equipment exhaust and short-term odors associated with some construction activities (e.g., asphalt paving).

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

None known.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

Possible BMPs to reduce or control emissions include:

**Cover, wet or lower freeboard on material transported by truck
Clear roadways of mud and particulate matter
Route and schedule construction truck activity to avoid traffic delays and congestion to abate concentrations of exhaust emissions and
Revegetate exposed soils as quickly as possible after grading activities.**

3. Water**a. Surface:**

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Wetlands and other waters of the U.S. have been delineated on the project site by David Evans and Associates, Inc. (DEA 2012).

- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Yes, the majority of the project work will occur over and within Valley Creek and its associated wetlands at the NE 21st Street crossing to approximately 150 feet downstream. Please refer to Attachment A Sheet 4-8.

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

The amounts of excavation or fill to be removed or placed below ordinary high water (OHW) or in wetlands are as follows:

- **Channel restoration 139 cubic yards of excavation and 71 cubic yards of backfill below OHW.**
- **Channel restoration 26 cubic yards of excavation and 7 cubic yards of backfill in wetlands.**
- **Culvert replacement 21 cubic yards of excavation and 21 cubic yards of backfill below OHW.**

Note that 71 cubic yards of excavation in the channel is to over-excavate in order to place 71 cubic yards of streambed gravel to restore the channel. Fill material will be on-site excavated materials, imported backfill, subgrade crushed rock backfill and streambed gravel.

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

A temporary stream diversion around the site will be required during construction. Cofferdams will be installed to isolate the project site from Valley Creek in order to prevent sediment disturbance during the culvert installation and channel restoration from affecting Valley Creek. Stream flows will be diverted around the project site via gravity pipes. Fish will be salvaged from the project-reach, and block nets will be used to isolate the work area. The diversion will only be activated once the fish have been removed from the work area. Refer to Attachment A, Sheets 9, 10 and 11.

- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

Yes, please refer to Attachment A, Sheet 4.

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

The project does not involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters.

b. Ground:

- 1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

It is not anticipated that groundwater will be withdrawn nor will water be discharged to groundwater.

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

Not applicable.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

The project will not expand the existing roadway nor will it modify the existing street drainage. No stormwater discharges are associated with this project.

- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

No waste materials will be generated from this project.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:

The contractor will be required to isolate the work area from streamflow during construction and provide a Spill Prevention, Control and Containment (SPCC) Plan. The plan would include specific notification procedures, cleanup and disposal instructions for different products and quick-response control, containment and cleanup measure that will be available on-site prior to the start of construction. As noted above in erosion and sediment control BMPs would be used to reduce and control sediment impacts.

4. Plants

a. Check or circle types of vegetation found on the site:

- ☒ deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other (Willow)
☐ evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
☒ shrubs
☒ grass
☐ pasture
☐ crop or grain
☐ wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
☐ water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
☒ other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

The predominance of vegetation in the project vicinity that will be removed or altered is composed of non-native species such as Himalayan blackberry (*Rubus armeniacus*) and reed canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinacea*). Native species consist primarily of Pacific (*Salix lucida*) and Sitka (*S. sitchensis*) willow, which are most prevalent along the stream channel downstream of NE 21st Street.

c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

No threatened or endangered plant species occur in the project vicinity.

- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Native plants within the project footprint will be avoided to the maximum extent practicable. However, restoring the stream channel will require removing some native willows along the stream bank. The mitigation plan includes replacing native plants impacted by construction, but also includes removing non-native invasive plants that dominate the riparian zone and Wetland B and replacing them with native trees and shrubs. The mitigation plan has been designed to increase the overall abundance and diversity of native vegetation in the project area.

Please refer to Attachment A, Sheets 12-19.

5. Animals

- a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:

mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other: (raccoon, and small rodents)

fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other: (western brook lamprey and sunfish)

- b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

No threatened or endangered animals occur in the project vicinity. Suitable habitat for Chinook salmon and steelhead trout is potentially present in Valley Creek, but highly degraded. No suitable habitat for bull trout occurs in Valley Creek or Kelsey Creek. Valley Creek is tributary to Kelsey Creek, which flows into Lake Washington via Mercer Slough. The Kelsey Creek basin does not support a self-sustaining population of Chinook salmon or steelhead and bull trout. This basin has been extensively surveyed for salmonids, including both annual spawner surveys and periodic juvenile surveys. Bull trout and steelhead trout have never been documented in the Kelsey Creek basin. However, "rainbow" trout were observed in 1996. Chinook salmon sometimes stray into the Kelsey Creek basin, with abundance being highly variable. In 1999, the WDFW spawner surveys reported a return of 228 Chinook salmon in Kelsey Creek, but in more recent years the number observed has ranged from a low of one in 2010 and 2011, to a maximum of 81 in 2004.

The nearest critical habitat for bull trout and Chinook salmon is in Lake Washington, which is approximately 5.2 miles downstream from the project area. No spawning habitat occurs in the project area as the substrate is dominated by sand and silt. Kelsey Creek, which does contain some spawning and rearing habitat, is located approximately 0.3-mile downstream of the project area.

The proposed project would improve stream habitat by replacing the sand and silt with gravel, creating sinuosity, installing large woody debris (LWD) in the stream channel, and replacing non-native invasive species with native vegetation. The project would improve fish and wildlife habitat between NE 21st Street and NE 20th Street while reducing localized flooding.

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

No.

- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

The mitigation plan has been designed to improve wildlife function of the riparian corridor and associated wetlands along Valley Creek. This will be accomplished by increasing the overall abundance and diversity of native plants that can be used as cover and refugia, but also for foraging and nesting habitat.

6. Energy and natural resources

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

N/A

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties?
If so, generally describe.

N/A

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal?
List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

N/A

7. Environmental health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal?
If so, describe.

A natural gas pipeline will be relocated from its current locations such that it passes underneath the new culvert.

- 1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

No special emergency services are required.

- 2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

The natural gas line will be shut off and cleared prior to relocation to prevent risk of explosion.

- b. **Noise**

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

None. The project site resides within an area of commercial businesses. Noise levels include vehicular traffic routed by or through the project area.

- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Increased short-term noise levels would occur from construction equipment during working hours throughout the construction period. Construction hours would occur primarily from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm Monday through Friday and 9:00 am to 6 pm Saturdays which are not legal holidays and in accordance with the City of Bellevue Noise Ordinance. However, since the replacement of the culvert will require a temporary road closure, this action may require either night-time or weekend work to minimize impacts to commercial businesses along the dead end road.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

None.

8. Land and shoreline use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

The site is currently a 2-lane City street providing access to adjacent commercial businesses. In addition, the southern portion of the project site is currently used as a natural stream corridor.

b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

No

c. Describe any structures on the site.

The structures on the site include an existing 96-inch by 30-inch corrugated metal culvert, a high flow bypass weir structure at the north side NE 21st Street and an additional high flow bypass weir structure at the south side of the NE 21st Street crossing.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

The existing culvert and upstream high flow bypass structures will be removed in their entirety. The high flow bypass structure on the downstream side of the NE 21st Street crossing will be modified to improve the trashrack to reduce the tendency to clog.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

Commercial Land Use

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

Commercial Land Use

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

The shoreline master program does not provide a designation for the project site.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.

Yes, Valley Creek and associated riparian area as well as wetlands lie within the project area.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

None

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

N/A

1. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The project would replace the existing culvert crossing and restore the stream channel downstream and therefore the land use of the site would not be altered.

9. Housing

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

N/A

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

N/A

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

N/A

10. Aesthetics

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

The project will replace the existing culvert within the existing roadway prism without increasing the height of the roadway.

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

None

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

The new features of the culvert and roadway will be similar to those that currently exist. Invasive non-native vegetation will be removed from the project site and native vegetation planted as part of the project.

11. Light and glare

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

None

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

N/A

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

None

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

N/A

12. Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

There are no designated recreational opportunities in the area.

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

No

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

None

13. Historic and cultural preservation

- a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.

None known. A cultural and historic investigation is being conducted as part of the permitting process. The results are expected to be available in the fall of 2012.

- b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

None known. A cultural and historic investigation is being conducted as part of the permitting process. The results are expected to be available in the fall of 2012.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

A cultural and historic investigation is being conducted as part of the permitting process. The results are expected to be available in the fall of 2012.

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

The project will occur partially on a segment of NE 21st Street east of 140th Avenue NE. Refer to Attachment A, Sheets 1 and 4.

- b. Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

There is no public transit stops within the project limits. The nearest transit stop is located on 140th Avenue NE about 200 feet from the project site.

- c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

None

- d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

No new roads or streets are proposed with this project. The existing NE 21st Street will be reconstructed after the new culvert is installed.

- e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No.

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.

None

- g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

The culvert crossing will be constructed one half at a time in order to preserve access to businesses east of the creek during construction.

15. Public services

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

No.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

N/A

16. Utilities


- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other.

- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

No new utilities are proposed as part of the project. The existing water, gas, power and communications will be relocated under the culvert crossing.

C. SIGNATURE

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: 

Date Submitted: 10/19/12

CITY OF BELLEVUE

VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST STREET FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

CRITICAL AREAS REPORT

King County, Washington

SEI0000-0003

Prepared for:

CITY OF BELLEVUE

Utilities Department
450 110th Avenue NE
P.O. Box 90012
Bellevue, WA 98009

Prepared by:

DAVID EVANS AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

415 118th Avenue SE
Bellevue, WA 98005

October 11, 2012

CITY OF BELLEVUE

VALLEY CREEK - NE 21ST STREET FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

CRITICAL AREAS REPORT

King County, Washington

SEEI0000-0003

Prepared for:

CITY OF BELLEVUE

Utilities Department
405 110th Avenue NE
P.O. Box 98009
Bellevue, WA 98009

Prepared by:

Scott Swarts
Senior Fish and Wildlife Biologist

DAVID EVANS AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

415 118th Avenue SE
Bellevue, WA 98005
Phone: 425.519.6593
Fax: 425.519.5361

October 11, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1
2.0	METHODS	4
2.1	Preliminary Data Gathering and Review.....	4
2.2	Field Investigation.....	5
3.0	RESULTS	6
3.1	Preliminary Data Gathering and Review.....	6
3.1.1	WDFW PHS Data	6
3.1.2	Streams.....	6
3.1.3	WDNR–NHP Data	12
3.1.4	Wetlands.....	13
3.1.5	U.S. Department of Agriculture Soil Data	13
3.1.6	Geologic Hazard Areas.....	16
3.1.7	Floodplains	16
3.1.8	Shoreline Master Program.....	16
3.1.9	Amphibians and Reptiles.....	16
3.1.10	Mammals.....	18
3.1.11	Birds	18
3.1.12	Federally Listed Species	19
3.2	Field Investigation.....	20
3.2.1	Wetlands.....	20
3.2.2	Streams.....	22
3.2.3	Uplands	22
4.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	23
4.1	Existing system.....	23
4.2	Flooding	24
4.3	Project Proposal.....	24
4.3.1	Construction Equipment.....	24
4.3.2	Project Schedule.....	25
4.3.3	Noise Levels	25
5.0	IMPACT MINIMIZATION MEASURES	26
6.0	PROJECT IMPACTS.....	28
6.1	Analysis of Alternatives	29
6.2	Salmonid Habitat Impacts	29
6.3	Wildlife Impacts.....	31
7.0	MITIGATION.....	32
7.1	Goals and Objectives.....	32
7.2	Monitoring Plan.....	32
7.3	Performance Standards.....	34
7.3.1	Contingencies.....	35
7.3.2	Year 5 Success and Mitigation Approval	35
7.4	Maintenance	35
8.0	CONCLUSIONS	37
9.0	REFERENCES.....	38

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: USBEM Summary	11
Table 2: Water Quality Summary	12
Table 3: Rare Plants of King County	13
Table 4: Amphibians and Reptiles	16
Table 5: Mammal Record Summary for T25N R05E.....	18
Table 6: Breeding Bird Summary for T25N R05E	19
Table 6: Wetland Summary	20
Table 7: Construction Equipment List, Use, and Reference Maximum Noise Levels	25
Table 8: Salmonid Habitat Project Effects Matrix	30
Table 9: Maintenance Tasks	35

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Vicinity Map.....	2
Figure 2: Aerial Site Map	3
Figure 3: Stream Map	7
Figure 4: Juvenile Fish Distribution Map	8
Figure 5: Kelsey Creek Salmon Redd-Based Escapement.	9
Figure 6: Chinook, Coho, and Sockeye Salmon Counts from the Chittenden Locks from 2000 to 2010. .	10
Figure 7: Valley Creek Basin Map	14
Figure 8: City of Bellevue Sensitive Areas Map	15
Figure 9: FEMA Flood Map	17

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Existing Conditions Exhibit
Appendix B – Site Photographs
Appendix C – Wetland A and B Rating Form
Appendix D – Data Plot Forms 1 - 4
Appendix E – Design Drawings
Appendix F – Fish Salvage and Dewatering

ACRONYMS

BMPs	Best Management Practices
CESCL	Certified Erosion Sediment Control Lead
CMP	Corrugated metal pipe
Corps	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
DEA	David Evans and Associates, Inc.
DP	Data plot
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EFH	Essential fish habitat
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
GIS	Geographic Information System
HPA	Hydraulic Project Approval
HUC	Hydrologic Unit Code
LWD	Large woody debris
NHP	Natural Heritage Program
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NWI	National Wetlands Inventory
NTU	Nephelometric turbidity unit
OHWM	Ordinary High Water Mark
PEM	Palustrine emergent
PHS	Priority habitats and species
SCS	Soil Conservation Service
SPIF	Specific Project Information Form
SR	State Route
TESC	Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control
USBEAM	Urban Stream Baseline Evaluation Method
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	United States Geological Survey
WDFW	Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
WDNR	Washington State Department of Natural Resources
WRIA	Water Resource Inventory Area
WSGA	Washington State Gap Analysis

This page intentionally left blank.


1.0 INTRODUCTION

At the request of the City of Bellevue (City), David Evans and Associates, Inc. (DEA) conducted this investigation to document the presence of critical areas, existing habitat conditions, level of potential wildlife use, potential project-related impacts, and mitigation associated with the Valley Creek Flood Control Project (Project). Regulated critical areas within the City include streams, wetlands, buffers, shorelines, geological hazard areas (landslide hazards, steep slopes, and coal mine hazard areas), habitats associated with species of local importance, and areas of special flood hazard. This investigation also evaluated priority habitats and species (PHS) as identified by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) and federally listed species, critical habitat, and essential fish habitat (EFH) that could occur in the project vicinity.


The project area is within Lake Washington Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) 17110012, while the project site is at approximate latitude and longitude 47.62859° N by -122.15307° W in Section 27, Township 25 north, Range 05 east, (W.M.). The project site encompasses 0.4 acre and includes the culvert crossing under NE 21st Street and an approximately 150-foot-long section of Valley Creek between NE 21st Street and NE 20th Street (**Figures 1 and 2**). Valley Creek is tributary to Kelsey Creek within Water Resource Inventory Area (WRIA) 8: Cedar–Sammamish Basin. All project-related activities are within City right-of-way and parcel number 2725059003. The City is currently negotiating the purchase of parcel 2725059003, which will preserve and protect the stream channel, wetland, and associated vegetated uplands from future encroachment.

The project vicinity is mostly developed and consists of commercial uses. The project area includes NE 21st Street, Valley Creek, Sears Creek at its confluence with Valley Creek, two wetlands, and vegetated uplands. Native vegetation is present, but the predominance of vegetation in the project vicinity is composed of non-native species such as Himalayan blackberry (*Rubus armeniacus*) and reed canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinacea*). Native species consist primarily of Pacific (*Salix lucida*) and Sitka (*S. sitchensis*) willow, which are most prevalent along the stream channel downstream of NE 21st Street. Stream habitat in the project area is degraded due to the substrate being composed almost entirely of sand and silt, and blockages composed of pieces of Himalayan blackberry trapped in branches and trunks of fallen willows. These conditions, including the undersized culvert under NE 21st Street, are factors contributing to periodic flooding events that result in road and business closures.

The City proposes to reduce flooding by replacing the existing undersized culvert that does not meet WDFW design criteria with a larger culvert that does meet WDFW design criteria, and removing excess sediment downstream of NE 21st Street. Sediment has accumulated downstream of the crossing such that the channel bottom elevation is currently at approximately the same elevation as the top of the NE 21st Street culvert. This results in the stormwater high-flow bypass system becoming engaged during base-flow, which then exasperates low-flow passage concerns downstream of NE 21st Street. The project would improve stream habitat by replacing the sand and silt with gravel, creating sinuosity, installing large woody debris (LWD) in the stream channel, and replacing non-native invasive species with native vegetation. Minor permanent wetland impacts are being mitigated by enhancing degraded wetland at a 11.7:1 ratio, which exceeds the required 8:1 mitigation ratio. Minor permanent buffer impacts are being mitigated by enhancing degraded buffer at a 56.6:1 ratio, which far exceeds the typical 1:1 mitigation ratio for buffer impacts. In summary, the proposed project would improve fish and wildlife habitat between NE 21st Street and NE 20th Street while reducing localized flooding.



Source: www.nationalgeographic.com/topo



City of Bellevue Valley Creek at NE 21st Flood Control Project

Critical Areas Report

SEEI0000-0003

September 2012

Figure 1 – Site Map





LEGEND

- Flow Direction
- Stream
- Culvert

0 250
Scale in Feet



Source: Google Earth Pro

City of Bellevue Valley Creek at NE 21st Flood Control Project

Critical Areas Report

SEEI0000-0003

September 2012

Figure 2 –
Aerial View



DAVID EVANS
AND ASSOCIATES INC.

2.0 METHODS

This report was prepared following the review of the public domain resource data and multiple site visits.

2.1 PRELIMINARY DATA GATHERING AND REVIEW

Published information about local critical areas was reviewed for evidence of wetlands, streams, PHS, steep slopes, coal mine hazards, erosion hazards, floodplains, and aquifer recharge zones.

The WDFW–PHS program and the Washington State Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) - Natural Heritage Program (NHP) (WDNR 2012) were consulted for documented occurrences of priority habitats or species, rare plants, and high quality native ecosystems in the general vicinity of the site. Priority habitats include, but are not limited to, such features as wetlands, riparian areas, snag-rich areas, caves, cliffs, oak woodlands, rocky shorelines, and old-growth forests. Priority species are plants and animals listed by the state or federal government as endangered, threatened, sensitive, candidate, or species of concern. The potential use of the project area by mammals, birds, amphibians, and reptiles was investigated through review of Washington State Gap Analysis (WSGA) data and a review of existing habitat conditions. The information reviewed included:

- Sensitive Areas Map Folio, King County, Washington (1990)
 - *A Catalog of Washington Streams and Salmon Utilization, Volume 1, Puget Sound Region*. Washington Department of Fisheries (Williams et al. 1975)
 - *Salmon and Steelhead Habitat Limiting Factors Report for the Cedar–Sammamish Basin (Water Resource Inventory Area 8)* (Kerwin 2001)
 - Breeding Birds of Washington State: Location Data and Predicted Distributions (Smith et al. 1997).
 - Terrestrial Mammals of Washington State: Location Data and Predicted Distributions (Johnson and Cassidy 1997).
 - Amphibians and Reptiles of Washington State: Location Data and Predicted Distributions (Dvornich, McAllister, and Aubry 1997).
 - WDNR–NHP data (accessed 2012): *Sections that Contain Natural Heritage Features Associated with Wetlands*. Available at:
<http://www1.dnr.wa.gov/nhp/refdesk/datasearch/wnhpwetlands.pdf>
 - WDNR–NHP Geographic Information System (GIS) data. Available at:
<http://www1.dnr.wa.gov/nhp/refdesk/gis/index.html>
 - National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) Online Mapper, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS): <http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/mapper.html>
 - City of Bellevue – Sensitive Areas Notebook. April 1987.
 - City of Bellevue Mapping Services – Drainage Basin Maps. Available at:
<http://www.ci.bellevue.wa.us/drainage-basins.htm>
 - United States Geological Survey (USGS) mapping via National Geographic TOPO mapping software.

- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) – Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS): Web Soil Survey. Available at: <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/>
- 2008 - 2011 Salmon Spawner Surveys Kelsey Creek, West Tributary, and Coal Creek – Bellevue Salmon Spawning Surveys. City of Bellevue, Utilities Engineering Division.
- City of Bellevue 2010 Kelsey Creek Basin – Juvenile Fish Use Report. City of Bellevue, Utilities Department. December 2010.
- Final Report – City of Bellevue Stream Typing Inventory. City of Bellevue, Utilities Division. 20 May 2009.
- Bellevue Critical Areas Update – Streams Inventory. City of Bellevue. March 2003.
- Fish Use in Kelsey, Valley, Coal and Richards Creeks. City of Bellevue, Utilities Environment Division. November 2002.
- Assessing Stream-Dwelling Fishes in Basins of the Lake Washington Watershed, Summer 1996. King County Department of Natural Resources, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Muckleshoot Indian Tribe. June 1997.
- King County Stream and River Water Quality Monitoring Data – King County Water and Land Resources Division. Data available at: <http://green.kingcounty.gov/WLR/Waterres/StreamsData/WaterShedInfo.aspx?Locator=0440>

2.2 FIELD INVESTIGATION

DEA performed site visits in July and September 2012 to verify preliminary data findings, flag the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) of Valley and Sears creeks, delineate wetland boundaries, and document existing habitat conditions and wildlife use.

Wetlands were delineated based on the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region (Version 2)* dated May 2010 (U. S. Army Corps of Engineers [Corps] 2010). Wetlands were categorized based on the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington (Ecology 2004) with the updated wetland rating form (updated October 2008).

3.0 RESULTS

3.1 PRELIMINARY DATA GATHERING AND REVIEW


3.1.1 WDFW PHS Data

The WDFW–PHS data obtained for this project identified Valley Creek as being utilized by priority fish including coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*), fall Chinook salmon (*O. tshawytscha*), and resident cutthroat trout (*O. clarki*) (WDFW 2012). Other salmonids documented in the overall Kelsey Creek Basin include sockeye salmon (*O. nerka*) and rainbow trout (*O. mykiss*). No other PHS have been identified as existing on-site or within the project vicinity. Priority fish use of Sears Creek was not identified in the WDFW–PHS data. The closest PHS polygon is a wetland located approximately 0.2 mile north of NE 21st Street. No other PHS are mapped within approximately one mile of the project area.

3.1.2 Streams

The project area includes an approximately 150-foot-long reach of Valley Creek in the vicinity of where Sears Creek enters Valley Creek between NE 21st Street and NE 20th Street. Valley Creek merges with Kelsey Creek near river mile 4.8, which eventually flows into Mercer Slough (**Figure 3**). Kelsey Creek (Stream Number 08-0259) is described as a 6.3-mile-long tributary to Lake Washington (Williams et al. 1975). The Kelsey Creek Basin includes Valley Creek, Goff Creek, Richards Creek, Sturtevant Creek, Sears Creek, and Mercer Slough. The Kelsey Creek Basin contains 10,870 acres and over 19 miles of stream channel. The mainstem of Kelsey Creek originates from the Larsen Lake/Lake Hills Greenbelt wetlands. Both Valley and Sears creeks are considered Type F streams. They both contain year-round flow and are used by salmonids. The stream buffer width of a Type F stream within the City of Bellevue depends on if the site is considered undeveloped (100 feet) or developed (50 feet). The project area is abutted by commercial development, so the stream buffer should be 50 feet wide. Since the existing riparian zone is very narrow, a 50-foot-wide buffer extends onto existing commercial developments.

Fish Use. Fish use in the Kelsey Creek Basin has been monitored extensively. Initial studies in 1996 (Ludwa et al. 1997) documented eight species in Kelsey Creek including coho salmon, cutthroat and rainbow trout, sculpin (*Cottus* sp.), western brook lamprey (*Lampetra richardsoni*), dace (*Rhinichthys* spp.), bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*), and large scale sucker (*Catostomus macrocheilus*). Additional species documented during more recent salmon spawner and juvenile use surveys includes Chinook and sockeye salmon, three-spine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*), pumpkinseed (*Lepomis gibbosus*), carp (*Cyprinus carpio*), crappie (*Pomoxis* sp.), and largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*). Two of the sample sites in the 1996 study were in Valley Creek, which captured coho salmon, cutthroat trout, and bluegill. One site in the 2010 Kelsey Creek Basin Juvenile Fish Use Report (DEA and City of Bellevue 2010) was in Valley Creek, which captured western brook lamprey, coho salmon, and cutthroat trout (**Figure 4**). Resident cutthroat trout are the most widely distributed and abundant salmonid species in the Kelsey Creek Basin. Based on weekly spawning ground surveys conducted from 2000 to 2010 alive adult Chinook salmon have been observed in Kelsey Creek from August 30 to November 1; sockeye salmon from August 30 to November 8; coho salmon from October 11 to December 20; and anadromous or adfluvial cutthroat trout from November 22 to December 13. August 30 is the earliest start date for these surveys so some species could be present earlier.



Source: Washington Department of Fisheries, 1975.

City of Bellevue
Valley Creek at NE 21st Flood Control Project

Critical Areas Report

SEEI0000-0003

September 2012

Figure 3 – Streams Map



DAVID EVANS
AND ASSOCIATES INC.



City of Bellevue
Valley Creek at NE 21st Flood Control Project

Critical Areas Report

SEEI0000-0003

September 2012

Figure 4 – Juvenile Fish Distribution Map



DAVID EVANS AND ASSOCIATES INC.

Anadromous Salmon Abundance and Production. Salmon abundance in the Kelsey Creek Basin has been highly variable over the past decade. Individual adult Chinook salmon have been documented throughout the basin. In 1999, the WDFW spawner surveys reported a return of 228 Chinook salmon in Kelsey Creek, but in more recent years the number observed has ranged from a low of one in 2010 and 2011, to a maximum of 81 in 2004. Coho salmon are now rarely observed in Kelsey Creek, ranging from a low of zero in 2004, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011, to a high of three in 2007. Sockeye salmon use is also variable, ranging from a high of 37 in 2006 and 10 in 2004, but none were observed in any of the other years surveyed. No steelhead trout or bull trout have been observed in the Kelsey Creek Basin during the WDFW surveys. Suitable habitat for bull trout is not present due to high water temperature, low elevation of headwaters, and overall degraded habitat conditions.


One method used to estimate salmonid production of a stream or basin is to use the redd-based method to generate an escapement estimate by taking the total number of redds documented in each stream, and then multiplying that number by the average number of fish per redd. In this case, the spawning ground escapement, E_r , is estimated by:

$$E_r = R * \Phi$$

where R is the total number of redds and Φ represents the average number of fish per redd. In the Cedar/Sammamish watershed, we assume that Φ is 2.5 with one female and 1.5 males per redd. The reason the ratio is 1.5 males per redd is to account for the fact that males often fertilize more than one redd.

In 2010 and 2011, salmon spawning escapement (redd-based) for Kelsey Creek was estimated at zero Chinook, zero sockeye, and zero coho. Estimated salmon escapement from 2000 through 2010 in Kelsey Creek is depicted below in **Figure 5**.


Figure 5: Kelsey Creek Salmon Redd-Based Escapement.



Chinook salmon escapement in the Kelsey Creek Basin is typically below 20, while the arithmetic mean is approximately 46. The mean of 46 is skewed due to high escapement estimates in 2006 and 2007 that ranged from 180 to 193. Coho salmon escapement is variable, and ranged from zero in 2002, 2004, 2005, and 2010, to a peak of 40 during 2000. Sockeye salmon escapement is also variable, ranging from zero in 2003, 2008, 2009, and 2010, to a peak of 488 in 2006 and 178 in 2000. The peak sockeye escapement estimates in 2000 and 2006 mirror peak counts through the Chittenden Locks when 414,976 were counted in 2000 and 418,015 in 2006. Based on the available data from 2000 through 2010, the arithmetic mean escapement for sockeye salmon in Kelsey Creek is approximately 71, while the mean passing through the Chittenden Locks during this same time period is 240,516.

The results of salmonid spawning surveys in the Kelsey Creek basin indicate production of anadromous salmonids is lower than expected for all species. The absence of coho salmon mirrors the overall decline of this species based on total counts at the Chittenden Locks from 2000 through 2010 (**Figure 6**).

Figure 6: Chinook, Coho, and Sockeye Salmon Counts from the Chittenden Locks from 2000 to 2010.



Chinook salmon have not declined as drastically as coho salmon in the Lake Washington watershed, but their numbers are augmented by hatchery production as well as natural production in the Cedar River and other streams in the Sammamish basin. The low abundance of Chinook salmon in Kelsey Creek is likely due to several factors. On the local scale, Kelsey Creek does not contain an independent population of Chinook salmon, but is part of the Sammamish population and receives strays from other systems/hatcheries. Other factors that could potentially reduce or limit the use of Kelsey Creek by Chinook salmon include the presence of numerous natural and artificial blockages, degraded habitat conditions, elevated stream temperatures, poor water quality, and predation. The blockages, especially the beaver dams in the lower reaches, would primarily impact Chinook that return early in the season prior to the fall rains.

Stream Habitat. Stream habitat conditions in the Kelsey Creek Basin were previously assessed by the City of Bellevue (2003). Stream habitat conditions were assessed based on the Urban Stream Baseline Evaluation Method (USBEM). The results are summarized below in **Table 1**.

Table 1: USBEM Summary

	Kelsey Creek	Valley Creek	Sears Creek
Percent of culvert in stream with salmonids	5	5	22
Percent over 12% gradient	8	0	0
Percent length used by salmonids	72	35	71
Percent length in culvert	12	15	43
Ratio drainage/open channel	5	4	20
Total impervious area of storm drainage basin	42	32	64
Percent impervious area within 100 feet	21	23	48
Biological Integrity	15	No data	No data

In-stream habitat conditions in the Kelsey Creek Basin are variable, but typical of most urbanized streams in that they have been degraded by urbanization. According to the WRIA 8 Steering Committee (2002) (City of Bellevue 2003), percent fines in Kelsey Creek is a limiting factor, ranging from 22 percent in 1982 to 39 percent in 1996. According to May (1996), percent fines of around 15 percent is suggested as the upper limit to ensure acceptable spawning and incubating success. Average pool frequency was less than 13 per mile, while the average amount of woody debris per mile was less than 17. Based on data from 1996, less than 5 percent mature riparian forest remains in the basin.

Water Quality. Kelsey Creek is categorized as “Core Summer Salmonid Habitat” for aquatic life use and “Primary Contact” for recreational use. Kelsey Creek has also been assigned an additional “Supplemental Spawning and Incubation Protection” temperature criteria of 13°C, which is to be applied from September 15 through May 15.

Water Quality issues identified by Ecology through the 2008 303(d) listing process include violations of dissolved oxygen and fecal coliform. Overall water quality is considered degraded due to high levels of bacteria, high nutrient levels, pesticides, high summer temperatures, and low summer dissolved oxygen levels. The City studied stormwater runoff at 10-year intervals, which indicated lead concentrations have decreased but concentrations of other heavy metals has increased. Copper was noted as exceeding the acute toxicity criteria in 50 percent or more of the stormwater samples taken at each site (Kerwin 2001). Furthermore, based on benthic invertebrate index scores, monitoring conducted by King County indicate that conditions in Kelsey Creek were very poor for benthic invertebrates. No long-lived species were found, and only one individual that was considered sensitive to degraded conditions was found during two years of monitoring. **Table 2** summarizes King County water quality data collected at Station 0444 from 1977 to 2008.

Table 2: Water Quality Summary

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	6.5	13.0	9.4
Temperature (°C)	-1.0	19.1	10.6
Turbidity (NTU)	0	135	4
pH	6.5	8.2	7.3
Conductivity (mSIEMS/cm)	98	255	203
Total Suspended Solids	0.7	116.0	7.1
Ortho-Phosphorus (mg/L)	0.020	0.130	0.050
Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	0.019	0.491	0.085
Ammonia (mg/L)	0.007	0.262	0.031
Nitrate (mg/L)	0.119	2.700	0.616
Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	0.274	1.840	0.906
Fecal Coliform (CFU/100ML)	0	14700	488

The University of Washington and U.S. Geological Survey have conducted several hydrological studies in Kelsey Creek, which revealed that although the total volume expressed as a monthly average increased only slightly, storm peaks increased two to three times over the same historical period. This is due to the high percent of impervious surface in the basin, which for the most part is immediately directed towards the stream channel.

3.1.3 WDNR–NHP Data

A review of *Sections that Contain Natural Heritage Features Associated with Wetlands* did not include T25N R05E S27 (data current as of November 4, 2011). The WDNR reports that 27 rare plants occur in King County (**Table 3**).

The 27 rare plants identified as potentially occurring in King County by the WDNR typically have very specific habitat requirements. These range from being associated with prairie/grassland habitats, bogs and fens, freshwater wetlands or lake margins, high elevation/subalpine habitats, old growth forests, or coniferous forests. These types of habitats are generally not present in the project vicinity, while the on-site wetlands are degraded. A search of the WDNR–NHP GIS data did not produce any records of rare plants or high quality native ecosystems in the project vicinity.

Table 3: Rare Plants of King County

Common Name	Scientific Name	State Status ¹	Federal Status ¹	Historic Record
Swamp Sandwort	<i>Arenaria paludicola</i>	X	LE	Yes
Vancouver Ground Cone	<i>Boschniakia hookeri</i>	R1		No
Stalked Moonwort	<i>Botrychium pedunculosum</i>	S	SC	No
Alaska Harebell	<i>Campanula lasiocarpa</i>	S	None	No
Bristly Sedge	<i>Carex comosa</i>	S	None	No
Large-awn Sedge	<i>Carex macrochaeta</i>	T	None	Yes
Few-flowered Sedge	<i>Carex pauciflora</i>	S	None	No
Long-styled Sedge	<i>Carex stylosa</i>	S	None	No
Clubmoss Cassiope	<i>Cassiope lycopodioides</i>	T	None	No
Golden Paintbrush	<i>Castilleja levisecta</i>	E	LT	Yes
Golden Chinquapin	<i>Chrysolepis chrysophylla</i>	S	None	No
Tall Bugbane	<i>Cimicifuga elata</i>	S	SC	Yes
Spleenwort-leaved Goldthread	<i>Coptis asplenifolia</i>	S	None	No
Toothed Wood Fern	<i>Dryopteris carthusiana</i>	R1	None	No
Black Lily	<i>Fritillaria camschatcensis</i>	S	None	No
Canadian St. John's-wort	<i>Hypericum majus</i>	S	None	No
Water Lobelia	<i>Lobelia dortmanna</i>	T	None	No
Bog Clubmoss	<i>Lycopodiella inundata</i>	S	None	Yes
Treelike Clubmoss	<i>Lycopodium dendroideum</i>	S	None	No
White Meconella	<i>Meconella oregana</i>	T	SC	Yes
Branching Montia	<i>Montia diffusa</i>	S	None	Yes
Choris' Bog-orchid	<i>Platanthera chorisiana</i>	T	None	No
Humped Bladderwort	<i>Utricularia gibba</i>	R1	None	Yes
Flat-leaved Bladderwort	<i>Utricularia intermedia</i>	S	None	No
Lesser Bladderwort	<i>Utricularia minor</i>	R1	None	No


Note 1. Status Key: E = endangered, T = threatened, S = sensitive, R1 = review group 1 (potential concern but need more field work), R2 = review group 2 (potential concern but unresolved taxonomic questions), LT = listed threatened, SC = species of concern, and Yes under Historic Record indicates the most recent sighting in the county is before 1977.

3.1.4 Wetlands


The USFWS NWI – Wetland Mapper, City of Bellevue – Valley Creek Drainage Basin Map (**Figure 7**), City of Bellevue – Sensitive Areas Notebook (**Figure 8**), and King County map folio did not include any known or mapped wetlands in the immediate vicinity of the Valley Creek crossing under NE 21st Street. The closest documented wetland is located approximately 200 feet south of NE 21st Street. This wetland is mapped as being along Valley Creek to the south (downstream) of NE 20th Street.

3.1.5 U.S. Department of Agriculture Soil Data

The USDA NRCS mapped soils in the project area as Bellingham silt loam. This soil type is formed in depressions and drainage ways, and is considered poorly drained. The typical profile consists of silt loam from 0 to 11 inches, and silty clay loam from 11 to 60 inches. The USDA Soil Conservation Service (SCS) Hydric Soils of the State of Washington list for King County includes Bellingham silt loam as a hydric soil (USDA 1991).



Source: City of Bellevue IT Department, GIS Services, 7/09



City of Bellevue
Valley Creek at NE 21st Flood Control Project

Critical Areas Report



SEEI0000-0003


September 2012

Figure 7 – City of Bellevue
Stream Type Map



DAVID EVANS
AND ASSOCIATES INC.



		<i>City of Bellevue</i> <i>Valley Creek at NE 21st Flood Control Project</i>	
		<i>Critical Areas Report</i>	 DAVID EVANS AND ASSOCIATES INC.
	<i>SEEI0000-0003</i>	<i>Figure 8 –</i> <i>Sensitive Areas Map</i>	
	<i>September 2012</i>		

3.1.6 Geologic Hazard Areas

Geologic hazard areas include landslide hazard areas, steep slopes (>40 percent), and coal mine hazards. Landslide hazards areas typically have slopes of 15 percent or more with more than 10 feet of rise, and display specific criteria. Based on a review of the City of Bellevue Valley Creek and Sears Creek Basin – Critical Areas Maps and sight-specific topographic maps, no geologic hazard areas occur in the immediate project area. The closest geologic hazard area is mapped as occurring along the slopes of State Route (SR) 520, which is outside (north) of the project area.

3.1.7 Floodplains

The project area includes the mainstem of Valley Creek in the vicinity of NE 21st Street. This area includes a special flood hazard area that is inundated by the 100-year flood (**Figure 9**).

3.1.8 Shoreline Master Program


No shoreline management areas exist along either Valley Creek or Sears Creek.

3.1.9 Amphibians and Reptiles



The WSGA data for amphibians and reptiles contains limited site-specific occurrence data, but includes a map for each species outlining its core and peripheral zones (Dvornich, McAllister, and Aubry 1997). These zones represent the potential distribution of each species based on the presence of suitable habitat within each zone. Therefore, the species outlined in **Table 4** have the potential to occur in the general project vicinity where suitable habitat is present.

Table 4: Amphibians and Reptiles

Common Name	Scientific Name	Note
Northwestern Salamander	<i>Ambystoma gracile</i>	Potentially Present
Long-toed Salamander	<i>Ambystoma macrodactylum</i>	Unlikely Present
Pacific Giant Salamander	<i>Dicamptodon tenebrosus</i>	Unlikely Present
Roughskin Newt	<i>Taricha granulosa</i>	Unlikely Present
Western Redback Salamander	<i>Plethodon vehiculum</i>	Unlikely Present
Ensatina	<i>Ensatina eschscholtzii</i>	Unlikely Present due to a lack of coniferous downed wood
Western Toad	<i>Bufo boreas</i>	Unlikely Present; Federal species of concern and state candidate.
Pacific Treefrog	<i>Hyla regilla</i>	Potentially Present
Red-legged Frog	<i>Rana aurora</i>	Unlikely Present
Bullfrog	<i>Rana catesbeiana</i>	Introduced, common near stormwater ponds and lakes
Painted Turtle	<i>Chrysemys picta</i>	Introduced, common in/near lakes
Slider	<i>Trachemys scripta</i>	Introduced, common in/near lakes
Northern Alligator Lizard	<i>Elgaria coerulea</i>	Potentially Present
Western Terrestrial Garter Snake	<i>Thamnophis elegans</i>	Potentially Present
Northwestern Garter Snake	<i>Thamnophis ordinoides</i>	Potentially Present
Common Garter Snake	<i>Thamnophis sirtalis</i>	Potentially Present
Rubber Boa	<i>Charina bottae</i>	Unlikely Present



Source: FEMA Mapping Information Platform



City of Bellevue
Valley Creek at NE 21st Flood Control Project

Critical Areas Report

SEEI0000-0003

September 2012

**Figure 9 –
FEMA Map**



DAVID EVANS
AND ASSOCIATES INC.

3.1.10 Mammals

Based on a review of WSGA data (Johnson and Cassidy 1997), 21 mammals have been documented in Township 25 North by Range 05 East (**Table 5**). However, this list is not all-inclusive and only includes species that were documented in the WSGA database prior to 1997.

Table 5: Mammal Record Summary for T25N R05E

#	Common Name	Scientific Name
1.	Trowbridge's Shrew	<i>Sorex trowbridgii</i>
2.	Shrew-Mole	<i>Neurotrichus gibbsii</i>
3.	Coast Mole	<i>Scapanus orarius</i>
4.	Townsend's Mole	<i>Scapanus townsendii</i>
5.	Snowshoe Hare	<i>Lepus americanus</i>
6.	Mountain Beaver	<i>Aplodontia rufa</i>
7.	Townsend's Chipmunk	<i>Tamias townsendii</i>
8.	Eastern Gray Squirrel	<i>Sciurus carolinensis</i>
9.	Douglas' Squirrel	<i>Tamiasciurus douglasii</i>
10.	Northern Flying Squirrel	<i>Glaucomys sabrinus</i>
11.	Bushy-tailed Woodrat	<i>Neotoma cinerea</i>
12.	Forest Deer Mouse	<i>Peromyscus keeni</i>
13.	Creeping Vole	<i>Microtus oregoni</i>
14.	Townsend's Vole	<i>Microtus townsendii</i>
15.	Porcupine	<i>Erethizon dorsatum</i>
16.	Nutria	<i>Myocastor coypus</i>
17.	Norway Rat	<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>
18.	Coyote	<i>Canis latrans</i>
19.	Raccoon	<i>Procyon lotor</i>
20.	Long-tailed Weasel	<i>Mustela frenata</i>
21.	Mink	<i>Mustela vison</i>

Other species not documented in the WSGA database that could potentially utilize the project vicinity include Virginia opossum (*Didelphis virginiana*), vagrant shrew (*Sorex vagrans*), California myotis (*Myotis californicus*), little brown myotis (*Myotis lucifugus*), long-legged myotis (*Myotis volans*), hoary bat (*Lasiurus cinereus*), silver-haired bat (*Lasionycteris noctivagans*), big brown bat (*Eptesicus fuscus*), Townsend's big-eared bat (*Plecotus townsendii*), eastern cottontail (*Sylvilagus floridanus*), beaver (*Castor canadensis*), deer mouse (*Peromyscus maniculatus*), creeping vole (*Microtus oregoni*), Townsend's vole (*Microtus townsendii*), muskrat (*Ondatra zibethicus*), pacific jumping mouse (*Zapus trinotatus*), house mouse (*Mus musculus*), black rat (*Rattus rattus*), red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*), ermine (*Mustela erminea*), striped skunk (*Mephitis mephitis*), spotted skunk (*Spilogale gracilis*), and black-tailed deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*).

3.1.11 Birds

Based on a review of WSGA data, 76 bird species could potentially nest in the general area in or adjacent to T25N R05E (Smith et al. 1997). This determination is based on combining confirmed, probable, and possible breeding evidence. It is important to note that the species listed in **Table 6** are not necessarily associated with the project area, but could potentially utilize the project vicinity for nesting, foraging, or during migration. Use by some of these species

would be extremely rare or limited to presence in or near greenbelts, open spaces, and ponds or seasonally during periods of migration.

Table 6: Breeding Bird Summary for T25N R05E

#	Common Name	Scientific Name	#	Common Name	Scientific Name
1.	Pied-billed Grebe	<i>Podilymbus podiceps</i>	39.	American Crow	<i>Corvus brachyrhynchos</i>
2.	Great Blue Heron	<i>Ardea herodias</i>	40.	Black-capped Chickadee	<i>Parus atricapillus</i>
3.	Green Heron	<i>Butorides virescens</i>	41.	Chestnut-backed Chickadee	<i>Parus rufescens</i>
4.	Canada Goose	<i>Branta canadensis</i>	42.	Bushtit	<i>Psaltirparus minimus</i>
5.	Wood Duck	<i>Aix sponsa</i>	43.	Red-breasted Nuthatch	<i>Sitta canadensis</i>
6.	Green-winged Teal	<i>Anas crecca</i>	44.	Brown Creeper	<i>Certhia americana</i>
7.	Mallard	<i>Anas platyrhynchos</i>	45.	Bewick's Wren	<i>Thryomanes bewickii</i>
8.	Gadwall	<i>Anas strepera</i>	46.	Winter Wren	<i>Troglodytes troglodytes</i>
9.	Common Merganser	<i>Mergus merganser</i>	47.	Marsh Wren	<i>Cistothorus palustris</i>
10.	Osprey	<i>Pandion haliaetus</i>	48.	Golden-crowned Kinglet	<i>Regulus satrapa</i>
11.	Bald Eagle	<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>	49.	Swainson's Thrush	<i>Catharus ustulatus</i>
12.	Cooper's Hawk	<i>Accipiter cooperii</i>	50.	American Robin	<i>Turdus migratorius</i>
13.	Red-tailed Hawk	<i>Buteo jamaicensis</i>	51.	Cedar Waxwing	<i>Bombicilla cedrorum</i>
14.	California Quail	<i>Callipepla californica</i>	52.	European Starling	<i>Sturnus vulgaris</i>
15.	Virginia Rail	<i>Rallus limicola</i>	53.	Hutton's Vireo	<i>Vireo huttoni</i>
16.	American Coot	<i>Fulica americana</i>	54.	Warbling Vireo	<i>Vireo gilvus</i>
17.	Killdeer	<i>Charadrius vociferus</i>	55.	Orange-crowned Warbler	<i>Vermivora celata</i>
18.	Spotted Sandpiper	<i>Actitis macularia</i>	56.	Yellow Warbler	<i>Dendroica petechia</i>
19.	Glaucous-winged Gull	<i>Larus glaucescens</i>	57.	Black-throated Gray Warbler	<i>Dendroica nigrescens</i>
20.	Rock Dove	<i>Columba livia</i>	58.	Common Yellowthroat	<i>Geothlypis trichas</i>
21.	Band-tailed Pigeon	<i>Columba fasciata</i>	59.	Wilson's Warbler	<i>Wilsonia pusilla</i>
22.	Vaux's Swift	<i>Chaetura vauxi</i>	60.	Western Tanager	<i>Piranga ludoviciana</i>
23.	Rufous Hummingbird	<i>Selasphorus rufus</i>	61.	Black-headed Grosbeak	<i>Pheucticus melanocephalus</i>
24.	Belted Kingfisher	<i>Ceryle alcyon</i>	62.	Spotted Towhee	<i>Pipilo maculatus</i>
25.	Red-breasted Sapsucker	<i>Sphyrapicus ruber</i>	63.	Savannah Sparrow	<i>Passerculus sandwichensis</i>
26.	Downy Woodpecker	<i>Picoides pubescens</i>	64.	Song Sparrow	<i>Melospiza melodia</i>
27.	Hairy Woodpecker	<i>Picoides villosus</i>	65.	White-crowned Sparrow	<i>Zonotrichia leucophrys</i>
28.	Northern Flicker	<i>Colaptes auratus</i>	66.	Dark-eyed Junco	<i>Junco hyemalis</i>
29.	Pileated Woodpecker	<i>Dryocopus pileatus</i>	67.	Red-winged Blackbird	<i>Agelaius phoeniceus</i>
30.	Olive-sided Flycatcher	<i>Contopus borealis</i>	68.	Brewer's Blackbird	<i>Euphagus cyanocephalus</i>
31.	Western Wood-Pewee	<i>Contopus sordidulus</i>	69.	Brown-headed Cowbird	<i>Molothrus ater</i>
32.	Willow Flycatcher	<i>Empidonax traillii</i>	70.	Bullock's Oriole	<i>Icterus bullockii</i>
33.	Pacific-slope Flycatcher	<i>Empidonax difficilis</i>	71.	Purple Finch	<i>Carpodacus purpureus</i>
34.	Tree Swallow	<i>Tachycineta bicolor</i>	72.	House Finch	<i>Carpodacus mexicanus</i>
35.	Violet-green Swallow	<i>Tachycineta thalassina</i>	73.	Red Crossbill	<i>Loxia curvirostra</i>
36.	Cliff Swallow	<i>Hirundo pyrrhonota</i>	74.	Pine Siskin	<i>Carduelis pinus</i>
37.	Barn Swallow	<i>Hirundo rustica</i>	75.	American Goldfinch	<i>Carduelis tristis</i>
38.	Steller's Jay	<i>Cyanocitta stelleri</i>	76.	House Sparrow	<i>Passer domesticus</i>

3.1.12 Federally Listed Species

The USFWS species list for King County includes 7 species listed as threatened or endangered, designated critical habitat for 3 species, 5 candidate species, and 21 species of concern. Based on a review of existing resource information and habitat conditions, federally-listed species under the jurisdiction of the USFWS do not exist within the immediate project area. The Kelsey Creek Basin does not provide suitable habitat for bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*), nor has it been designated as critical habitat. Lake Washington is designated as bull trout critical habitat, but is approximately 5 miles downstream of the project area.

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) has jurisdiction over federally-listed anadromous salmonids, marine mammals and turtles, designated Chinook salmon critical habitat, and EFH. Chinook salmon which are listed as a threatened species have been documented in the Kelsey Creek Basin (including Valley Creek). Kelsey Creek is not designated as Chinook salmon critical habitat. Lake Washington is designated as Chinook salmon critical habitat, but is approximately 5 miles downstream of the project area. Kelsey Creek, including Valley and Sears creeks, would be considered EFH.

3.2 FIELD INVESTIGATION

DEA performed site visits on July 9, 18, and 19, and September 12, 2012 to verify preliminary data findings, delineate wetland boundaries, document existing habitat conditions, and document wildlife use. DEA also flagged the OHWM of Valley and Sears creeks within the project area. **Appendix A** contains the survey map depicting wetland boundaries and the OHWM of both Valley and Sears creeks. Site photographs are contained within **Appendix B**.

3.2.1 Wetlands

Two wetlands (Wetlands A and B) were identified as occurring within the immediate project vicinity during the site investigation (**Table 6**). Both wetlands were delineated and categorized by DEA. The project site has been historically cleared and graded. Both creeks have been channelized. Wetland indicators were observed at multiple locations.

Table 6: Wetland Summary

Wetland ID	Ecology ¹ Category	Ecology ¹ Wetland Class	Total Wetland Functions Score	Water Quality Functions Score	Hydrology Functions Score	Wildlife Functions Score	City of Bellevue Buffer Width
A	III	Riverine	48	16	18	14	60 feet
B	III	Riverine	45	16	18	11	60 feet

¹ Washington State Department of Ecology

Wetlands A and B

Wetlands A and B were both rated as Category III wetlands based on the *Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington – Revised* (Hruby 2004). They are both riverine wetlands located within the floodplain of Valley Creek. Wetland A is located to the north of NE 21st Street, while Wetland B is located to the south of NE 21st Street (**Appendix A**). The standard buffer width of a Category III wetland with a wildlife function score of less than 20 points is 60 feet. **Appendix C** contains a copy of the completed Ecology rating forms, and the wetland delineation data plot forms are in **Appendix D**. Site photographs are included in **Appendix B**.

Soils. Two data plots (DPs) were recorded for Wetland A and two for Wetland B. DP 1 was located on upland fill material between NE 21st Street and Wetland A. DP 2 was within the wetland. DP 3 was located on the east side of Valley Creek downstream (south) of NE 21st Street. DP 4 was located on the west side of Valley Creek downstream (south) of NE 21st Street. The exact locations of these DPs are depicted on **Appendix A**. A summary of the soils within each DP follows:

DP 1: The soil profile consisted of a single horizon of a very dark brown (10YR 2/2) silty sand with some gravels, primarily at a depth greater than 4 inches. One short piece of broken wire was encountered. No redox features were noted. Based on this information, it was determined these soils were not hydric, and were fill material related to roadway construction.

DP 2: The soil profile consisted of a single horizon of black (10YR 2/1) silt loam with some organics. These soils were consistent with the A12 (thick dark surface) hydric soil indicator, and are therefore considered hydric.

DP 3: The soil profile consisted of a very dark brown (10YR 3/2) silt loam from 0 to 16 inches above a brown (10YR 4/3) sand clay loam with sparse (<2%) redox concentrations. Based on this information, it was determined these soils were not hydric.

DP 4: The soil profile consisted of black (10YR 2/1) silt/clay loam from 0 to 16+ inches, but the amount of clay increased and redox features were observed below 16 inches. These soils were consistent with the A12 (thick dark surface) hydric soil indicator, and are therefore considered hydric.

Hydrology. Wetland A is very wet year-round, while hydrology of Wetland B appears to be restricted to the early portion of the growing season. Hydrology in Wetland A is supported by stream flow and groundwater. Soils in DP 2 were saturated to the surface, and groundwater was encountered at a depth of 15 inches. Soils in DP 4 (Wetland B) were very moist, but no groundwater was observed. Wetland B is within a swale that likely becomes saturated to the surface during the early portions of the growing season. Since the soils were very moist during the month of September of a “drought year,” it appears highly probable that the hydrology indicator would be present for at least 10 percent of the growing season.

Vegetation. Wetland A is composed of several different species including reed canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), cat’s-ear (*Hypochaeris radicata*), dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*), curly dock (*Rumex crispus*), creeping buttercup (*Ranunculus repens*), toad rush (*Juncus bufonius*), small-fruited bulrush (*Scirpus microcarpus*), Himalayan blackberry (*Rubus armeniacus*), white clover (*Trifolium repens*), bittersweet nightshade (*Solanum dulcamara*), Pacific willow (*Salix lucida*), Sitka willow (*Salix sitchensis*), red-osier dogwood (*Cornus sericea*), salmonberry (*Rubus spectabilis*), field horsetail (*Equisetum arvense*), and other grasses and weeds. Most of Wetland A is frequently mowed, except for an area along the stream channel that is dominated by shrubs.

Wetland B is dominated by Himalayan blackberry (*Rubus armeniacus*), but Pacific willow (*Salix lucida*) and Sitka willow (*Salix sitchensis*) are present along the mainstem of Valley Creek; Douglas spirea (*Spiraea douglasii*) are also present along Sears Creek.

Classification. Wetland A was rated as a Category III wetland based on the Ecology Rating system. Wetland A received a total score of 49 points based on functions, which is at the higher end of this category (Category III = 30 to 50 points). Wetland B was also rated as a Category III wetland but scored fewer habitat points.

Functions. Both wetlands rated high for water quality (16 points), high for hydrologic (18 points) functions, and moderate for wildlife (Wet. A = 14, Wet. B = 11) functions. The score for water quality function would have been higher if the wetlands contained more shrubs or trees

and depressions. A multiplier of 2 was assigned to both wetlands since untreated pollutants discharge to the wetlands, a stream flows through them, and sediment and pollutants trapped by the wetlands benefit a stream. The high score for hydrology function is due to flooding issues in Valley Creek and the potential for high flows to damage downstream natural resources. A higher overbank storage ratio would have increased the hydrology function even further. The moderate score for wildlife function is due to the wetlands being degraded and isolated, an absence of functional buffer and relatively simplistic vegetative composition (especially Wetland B).

In summary, Wetlands A and B provide primarily water quality and hydrologic functions, but also some limited wildlife function. However, both could be enhanced, which would increase all of its functions. Although they provide limited wildlife function, both wetlands help buffer stream resources from anthropogenic influences. Enhancing both wetlands by adding more trees and shrubs would likely increase their rating to Category II.

3.2.2 Streams

Habitat conditions in Valley Creek within the immediate project vicinity are degraded. Upstream of NE 21st Street the stream channel is a linear glide with a substrate dominated by silt. No large woody debris is present and, except for a few shrubs, the adjoining riparian zone is composed primarily of mowed grasses and rushes. Upstream of NE 21st Street, Valley Creek flows under SR 520. Downstream of NE 21st Street sand is the dominant substrate type, which is intermixed with some gravel. This section of stream is primarily a linear low-gradient riffle, but changes to a glide immediately prior to flowing under NE 20th Street. Some woody debris is present, which tends to capture debris from the surrounding uplands. Sears Creek enters Valley Creek immediately downstream of NE 21st Street. Although Sears Creek has been ditched and abuts the south side of NE 21st Street, it contains a high percent of gravels. However, the stream has been ditched, pool habitat and large woody debris are absent, and the riparian zone is degraded. The increase in percent gravel in Sears Creek is due to an increase in gradient.

3.2.3 Uplands

The uplands in the project vicinity are primarily developed and consist of commercial uses. The project area is bisected by NE 21st Street, while 140th Avenue NE is located to the west and SR 520 to the north. A narrow vegetated corridor is present along Valley Creek, which is abutted by commercial buildings. Land use shifts to residential to the north of SR 520 and south of Bel-Red Road.

4.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The City proposes to reduce flooding in the project area by replacing the existing undersized culvert with a larger culvert and removing excess sedimentation that has accumulated downstream of NE 21st Street. The existing culvert does not meet WDFW design criteria due to small size and not being countersunk. Sediment has accumulated downstream of the crossing such that the channel bottom elevation is currently at approximately the same elevation as the crown (top) of the NE 21st Street culvert. This results in the high-flow bypass system becoming engaged during base-flow, which then exasperates low-flow passage concerns downstream of the project area. The project would improve stream habitat by:

- 1) Replacing the existing undersized culvert under NE 21st Street that does not meet current WDFW design criteria with a culvert that does meet current WDFW design criteria;
- 2) Replacing the existing anthropogenic derived sand and silt substrate downstream of NE 21st Street with gravel suitable for macro-invertebrate and salmonid spawning purposes;
- 3) Increasing stream sinuosity within a reach previously linearized by adjoining land use practices;
- 4) Installing LWD in the stream channel to maintain sinuosity and provide lateral scour pool habitat; and
- 5) Replacing non-native invasive species along the stream channel with native vegetation.

The proposed project would improve fish and wildlife habitat between NE 21st Street and NE 20th Street, and as such, will reduce localized flooding and increase habitat functions in the project reach to the benefit of local businesses and fish and wildlife that utilize Valley Creek and adjoining wetlands and uplands.

The City has and is undertaking other actions on a basin scale to address source issues that have resulted in excessive flow and sedimentation inputs in this basin. These basin scale actions include the installation of detention facilities to trap sediment and stormwater runoff upstream of the project area. The Valley Creek pond (Drainage Master Plan) DMP 197 is about 1,300 feet upstream of the project site on NE 24th Street. The Commissioner's Pond is a detention pond that captures sediment on Sears Creek and is located south of Bel-Red Road about 3,000 feet upstream of the project site. The City of Redmond is currently designing a large detention vault on Sears Creek in the Overlake area. This vault will further reduce peak flows and the potential for sediment transport.

4.1 EXISTING SYSTEM

The existing culvert under NE 21st Street consists of a 47-foot-long x 8-foot-wide x 30-inch-high squashed corrugated metal pipe (CMP). In 1987, the City of Bellevue constructed a high flow bypass system to divert excess flows from Valley Creek through a 48-inch-diameter pipe along 140th Avenue NE that eventually outfalls to Kelsey Creek approximately 1,800 feet south/downstream from the points of diversion. There are two points of diversion, one immediately upstream of NE 21st Street and one immediately downstream of NE 21st Street. Both diversion inlets consist of drop structures with metal grates. In addition, the existing culvert under NE 21st Street does not meet WDFW design criteria. The City must perform maintenance

at the crossing to include excavation of sediment at the confluence of Sears Creek with Valley Creek, and remove sediment that accumulates within the existing culvert every few years to keep it clear.

4.2 FLOODING

The proposed project is being initiated to reduce flooding. NE 21st Street just east of 140th Avenue NE floods at an estimated 2 to 5-year frequency. NE 21st Street is a dead end and provides the only access to the properties along the roadway east of 140th Avenue NE. When the roadway floods it impedes access for the property owners, their customers and business associates, emergency vehicles, and results in a safety hazard. The flooding is due, in part, to the existing under-sized Valley Creek culvert under NE 21st Street and sediment that has accumulated in Valley Creek downstream of the NE 21st Street crossing. Sediment has accumulated downstream of the crossing such that the channel bottom elevation is currently at approximately the same elevation as the crown (top) of the NE 21st Street culvert. The sediment accumulation in the creek causes flow to back up, contributing to the flooding of the roadway.

4.3 PROJECT PROPOSAL

The City proposes to reduce flooding by replacing the existing 47-foot-long x 8-foot-wide x 30-inch-high squashed CMP with a 47-foot-long x 14-foot-wide x 42-inch-high precast reinforced concrete box culvert. The project also includes removing the sediment that has accumulated in the creek channel and restoring approximately 150 feet of degraded creek channel. In addition, the project includes removing the upstream high flow bypass structure and improving the trashrack on the high flow bypass structure downstream of NE 21st Street to reduce the potential for clogging. A set of design drawings is contained in **Appendix E**.

The overall project area is approximately 0.4 acre, which includes the culvert crossing under NE 21st Street, roadway adjacent to the culvert that will be removed and repaved, staging area, temporary construction access, approximately 150 linear feet of stream channel, and adjoining uplands that will be disturbed during construction and enhanced as part of the mitigation process. Cut and fill quantities below the OHWM associated with channel restoration include 160 cubic yards of sediment removal, over excavation for gravel, channel bank grading to increase sinuosity, and 92 cubic yards of fill composed primarily of new streambed gravel. Cut and fill quantities outside the OHWM include 315 cubic yards of cut and 215 cubic yards of fill. The project will result in a total of 475 cubic yards of cut and 307 cubic yards of fill (**Appendix E – Sheet 4 of 20**). The new stream channel will have a bottom width of 7 feet and will be composed of streambed gravel (**Appendix E – Sheet 6 of 20**). In order to keep a sinuous stream channel and improve in-stream habitat, LWD will be installed along the outside bends of the new channel. These logs will be held in place with earth anchors and anchor boulders. The stream bank above the OHWM will be cut at a 2:1 slope and stabilized with coir matting and live stakes. A Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (TESC) plan has been prepared for the project (**Appendix E – Sheets 9 – 11 of 20**). The TESC plan also includes a stream bypass plan and turbidity monitoring plan.

4.3.1 Construction Equipment

The project will require the use of various different types of construction equipment during various phases of project. Different construction phases include stream flow bypass, site

preparation, culvert removal, culvert installation, roadway work, utility relocate, sediment removal, stream restoration, and wetland and riparian restoration. Based on the project including various phases and that the construction contractor will select what equipment he will utilize based on their needs, the following construction equipment list in **Table 7** is provided for reference. **Table 7** includes a list of potential construction equipment, expected use, and maximum noise levels.

Table 7: Construction Equipment List, Use, and Reference Maximum Noise Levels

Equipment	Expected Use	Lmax (dBA) at 50 feet
Excavator	Unload and move supplies, install and remove culvert	81
Crane	Unload prefabricated culvert	81
Front End Loader	Move supplies and material	79
Backhoe	Move supplies, excavation, and clean-up	78
Concrete Saw	New pavement end-points and underground utilities.	90
Compactor	Roadway work.	83
Concrete Mixer Truck	Roadway, culvert, sidewalk, and finish work.	79
Concrete Pump Truck	Roadway, culvert, sidewalk, and finish work.	81
Dump Truck	Transport supplies and construction debris.	76
Flat Bed Truck	Transport supplies and construction debris.	74
Generator	General purpose	81
Paver	Roadway work	77
Pickup Truck	Day to day use, small tools and crew	75
Pneumatic Tools	As needed during removal and installation of culvert	85
Pumps	Water bypass	81
Roller	Roadway work	80
Vacuum Street Sweeper	Project area clean-up	82
Chain Saw	As needed to cut wood	84
Jack Hammer	Roadway, underground utility, and general demolition	89

4.3.2 Project Schedule

In-water work is proposed to occur from July 1, 2013 through September 30, 2013. This time period is the presumed WDFW in-water work window based on discussions with the WDFW local area habitat biologist. Upland work would start prior to in-water work and would likely extend beyond the month of September. Hours of construction are anticipated to generally occur between 7:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. on weekdays, and 9:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. on Saturdays. However, since the replacement of the culvert will require a temporary road closure, this action may require either night-time or weekend work to minimize impacts to commercial businesses along the road.

4.3.3 Noise Levels

Ambient noise levels in the project vicinity are assumed to generally range from 56 to 61 dBA based on ambient noise measurements reported during preparation of the *Preliminary Draft Noise and Vibration Report prepared for the Bellevue 120th Avenue NE Corridor Project* (City of Bellevue 2010). The most probable noise level for the project area is approximately 60 dBA based on a comparing similar site conditions. Noise generated by construction equipment will exceed ambient noise levels, but since the project vicinity includes several high capacity roads such as SR 520 and NE 140th Street, and is surrounded by relatively tall structures, all noise generated during construction are assumed to reach ambient levels within one-half mile from the project area.

5.0 IMPACT MINIMIZATION MEASURES

Potential impacts to fish, wildlife, habitat, and water quality could occur during construction activities. The overall project area is approximately 0.40 acre. The clearing limits where grading is required to restore the creek channel includes 0.07 acre with an additional 0.18 acre designated for clearing of invasive non-native vegetation for purposes of mitigation and habitat restoration. No new impervious area will be added as a result of this project. Potential impacts associated with construction can be reduced or avoided by implementation of the impact measures outlined below:

General

- A TESC Plan will be developed and implemented (**Appendix E – Sheets 9 and 10**).
- A qualified Erosion and Control Inspector will review all sediment control measures twice per week during construction. Qualified means the inspector will be a Certified Erosion and Sediment Control Lead (CESCL).
- Turbidity will be monitored per the Turbidity Monitoring Plan outlined in **Appendix E** and as required by the US Army Corps of Engineers Programmatic Biological Assessment. According to the Specific Project Information Form (SPIF), monitoring downstream sedimentation every 20 minutes during construction is required to verify/refine the turbidity estimate provided to the NMFS and USFWS in the SPIF.
- A Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures plan that meets Ecology standards will be developed and implemented for the project to ensure that all pollutants and products will be controlled and contained.
- Seasonal restrictions applied to work conducted below the OHWM will be as required by a project specific Hydraulic Project Approval (HPA) issued by the WDFW.
- Construction impacts will be confined to the minimum area necessary to complete the project.
- Removal of native riparian vegetation will be minimized as much as possible.
- Native vegetation within the project area will be flagged prior to construction.

Water Quality/Erosion Control

- All best management practices (BMPs) will be installed according to City standards and will be inspected and maintained throughout the life of the project by the CESCL.
- Staging and soil stockpile areas will be limited to those outlined in the clearing and grading permit.
- Staging areas will be fenced.
- Spill kits will be kept on-site.
- Fuels and other potentially hazardous materials will be kept in a secured area. Secured means fenced, and locked during non-work hours.
- Secondary containment will be required for all hazardous materials. Spill containment is required for generators, parked equipment, porta-potty, fuels, solvents, etc.

- The project will comply with water quality conditions identified by Ecology.
- Wash water resulting from wash down of equipment or work areas will be contained for proper treatment and/or disposal, and will not be directly discharged into state waters.
- There will be no discharge of oil, fuels, or chemicals to surface waters, or onto land where there is a potential for reentry into surface waters.
- No cleaning solvents or chemicals used for tools or equipment cleaning will be discharged to ground or surface waters.
- The contractor will regularly check fuel hoses, oil drums, oil or fuel transfer valves, fittings, etc. for leaks, and will maintain and store materials properly to prevent spills.
- BMPs will be used on all project activities to control and prevent sediments from entering aquatic systems.

In-water and Over-water Work

- All fish will be removed from the work area prior to any in-water work activities per the Fish Salvage Plan in **Appendix F** of the Critical Areas Report.
- Dewatering will include provisions/methods outlined in **Appendix F** of the Critical Areas Report.
- Materials removed from below the OHWM will be placed in an upland location where they cannot enter water bodies.
- Materials, such as rock and LWD placed within the water, will be free of sediment and or other contaminants.
- Water pumped from work isolation areas will be treated to remove suspended sediments prior to returning to the water body. Discharge will occur in such a manner as not to cause erosion.
- Mechanical equipment will not enter the stream channel until the project area has been dewatered and fish salvage has been completed.
- Mechanical equipment operating in the project area will be inspected daily for leaks. Any equipment found to be leaking will immediately be fixed or removed from the project site.

6.0 PROJECT IMPACTS

The proposed project has been designed to avoid impacts to critical areas to the maximum extent possible while still achieving project goals. No impacts to Wetland A will occur. The project will not result in an increase in new impervious surface or riprap along the stream channel. However, the project will result in temporary impacts.

Wetland B: Approximately 129 square feet of permanent impact to Wetland B, 158 square feet of permanent stream and wetland buffer impact (**Appendix E – Sheet 12 of 20**). The 129 square feet of permanent impact to Wetland B is the result of adding sinuosity to the stream channel. After construction, these areas will be part of the active stream channel bottom that will be composed of gravel. The 158 square feet of permanent stream and wetland buffer impact also includes areas converted to stream channel.

Stream Impacts: The project will result in approximately 2,010 square feet of temporary stream impact including the portion of stream channel that will be dewatered and where sediment will be removed, but will then be enhanced by adding stream bed gravels and LWD. The stream buffer impacts are the same as described above since these buffers overlap.

Fish Salvage: Dewatering the project reach will require fish salvage. Cutthroat trout will likely be present, and it is possible (although unlikely) juvenile coho could also be in the project reach when construction is proposed. Other non-salmonid species such as bluegill or western brook lamprey could also be present. Non-fish species such as crawfish are also present. These species would need to be salvaged prior to construction. Fish salvage is required to occur per WDFW requirements and as outlined in **Appendix F**. The WDFW requires that fish salvage be conducted by qualified persons that have a valid Scientific Collection Permit. The protocol outlined in **Appendix F** is as required by the Corps when utilizing a SPIF. In this specific case, **Protocol II** is applicable since dewatering will occur outside an area with a high likelihood of listed fish being present.

Dewatering: The project reach will be dewatered prior to construction. This activity will result in a temporary increase in turbidity downstream of the project reach. Site-specific conditions that could lead to a temporary increase in turbidity that must be managed include the presence of a tributary stream (Sears Creek) entering the reach of Valley Creek to be dewatered immediately downstream of NE 21st Street and the stream substrate being dominated by highly mobile sediments (sand and silt). The presence of Sears Creek may require dewatering to occur during two different events, once for culvert replacement and again for sediment removal. Based on this possibility it is assumed a spike in downstream turbidity could occur during each dewatering event, plus when flow is re-routed back through the culver and again when flow is re-routed through the new stream channel. However, the peak would likely be smaller when flow is re-routed back into the stream channel since the stream bottom will be composed of newly installed gravels. Furthermore, the contractor will be required to install a rock pad or similar device to dissipate flow at the bypass outlet to minimize scour and the release of sediments that can result from concentrated flow exiting the bypass outlet. Based on this information it is assumed the peaks would be short-term and localized, and likely dissipating to background levels within 300 feet downstream of the project reach.

Flood Hazard Area: Impacts to the FEMA flood hazard area are not anticipated and may actually result in a reduction of the flood hazard zone. This outcome is anticipated since the

project will remove more material within the project area than is installed, resulting in a net increase in storage capacity. Furthermore, by increasing culvert capacity, reducing clogging of the inlet bypass system, removing the sediment wedge, and improving stream channel flow capacity, flooding should be minimized.

6.1 ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES

An analysis of alternatives documents different methods of developing a project site, and states the justifications for each alternative. This assessment generally documents the three-tier process of first avoiding an impact, then minimizing the impact, and finally mitigating for unavoidable impacts. A memorandum prepared by SAIC dated November 28, 2011 was prepared to determine a preferred alternative. Alternatives considered included:

- Maintain existing conditions – provide maintenance to reduce, but not eliminate, the incidence of flooding;
- Increase culvert capacity – increase the capacity of the NE 21st and the NE 20th Street culverts. Two scenarios were considered: 1) enlarging just the NE 21st Street culvert and 2) enlarging both the NE 21st and NE 20th Street culverts combined;
- Regional detention – provide more detention upstream from the flooding site. The assessment also included consideration of the City of Redmond project currently under design for the Sears Creek Overlake area; and
- Bypassing more flows – divert more flows away from the flooding location. The evaluation included adding another diversion structure upstream from the site.

Based on the information contained within the memorandum and outcome of workshops between the City and project engineers, it was concluded the proposed project was the best alternative to address the purpose and need of the project.

6.2 SALMONID HABITAT IMPACTS

Based on project type (culvert replacement, sediment removal, and stream, wetland, and riparian restoration), implementation of impact minimization measures, and proposed mitigation/restoration measures, project-related impacts to baseline salmonid habitat conditions would be temporary and beneficial over-time.

Existing stream and watershed conditions were quantified by using watershed and habitat parameters as defined by the “Matrix of Pathways and Indicators” developed by the NMFS. These pathways and indicators summarize important parameters for six major pathways. The following salmonid effects matrix has been developed to summarize potential project-related direct and indirect effects to baseline habitat conditions (**Table 8**).

Table 8: Salmonid Habitat Project Effects Matrix

PATHWAY	INDICATORS	BASELINE CONDITION	PROJECT EFFECTS TO BASELINE CONDITIONS
			Kelsey Creek Basin
Water Quality	Temperature	Functioning At Risk	Degrade → Maintain. The project will result in clearing existing vegetation along approximately 150 linear feet of stream channel that currently provides shade to Valley Creek. However, the project will replant this section of stream channel with fast growing willows and other native vegetation that will eventually provide equivalent shade potential. Therefore, this indicator will be temporarily degraded but will shift to maintain in approximately 3 to 4 years.
	Sediment	Not Properly Functioning	Degrade → Improve. The project will temporarily disturb stream substrate that could increase turbidity. However, this increase in turbidity will be temporary and localized. The project will remove a wedge of sand and silt that would like continue to be transported further downstream during high-flow events, and replace it with streambed gravels. Therefore, the project will temporarily degrade the sediment indicator but provide a long-term improvement over existing conditions.
	Chemical Contamination and Nutrients	Not Properly Functioning	Maintain. The project will not result in an increase in pollution-generating impervious surface that would increase the abundance or distribution of chemical contaminants associated with motor vehicles. Although combustion engines will be used during construction, their use will be limited and of short duration. The use of fertilizers will be limited to amending planting pits.
Habitat Access	Physical Barriers	Functioning At Risk	Improve. The project will improve fish passage by replacing an undersized culvert and removing sediment and debris from within the stream channel. The project will also prevent the existing bypass system from being engaged during base flow. This action will improve the physical barriers indicator.
Habitat Elements	Substrate	Functioning At Risk	Improve. The project will remove a sediment wedge composed of sand and silt that has accumulated in the stream channel from anthropogenic sources, and replace it with gravels. This action will improve the substrate indicator.
	LWD	Not Properly Functioning	Improve. Existing LWD will not be permanently removed from the project reach. LWD will be added to the stream channel as part of the restoration plan. Therefore, the project will improve this indicator.
	Pool Frequency	Not Properly Functioning	Improve. The existing stream channel is dominated by low-gradient riffle habitat. After construction lateral scour pools will likely form near the LWD.
	Pool Quality	Not Properly Functioning	Improve. The pools created through restoration should be deep enough to be utilized by cutthroat trout during periods of base-flow and deep enough to be used by anadromous salmonids during higher flows.
	Off-Channel Habitat	Not Properly Functioning	Maintain. No change to this indicator is anticipated.
	Refugia	Not Properly Functioning	Improve. The addition of LWD and resulting lateral scour pools will increase the potential for refugia during base flow and periods of high flow.
	Width/Depth Ratio	Functioning At Risk	Improve. A slight improvement should occur due to channel realignment.
Channel Conditions and Dynamics	Streambank Condition	Properly Functioning	Improve. Although the existing streambank is vegetated and includes willows, Himalayan blackberry is abundant. The stream channel is also somewhat incised. After construction, the stream bank will be planted with native vegetation. No new riprap or other forms of bank armoring are proposed. Once the mitigation trees and shrubs become established, the streambank condition will improve within the project reach.
	Floodplain Connectivity	Not Properly Functioning	Maintain. No change to this indicator is anticipated.
	Change in Peak/Base Flows	Not Properly Functioning	Maintain. No change to this indicator is anticipated. Although the project will reduce the severity and frequency of localized flooding, no measurable change to basin wide peak/base flows will result from this specific project.
Flow/Hydrology	Increase in Drainage Network	Not Properly Functioning	Maintain. No change to this indicator is anticipated.

PATHWAY	INDICATORS	BASELINE CONDITION	PROJECT EFFECTS TO BASELINE CONDITIONS
			Kelsey Creek Basin
Watershed Conditions	Road Density and Location	Not Properly Functioning	Maintain. No change to this indicator is anticipated.
	Disturbance History	Not Applicable	Degrade → Maintain. The disturbance history will be degraded during construction, but will shift to maintain once the restoration and mitigation measures have been implemented.
	Riparian Reserve	Not Properly Functioning	Degrade → Improve. The project will increase the abundance of trees in the project area. Implementing the mitigation plan will remove non-native invasive species, and will plant native trees and shrubs.

Based on the anticipated effects to the baseline conditions summarized above, six indicators will be *maintained*. Some indicators such as temperature, sediment, disturbance history, and riparian reserve will be *degraded* during construction, but degradation will be temporary and shift to maintain or improve once construction is complete and/or the installed plants become large enough to provide function. The predominance (nine) indicators are anticipated to *improve* after the project is completed. Based on this assessment, temporary impacts will occur, but after construction and once the installed plant community becomes established, up to nine indicators will improve and result in a net increase in functional lift to salmonid habitat.

6.3 WILDLIFE IMPACTS

Wildlife impacts could occur during construction. Construction activities are focused at the existing culvert crossing under NE 21st Street and along the stream channel, but include the lands adjoining Valley Creek. The project also involves clearing approximately 8,939 square feet (0.21 acre) of existing vegetation as part of the mitigation plan. Use of this area by wildlife is limited to those species typically associated with urban environments. This may include nesting and foraging by various species of birds. At least one small nest was observed in a thicket of Himalayan blackberry during the site visit, mallard ducks (including several ducklings) were observed immediately upstream of the project area, and a few other species were observed in the general project area. Use of the project area for nesting would be limited and seasonal, while use for foraging or refugia would be more prolonged. Use by small mammals would also be limited and likely restricted to small rodents, raccoons, and opossum. Although some wildlife species can and do utilize non-native vegetation, the subset is small compared to the number of species that can use native vegetation for nesting, foraging, or refuge. Since project activities would occur after most bird species have nested, no measurable impact to nesting birds is anticipated. Although project construction would remove existing vegetation and increase the level of disturbance in the project vicinity, these impacts would be temporary. Furthermore, the project will result in a net increase in habitat function by improving and increasing native plant species diversity and abundance. The species of vegetation selected in the mitigation plan are those known to be beneficial to wildlife.

7.0 MITIGATION

Project-related impacts to waters of the U.S. are limited to 129 square feet of permanent impact where wetland is being converted to stream, and an additional 1,811 square feet of temporary impact where sediment is being removed from the stream channel. Refer to **Appendix E – Sheet 12 of 20** for a summary table of impacts and mitigation.

Permanent wetland impacts are to a Category III wetland (Wetland B). The City proposes to mitigate for the 129 square feet of permanent impact through enhancement at a ratio of 8:1, which results in a requirement to provide 1,032 square feet of wetland enhancement. This will be accomplished by enhancing Wetland B, which is dominated by Himalayan blackberry. Himalayan blackberry will be removed and replaced with native vegetation.

Temporary impacts to approximately 150 linear feet (1,811 square feet) of stream channel will occur as a result of removing sediment. This section of stream will be restored by increasing sinuosity, adding LWD, and adding streambed gravel.

In order to improve overall habitat conditions for fish and wildlife, the City is also proposing to restore riparian habitat along Valley Creek within the project reach. Additional restoration actions include enhancing 473 square feet of Wetland B and 7,276 square feet of stream/wetland buffer. The mitigation plan will replace non-native invasive species with a diverse assemblage of native species. The plan includes installation of a minimum of 68 trees (7 species), 460 willow and dogwood cuttings (4 species), and 269 shrubs (12 species). **Appendix E – Sheet 16** summarizes the species selected and the quantities to be installed.

The following section summarizes the goals and objectives, planting plan, plant establishment and maintenance, performance standards, approval criteria, contingencies, and monitoring requirements. Refer to **Appendix E** for more detail. Within **Appendix E, Sheet 13** outlines the locations and areas of impact, restoration, and enhancement; **Sheet 14** outlines planting zones; **Sheet 15** includes general mitigation notes; **Sheet 16** outlines the planting and hydroseed schedule; **Sheet 17** outlines plant material spacing and layout; and **Sheets 18 and 19** provide planting details. The mitigation area will be fenced and Native Growth Protective Area (NGPA) signage will be installed around the perimeter.

7.1 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The primary goals of this mitigation plan are to improve stream, riparian, and wetland functions impacted by construction of the project, and improve fish and wildlife habitat in the project vicinity. This will be accomplished by improving in-stream habitat, removing non-native invasive species that currently dominate the site, and replacing them with a diverse assemblage of native species that, once established, will improve habitat for fish and wildlife.

7.2 MONITORING PLAN

All planting zones will be monitored annually for a minimum of five years, which includes the first year warranty inspection. Formal monitoring will occur in compliance with reporting requirements of the City and Corps. Monitoring reports will be submitted to the City and other resource agencies for review and comment. Reports will be prepared per the Corps format. These reports will address progress toward meeting the performance measures and success standards as

specified, and any recommended contingency actions taken to correct deficiencies that occurred in meeting these standards. Report submittals will occur following each monitoring period. Successful mitigation will be measured by attainment of the performance measures and success standards described in this mitigation plan.

The City or their designee will conduct the monitoring at the mitigation site. Compliance monitoring provides a means for tracking the development of the mitigation site over time, and for determining compliance with permits issued by federal, state, or local jurisdictions.

Monitoring will occur on a yearly basis until performance standards have been met. Mitigation site monitoring will utilize a variety of ecological monitoring techniques. Many standard techniques such as transect lines and sample plots may be used. Monitoring will also include tracking mortality, photo points (culvert, stream, LWD, and planting areas), as well as additional methods deemed necessary to adequately document development of the mitigation area over the monitoring period. Monitoring methodology will be included in the annual monitoring reports. The following items will be documented during yearly monitoring:

- Number of live trees and shrubs (Year 1 only).
- Number of live trees (Years 1 through 3 only).
- Percent cover (all years except Year 1).
- Continuity of live willow stakes along the stream channel (to identify and measure gaps of dead willows > five feet wide).
- Signs of herbivory by rodents (voles or beaver) on newly-installed plants.
- Signs of rapid or potentially unacceptable reestablishment of non-native species.
- Signs of vandalism or illegal dumping.
- Signs of high plant mortality other than herbivory. Potential causes could be disease, improper plant selection, or exposure to extreme weather conditions or events prior to initial plant established.
- Stream bank instability or erosion.
- Condition of bark mulch to include areas of erosion, thickness, and/or saturation.
- Condition of the hydroseeded area(s).
- Condition or exposure of coir matting.
- Condition and stability of LWD.
- Condition of trashracks to include accumulation of debris or engagement during periods of baseflow.
- Condition of the new culvert under NE 21st Street and downstream project reach to include fish passage potential, blockages from debris, stream flow, gravels, or accumulation of sediment, and debris.

7.3 PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

The following performance standards change on a yearly basis as the site develops and will be used to measure success.

Year 1

- All planting zones will achieve a 100 percent survival rate by the end of the first year.
- Cover of non-native or invasive species shall be less than 10 percent.
- All yearly maintenance requirements have been documented and corrected if deemed detrimental.

Year 2

- 95 percent survival of all trees by the end of the second year.
- No gaps of dead willows greater than 5 feet along the streambank. Gaps may be infilled with additional willow cuttings to meet this requirement.
- All planting zones achieve a minimum of 25 percent cover by the end of the second year.
- Cover of non-native or invasive species shall be less than 10 percent.
- All yearly maintenance requirements have been documented and corrected if deemed detrimental by the monitoring biologist.

Year 3

- 90 percent survival of all trees by the end of the third year.
- No gaps of dead willows greater than 5 feet along the streambank. Gaps may be infilled with additional willow cuttings to meet this requirement.
- All planting zones will achieve a minimum 30 percent cover by the end of the third year.
- Cover of non-native or invasive species shall be less than 10 percent.
- All yearly maintenance requirements have been documented and corrected if deemed detrimental by the monitoring biologist.

Year 5

- No gaps of dead willows greater than five feet along the streambank. Gaps may be infilled with additional willow cuttings to meet this requirement.
- All planting zones will achieve a minimum 60 percent cover by the end of the fifth year.
- Cover of non-native or invasive species shall be less than 10 percent.
- All yearly maintenance requirements have been documented and corrected if deemed detrimental by the monitoring biologist.

7.3.1 Contingencies

Failure to meet the proposed performance standards will result in implementation of contingency actions. The failure to meet performance actions and implementation of contingency actions will be documented in the monitoring reports. Failure to meet performance standards may result in some or all of the following contingency actions:

- Additional vegetation planting may be required to meet plant survival or cover standards. Plant species will be evaluated in relation to site conditions to determine if species substitutions will be required.
- Control of competitive weed species may be required if plant survival standards are not met. Methods of weed control could include hand or mechanical weeding, or mulching.

7.3.2 Year 5 Success and Mitigation Approval

Mitigation success will be achieved during Year 5 if all Year-5 success standards have been met and the required maintenance tasks completed.

7.4 MAINTENANCE

Maintenance will occur up to two times during year-one and then yearly throughout the five-year monitoring period as needed. The contractor shall correct erosion and drainage problems as specified on the TESC plans through the one-year warranty period. The contractor shall remove silt fencing at the end of the one-year warranty period and restore the fenced area by hand seeding between April 1st and October 15th all bare areas greater than one-square foot. Maintenance within the mitigation and restoration areas shall be performed by the contractor or City under direction of the mitigation monitor. Required maintenance activities are outlined below in **Table 9**.

Table 9: Maintenance Tasks

Task	Schedule	Notes
Replace all failed mitigation plantings.	One year following planting, then as required to meet performance standards.	By contractor the first year and the by owner after first year.
Temporary irrigation of new plantings.	Planting will occur in the fall at the end of the growing season and not require irrigation.	Monitoring may result in developing a watering plan depending on results.
Trash Removal.	Inspect during each maintenance and monitoring event.	By contractor during first year and owner after first year.
Stream channel debris.	Inspect during each maintenance and monitoring event.	By owner. Debris to be removed as needed to eliminate flow or fish blockages.
Repair of fencing and/or NGPA signage.	Inspect during each maintenance and monitoring event.	Replace as necessary.
Weeding	Inspect during each maintenance and monitoring event.	Trees and shrubs must be weeded to the dripline and mulch maintained at 3 inches deep. By contractor during first year and owner after first year.
Clear and grub undesirable invasive plants from the NGPA. Undesirable species include Himalayan blackberry, evergreen blackberry, scot's broom, English ivy, purple loose strife, morning glory, climbing nightshade, Japanese knotweed, and reed canarygrass, and all species outlined on the most current King County Noxious Weed List.	As required in annual monitoring reports.	Removal should be directed by the wetland biologist. Clearing and grubbing shall be by physically (non-mechanical) removing plant materials including root masses and trimming. Weeds must be properly disposed of off-site. By contractor the first year, by owner after the first year.

8.0 CONCLUSIONS

The City of Bellevue – Valley Creek Flood Reduction Project at NE 21st Street has been designed to reduce the frequency and severity of localized flooding that result in road closures, adversely impacts local commercial businesses, and creates safety concerns. The project will upgrade an existing undersized culvert under NE 21st Street, adjust the existing stormwater bypass inlets, and remove sediment that has accumulated in the stream channel downstream of the culvert.

As part of the permitting process, critical areas were identified and delineated so that these areas could be avoided to the maximum extent possible. Although impacts to critical areas were minimized, some unavoidable impacts would occur during construction. The project would result in both permanent and temporary impacts to critical areas. Permanent impacts would be limited to 129 square feet of wetland and 158 square feet of buffer impact. Temporary impacts would occur to 2,010 square feet within approximately 150 linear feet of stream channel due to sediment removal, dewatering, and restoration. The temporary stream impacts are being mitigated by restoring the stream channel. Stream restoration includes adding sinuosity, LWD, and stream gravels. The 129 square feet of permanent wetland impact is being mitigated by enhancing 1,505 square feet of existing wetland resulting in a 11.7:1 mitigation ratio, which exceeds the required 8:1 mitigation ratio. The 158 square feet of permanent buffer impact is being mitigated by enhancing 8,939 square feet of buffer resulting in a 56.6:1 ratio, which far exceeds the typical 1:1 mitigation ratio for buffer impacts. All areas currently vegetated that are disturbed during construction will be replanted. Based on a review of current site conditions, project design, impact minimization measures, and implementation of the outlined mitigation, the proposed project would result in short term and localized impacts, but would result in a net increase in fish and wildlife habitat. The project will occur within an area mapped as being within the FEMA 100 year floodplain, but will not result in a net increase in fill and would therefore not increase or adversely impact the floodplain. No other critical areas have been documented within the project area.

9.0 REFERENCES

- City of Bellevue. 2010. *Preliminary Draft Noise and Vibration Report*. Prepared for the Bellevue 120th Avenue NE Corridor Project.
- City of Bellevue. 2003. Bellevue Critical Areas Update Streams Inventory. March.
- David Evans and Associates, Inc. (DEA) and City of Bellevue. 2010. *City of Bellevue 2010 Kelsey Creek Basin Juvenile Fish Use Report*. Prepared for the City of Bellevue Utilities Department by David Evans and Associates, Inc. December.
- Dvornich, K.M., K.R. McAllister, and K.B. Aubry. 1997. *Amphibians and Reptiles of Washington State, Location Data and Predicted Distributions*. Washington State Gap Analysis Project Final Report – Volume 2.
- Hruby, T. 2004. *Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington – Revised*. Washington State Department of Ecology Publication # 04-06-025.
- Johnson, R.E., and K.M. Cassidy. 1997. *Terrestrial Mammals of Washington State, Location Data and Predicted Distributions*. Volume 3 in Washington State Gap Analysis – Final Report, (K.M. Cassidy, C.E. Grue, M.R. Smith and K.M. Dvornich, eds.), Washington Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, University of Washington, Seattle, 304 pp.
- Kerwin, J. 2001. Salmon and Steelhead Habitat Limiting Factors Report for the Cedar – Sammamish Basin (Watershed Water Resource Inventory Area 8). Washington State Conservation Commission. Olympia, Washington.
- King County. 1990. *King County Sensitive Areas Map Folio*. King County, Washington.
- Ludwa, K., G. Lucchetti, K.L. Fresh, and K. Walter. 1997. Assessing stream-dwelling fishes in basins of the Lake Washington watershed, summer 1996. Produced for the City of Bellevue.
- May, C. W. 1996. Assessment of cumulative effects of urbanization on small streams in the Puget Sound lowland ecosystem: implications for salmonid resource management. Ph.D. thesis, Department of Engineering, University of Washington.
- May, C.W., E.B. Welsh, R.R. Horner, J.R. Karr, and B.W. Mar. 1997. *Quality Indices for Urbanization Effects in Puget Sound Lowland Streams*. Final Report for the Washington Department of Ecology. Water Resources Series Technical Report No. 154. Olympia, Washington.
- Smith, M.R., P.W. Mattocks, Jr., and K.M. Cassidy. 1997. *Breeding Birds of Washington State. Volume 4 in Washington State Gap Analysis – Final Report*, (K.M. Cassidy, C.E. Grue, M.R. Smith, and K.M. Dvornich, eds.), Seattle Audubon Society Publications in Zoology No. 1, Seattle, 538 pp.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps). 2010. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region (Version 2). May.



- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service (formerly Soil Conservation Service). 1991. Hydric Soils of the State of Washington.
- . Soil Conservation Service. 1973. *Soil Survey of King County Area, Washington*. Washington Agricultural Experiment Station.
- Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology). 2005. 2004 Water Quality Assessment (Final), Category 5 Listings for WRIA 8. Available on the www at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/303d/2002/2004_documents/wria_pdfs-5final/kk-active-5-wria8.pdf
- Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology). 2004. Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington.
- Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW). 2012. Priority Habitat and Species Data – Habitats and Species Report in the Vicinity of T25R05E Section 27 dated July 18, 2012.
- Washington Department of Natural Resources (WDNR). 2007. Washington Natural Heritage Program Rare Plant and High Quality Native Ecosystem Data Search dated March 14, 2007.
- Williams, R.W., R.M. Laramie and J.J. Ames. 1975. A Catalog of Washington Streams and Salmon Utilization – Volume I, Puget Sound Region. Washington Department of Fisheries.

This page intentionally left blank.


APPENDIX A

EXISTING CONDITIONS

This page intentionally left blank.




LEGEND

	QUARTER CORNER		60' WETLAND BUFFER
	TAX LOT / PARCEL NUMBER		50' STREAM BUFFER
	WHEEL CHAIR RAMP		ROAD CENTERLINE
	SIGN		DITCH/FLOW LINE
	POLE		WETLAND BOUNDARY
	TRAFFIC SIGNAL CABINET		EDGE OF GRAVEL OR DIRT
	STREET LIGHT W/ ARM		TRAFFIC STRIPING
	POST OR BOLLARD		ROCKERY
	DECIDUOUS TREE		FENCE LINE (TYPE AS NOTED)
	CONIFEROUS TREE		TREE/VEGETATION LINE
	WATER MANHOLE		EASEMENT LINE
	WATER VALVE		PROPERTY LINE
	WATER METER		QUARTER SECTION LINE
	FIRE HYDRANT		EXISTING RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE
	SEWER MANHOLE		SANITARY SEWER
	STORM DRAIN MANHOLE		NATURAL OR PETROLEUM GAS
	STORM DRAIN VAULT		UNDERGROUND POWER
	STORM CATCH BASIN		STORM DRAIN
	STORM CULVERT		UNDER GROUND TELEPHONE
	ELECTRIC MANHOLE		DOMESTIC WATER
	ELECTRIC VAULT	ASPH	ASPHALT
	TELEPHONE MANHOLE	CB	CATCH BASIN
	TELEPHONE RISER	CC	CONCRETE CURB
	GAS VALVE	CG	CURB AND GUTTER
		CLF	CHAIN LINK FENCE
		CMP	CORRUGATED METAL PIPE
		CONC	CONCRETE
		DW	DRIVEWAY
		EP	EDGE OF PAVEMENT
		IE	INVERT ELEVATION
		OHWM	ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK
		PP	POWER POLE
		WM	WATER METER
		WV	WATER VALVE

APPENDIX - A

**EXISTING CONDITIONS
VALLEY CREEK AT NE 21ST STREET
FOR
SAIC
CITY OF BELLEVUE, KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON**



**DAVID EVANS
AND ASSOCIATES INC.**

415 - 118th Avenue SE
Bellevue Washington 98005-3518
Phone: 425.519.6500

REVISIONS: APPD.

DATE: SEPT. 17, 2012
DESIGN:
DRAWN: JMDE,GBK
CHECKED: SASW
REVISION
NUMBER:

SCALE: 1"=20'

PROJECT NUMBER:
SEE100000003

DRAWING FILE:
svTPXseei00000003.dwg

SHEET NO.

This page intentionally left blank.

APPENDIX B

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

This page intentionally left blank.



PHOTO 1



PHOTO 2

- 1 View of upstream or north side of NE 21st Street. 7/9/2012.
- 2 Close up view of upstream or north side of NE 21st Street. 7/9/2012.

City of Bellevue
Valley Creek at NE 21st Flood Control Project

Critical Areas Report

SEE10000-0003

September 2012

Appendix B –
Site Photographs



DAVID EVANS
AND ASSOCIATES INC.



PHOTO
3



PHOTO
4

3 View looking upstream/north at Valley Creek from NE 21st Street. 7/9/2012.

4 View looking down/west along NE 21st Street towards 140th Ave NE. 7/9/2012.

City of Bellevue
Valley Creek at NE 21st Flood Control Project

Critical Areas Report

SEE10000-0003

September 2012

Appendix B –
Site Photographs



DAVID EVANS
AND ASSOCIATES INC.



PHOTO 5



PHOTO 6

5 View of downstream high flow bypass opening. 7/9/2012.

6 Downstream excavated pool at confluence of Sears and Valley Creeks. 9/12/2012.

City of Bellevue
Valley Creek at NE 21st Flood Control Project

Critical Areas Report

SEEI0000-0003

September 2012

Appendix B –
Site Photographs



DAVID EVANS
AND ASSOCIATES INC.



PHOTO 7




PHOTO 8

7 View looking east at the confluence of Sears with Valley Creek. 9/12/2012.

8 View of Sears Creek looking west towards Valley Creek. 9/12/2012.

City of Bellevue
Valley Creek at NE 21st Flood Control Project

Critical Areas Report

SEEI0000-0003

September 2012

Appendix B –
Site Photographs



DAVID EVANS
AND ASSOCIATES INC.

PHOTO 9



PHOTO 10



9 Valley Creek restoration area downstream of NE 21st Street. 9/12/2012.

10 Valley Creek restoration area downstream of NE 21st Street. 9/12/2012.

City of Bellevue
Valley Creek at NE 21st Flood Control Project

Critical Areas Report

SEE10000-0003

September 2012

Appendix B –
Site Photographs



DAVID EVANS
AND ASSOCIATES INC.

PHOTO 11



PHOTO 12



11 Middle section of Valley Creek restoration area.
9/12/2012.

12 Middle section of Valley Creek restoration area.
9/12/2012.

City of Bellevue
Valley Creek at NE 21st Flood Control Project

Critical Areas Report

SEE10000-0003

September 2012

Appendix B –
Site Photographs



DAVID EVANS
AND ASSOCIATES INC.



PHOTO
13



PHOTO
14

13 View looking upstream along Valley Creek from NE 20th Street. 9/12/2012.

14 View looking upstream along Valley Creek from NE 20th Street. 9/12/2012.

City of Bellevue
Valley Creek at NE 21st Flood Control Project

Critical Areas Report

SEEI0000-0003

September 2012

Appendix B –
Site Photographs



DAVID EVANS
AND ASSOCIATES INC.

This page intentionally left blank.

APPENDIX C

WETLAND A AND B RATING FORMS

This page intentionally left blank.

Wetland name or number

Wetland A_____

WETLAND RATING FORM – WESTERN WASHINGTON

Version 2 – Updated July 2006 to increase accuracy and reproducibility among users

Updated Oct. 2008 with the new WDFW definitions for priority habitats

Name of wetland (if known): _____ Wetland A _____ Date of site visit: July 9, 2012Rated by: _____ Scott Swarts _____ Trained by Ecology? Yes X No _____ Date of training: Nov 15, 2006SEC: 27 TWNSHP: 25N RNGE: 5E Is S/T/R in Appendix D? Yes _____ No XMap of wetland unit: Figure _____ Estimated size one half acre**SUMMARY OF RATING**Category based on FUNCTIONS provided by wetland: I _____ II _____ III X IV _____

Category I =	Score > 70
Category II =	Score 51 - 69
Category III =	Score 30 – 50
Category IV =	Score < 30

Score for Water Quality Functions	16
Score for Hydrologic Functions	18
Score for Habitat Functions	14
TOTAL Score for Functions	48

Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of Wetland I _____ II _____ Does not apply X**Final Category** (choose the “highest” category from above)**III****Summary of basic information about the wetland unit.**

Wetland Unit has Special Characteristics		Wetland HGM Class used for Rating	
Estuarine		Depressional	
Natural Heritage Wetland		Riverine	X
Bog		Lake-fringe	
Mature Forest		Slope	
Old Growth Forest		Flats	
Coastal Lagoon		Freshwater Tidal	
Interdunal			
None of the above	X	Check if unit has multiple HGM classes present	

Does the wetland being rated meet any of the criteria below? If you answer YES to any of the questions below you will need to protect the wetland according to the regulations regarding the special characteristics found in the wetland.

Check List for Wetlands that Need Additional Protection (in addition to the protection recommended for its category)	YES	NO
SP1. <i>Has the wetland unit been documented as a habitat for any Federally listed Threatened or Endangered animal or plant species (T/E species)?</i> For the purposes of this rating system, “documented” means the wetland is on the appropriate state or federal database.		X
SP2. <i>Has the wetland unit been documented as habitat for any State listed Threatened or Endangered animal species?</i> For the purposes of this rating system, “documented” means the wetland is on the appropriate state database. Note: Wetlands with State listed plant species are categorized as Category 1 Natural Heritage Wetlands (see p. 19 of data form).		X
SP3. <i>Does the wetland unit contain individuals of Priority species listed by the WDFW for the state?</i>		X
SP4. <i>Does the wetland unit have a local significance in addition to its functions?</i> For example, the wetland has been identified in the Shoreline Master Program, the Critical Areas Ordinance, or in a local management plan as having special significance.		X

To complete the next part of the data sheet you will need to determine the Hydrogeomorphic Class of the wetland being rated.

The hydrogeomorphic classification groups wetlands in to those that function in similar ways. This simplifies the questions needed to answer how well the wetland functions. The Hydrogeomorphic Class of a wetland can be determined using the key below. See p. 24 for more detailed instructions on classifying wetlands.

Classification of Vegetated Wetlands for Western Washington

If the hydrologic criteria listed in each question do not apply to the entire unit being rated, you probably have a unit with multiple HGM classes. In this case, identify which hydrologic criteria in questions 1-7 apply, and go to Question 8.

1. Are the water levels in the entire unit usually controlled by tides (i.e. except during floods)?

☐ NO

– go to 2

YES – the wetland class is **Tidal Fringe**

If yes, is the salinity of the water during periods of annual low flow below 0.5 ppt (parts per thousand)?

YES – **Freshwater Tidal Fringe**

NO – **Saltwater Tidal Fringe (Estuarine)**

*If your wetland can be classified as a Freshwater Tidal Fringe use the forms for **Riverine** wetlands. If it is a Saltwater Tidal Fringe it is rated as an **Estuarine** wetland. Wetlands that were called estuarine in the first and second editions of the rating system are called Salt Water Tidal Fringe in the Hydrogeomorphic Classification. Estuarine wetlands were categorized separately in the earlier editions, and this separation is being kept in this revision. To maintain consistency between editions, the term “Estuarine” wetland is kept. Please note, however, that the characteristics that define Category I and II estuarine wetlands have changed (see p. _____).*

2. The entire wetland unit is flat and precipitation is only source (>90%) of water to it. Groundwater and surface water runoff are NOT sources of water to the unit.

☐ NO

– go to 3

YES – The wetland class is **Flats**

If your wetland can be classified as a “Flats” wetland, use the form for **Depressional** wetlands.

3. Does the entire wetland meet both of the following criteria?

_____ The vegetated part of the wetland is on the shores of a body of permanent open water (without any vegetation on the surface) where at least 20 acres (8ha) in size;

_____ At least 30% of the open water area is deeper than 6.6 (2 m)?

☐ NO

– go to 4

YES – The wetland class is **Lake-fringe (Lacustrine Fringe)**

4. Does the entire wetland meet all of the following criteria?

_____ The wetland is on a slope (*slope can be very gradual*).

_____ The water flows through the wetland in one direction (unidirectional) and usually comes from seeps. It may flow subsurface, as sheetflow, or in a swale without distinct banks.

_____ The water leaves the wetland **without being impounded**?

NOTE: Surface water does not pond in these types of wetlands except occasionally in very small and shallow depressions or behind hummocks (depressions are usually <3 ft diameter and less than 1 foot deep).

☐ NO

– go to 5

YES – The wetland class is **Slope**

5. Does the entire wetland meet all of the following criteria?

X The unit is in a valley or stream channel where it gets inundated by overbank flooding from that stream or river.

X The overbank flooding occurs at least once every two years.

NOTE: The riverine unit can contain depressions that are filled with water when the river is not flooding..

NO – go to 6

☒ YES – The wetland class is **Riverine**

6. Is the entire wetland unit in a topographic depression in which water ponds, or is saturated to the surface, at some time of the year. This means that any outlet, if present is higher than the interior of the wetland.

NO – go to 7

YES – The wetland class is **Depressional**

7. Is the entire wetland located in a very flat area with no obvious depression and no overbank flooding. The unit does not pond surface water more than a few inches. The unit seems to be maintained by high groundwater in the area. The wetland may be ditched, but has no obvious natural outlet.

No – go to 8








YES – The wetland class is **Depressional**

8. Your wetland unit seems to be difficult to classify and probably contains several different HGM classes. For example, seeps at the base of a slope may grade into a riverine floodplain, or a small stream within a depressional wetland has a zone of flooding along its sides. GO BACK AND IDENTIFY WHICH OF THE HYDROLOGIC REGIMES DESCRIBED IN QUESTIONS 1-7 APPLY TO DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE UNIT (make a rough sketch to help you decide). Use the following table to identify the appropriate class to use for the rating system if you have several HGM classes present within your wetland. NOTE: Use this table only if the class that is recommended in the second column represents 10% or more of the total area of the wetland unit being rated. If the area of the class listed in column 2 is less than 10% of the unit, classify the wetland using the class that represents more than 90% of the total area.

<i>HGM Classes within the wetland unit being rated</i>	<i>HGM Class to Use in Rating</i>
Slope + Riverine	Riverine
Slope + Depressional	Depressional
Slope + Lake-fringe	Lake-fringe
Depressional + Riverine along stream within boundary	Depressional
Depressional + Lake-fringe	Depressional
Salt Water Tidal Fringe and any other class of freshwater wetland	Treat as ESTUARINE under wetlands with special characteristics

R Riverine and Freshwater Tidal Fringe Wetlands		Points
WATER QUALITY FUNCTIONS – Indicators that wetland functions to improve water quality.		(only 1 score per box)
R 1	Does the wetland have the <u>potential</u> to improve water quality? (see p.52)	
	R 1.1 Area of surface depressions within the riverine wetland that can trap sediments during a flooding event: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Depressions cover > 3/4 area of wetland points = 8 Depressions cover > 1/2 area of wetland points = 4 (If depressions > 1/2 of area of unit draw polygons on aerial photo or map) Depressions present but cover < 1/2 area of wetland. <u>points = 2</u> No depressions present points = 0 	Figure ____ 2
	R 1.2 Characteristics of the vegetation in the unit (areas with >90% cover at person height): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trees or shrubs > 2/3 area of the unit points = 8 Trees or shrubs > 1/3 area of the wetland <u>points = 6</u> Ungrazed, herbaceous plants > 2/3 area of unit points = 6 Ungrazed herbaceous plants > 1/3 area of unit points = 3 Trees, shrubs, and ungrazed herbaceous < 1/3 area of unit points = 0 	Figure ____ 6
	Aerial photo or map showing polygons of different vegetation types	
	Add the points in the boxes above	8
R 2	Does the wetland have the <u>opportunity</u> to improve water quality?	(see p. 53)
	Answer YES if you know or believe there are pollutants in groundwater or surface water coming into the wetland that would otherwise reduce water quality in streams, lakes or groundwater downgradient from the wetland. <i>Note which of the following conditions provide the sources of pollutants. A unit may have pollutants coming from several sources, but any single source would qualify as opportunity.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Grazing in the wetland or within 150 ft <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Untreated stormwater discharges to wetland <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tilled fields or orchards within 150 ft. of wetland <input type="checkbox"/> A stream or culvert discharges into wetland that drains developed areas, residential areas, farmed fields, roads, or clear-cut logging <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Residential, urban areas, golf courses are within 150 ft. of wetland <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The river or stream linked to the wetland has a contributing basin where human activities have raised levels of sediment, toxic compounds or nutrients in the river water above standards for water quality. <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ 	Multiplier 2
	YES multiplier is 2 NO multiplier is 1	
◆	TOTAL – Water Quality Functions Multiply the score from R1 by R2; then <i>add score to table on p. 1</i>	16
HYDROLOGIC FUNCTIONS – Indicators that wetland functions to reduce flooding and stream erosion.		
R 3	Does the wetland have the <u>potential</u> to reduce flooding and erosion?	(see p.54)
	R 3.1 Characteristics of the overbank storage the wetland provides: <i>Estimate the average width of the wetland perpendicular to the direction of the flow and the width of the stream or river channel (distance between banks). Calculate the ratio: (average width of unit) / (average width of stream between banks).</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If the ratio is more than 20..... points = 9 If the ratio is between 10 – 20..... points = 6 If the ratio is 5- <10..... points = 4 If the ratio is 1- <5..... <u>points = 2</u> If the ratio is < 1 points = 1 	Figure ____ 2
	Aerial photo or map showing average widths	
	R 3.2 Characteristics of vegetation that slow down water velocities during floods: <i>Treat large woody debris as "forest or shrub". Choose the points appropriate for the best description. (polygons need to have >90% cover at person height NOT Cowardin classes):</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Forest or shrub for > 1/3 area OR herbaceous plants > 2/3 area <u>points = 7</u> Forest or shrub for > 1/10 area OR herbaceous plants > 1/3 area points = 4 Vegetation does not meet above criteria points = 0 	Figure ____ 7
	Aerial photo or map showing polygons of different vegetation types	
	Add the points in the boxes above	9
R 4	Does the wetland have the <u>opportunity</u> to reduce flooding and erosion?	(see p.57)
	Answer YES if the wetland is in a location in the watershed where the flood storage, or reduction in water velocity, it provides helps protect downstream property and aquatic resources from flooding or excessive and/or erosive flows. <i>Note which of the following conditions apply.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> There are human structures and activities downstream (roads, buildings, bridges, farms) that can be damaged by flooding. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> There are natural resources downstream (e.g. salmon redds) that can be damaged by flooding <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ 	Multiplier 2
	(Answer NO if the major source of water to the wetland is controlled by a reservoir or the wetland is tidal fringe along the sides of a dike)	
	YES multiplier is 2 NO multiplier is 1	
◆	TOTAL – Hydrologic Functions Multiply the score from R3 by R4; then <i>add score to table on p. 1</i>	18

Comments:

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.		Points (only 1 score per box)
HABITAT FUNCTIONS – Indicators that wetland functions to provide important habitat.		
H 1	Does the wetland have the <u>potential</u> to provide habitat for many species?	
H 1.1	<p><u>Vegetation structure</u> (see P. 72): Check the types of vegetation classes present (as defined by Cowardin) – Size threshold for each class is 1/4 acre or more than 10% of the area if unit is smaller than 2.5 acres.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Bed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emergent plants <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scrub/shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover) <input type="checkbox"/> Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cover)</p> <p>If the unit has a forested class check if: <input type="checkbox"/> The forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/ground-cover) that each cover 20% within the forested polygon. Add the number of vegetation types that qualify. If you have:</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Map of Cowardin vegetation classes 4 structures or more points = 4 3 structures points = 2 2 structures points = 1 1 structure points = 0 </p>	<p>Figure _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p>
H 1.2	<p><u>Hydroperiods</u> (see p.73): Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland or 1/4 acre to count (see text for descriptions of hydroperiods).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Permanently flooded or inundated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Seasonally flooded or inundated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasionally flooded or inundated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturated only <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permanently flowing stream or river in, or adjacent to, the wetland <input type="checkbox"/> Seasonally flowing stream in, or adjacent to, the wetland <input type="checkbox"/> Lake-fringe wetland = 2 points <input type="checkbox"/> Freshwater tidal wetland = 2 points</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Map of hydroperiods 4 or more types present points = 3 3 or more types present points = 2 2 types present points = 1 1 type present points = 0 </p>	<p>Figure _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">3</p>
H 1.3	<p><u>Richness of Plant Species</u> (see p. 75): Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft² (different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold) You do not have to name the species. Do not include Eurasian Milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canadian Thistle.</p> <p>If you counted: > 19 species points = 2 5 – 19 species points = 1 < 5 species points = 0</p> <p>List species below if you want to:</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">1</p>
H 1.4	<p><u>Interspersion of Habitats</u> (see p. 76): Decided from the diagrams below whether interspersion between Cowardin vegetation (described in H1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, medium, low, or none.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>None = 0 points</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>Low = 1 point</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>Moderate = 2 points</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>High = 3 points</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>[riparian braided channels]</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: right;"> Note: If you have 4 or more classes or 3 vegetation classes and open water, the rating is always “high”. Use map of Cowardin classes. </p>	<p>Figure _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p>
H 1.5	<p><u>Special Habitat Features</u> (see p. 77): Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. The number of checks is the number of points you put into the next column.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Large, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in. diameter and 6 ft. long) <input type="checkbox"/> Standing snags (diameter at the bottom > 4 inches) in the wetland <input type="checkbox"/> Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft. (2m) and/or overhanging vegetation extends at least 3.3 ft. (1m) over a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the unit, for at least 33 ft. (10m) <input type="checkbox"/> Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 30 degree slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (cut shrubs or trees that have not yet turned grey/brown) <input type="checkbox"/> At least 1/4 acre of thin-stemmed persistent vegetation or woody branches are present in areas that are permanently or seasonally inundated (structures for egg-laying by amphibians) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in each stratum of plants</p> <p>NOTE: The 20% stated in early printings of the manual on page 78 is an error.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">2</p>
H 1 TOTAL Score – potential for providing habitat		<p>Add the points in the column above</p> <p style="text-align: center;">8</p>

H 2	Does the wetland have the <u>opportunity</u> to provide habitat for many species?	(only 1 score per box)
	<p>H 2.1 <u>Buffers</u> (see P. 80): <i>Choose the description that best represents condition of buffer of wetland unit. The highest scoring criterion that applies to the wetland is to be used in the rating. See text for definition of "undisturbed".</i></p> <p>_____ 100m (330 ft) of relatively undisturbed vegetated areas, rocky areas, or open water > 95% of circumference. No structures are within the undisturbed part of buffer (relatively undisturbed also means no grazing, no landscaping, no daily human use)..... points = 5</p> <p>_____ 100m (330 ft) of relatively undisturbed vegetated areas, rocky areas, or open water > 50% circumference..... points = 4</p> <p>_____ 50m (170 ft) of relatively undisturbed vegetated areas, rocky areas, or open water > 95% circumference..... points = 4</p> <p>_____ 100m (330 ft) of relatively undisturbed vegetated areas, rocky areas, or open water > 25% circumference..... points = 3</p> <p>_____ 50m (170 ft) of relatively undisturbed vegetated areas, rocky areas, or open water for > 50% circumference..... points = 3</p> <p>If buffer does not meet any of the criteria above:</p> <p>_____ No paved areas (except paved trails) or buildings within 25m (80 ft) of wetland > 95% circumference. Light to moderate grazing or lawns are OK..... points = 2</p> <p>_____ No paved areas of buildings within 50m of wetland for > 50% circumference. Light to moderate grazing or lawns are OK..... points = 2</p> <p>_____ Heavy grazing in buffer..... points = 1</p> <p><u>X</u> Vegetated buffers are < 2m wide (6.6 ft) for more than 95% circumference (e.g. tilled fields, paving, basalt bedrock extend to edge of wetland)..... points = 0</p> <p>_____ Buffer does not meet any of the criteria above..... points = 1</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Arial photo showing buffers</p>	<p>Figure _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">0</p>
	<p>H 2.2 <u>Corridors and Connections</u> (see p. 81)</p> <p>H 2.2.1 Is the wetland part of a relatively undisturbed and unbroken vegetated corridor (either riparian or upland) that is at least 150 ft. wide, has at least a 30% cover of shrubs, forest or native undisturbed prairie, that connects to estuaries, other wetlands or undisturbed uplands that are at least 250 acres in size? (<i>Dams in riparian corridors, heavily used gravel roads, paved roads, are considered breaks in the corridor.</i>)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">YES = 4 points (go to H 2.3) <input type="checkbox"/> NO = go to H 2.2.2</p> <p>H 2.2.2 Is the wetland part of a relatively undisturbed and unbroken vegetated corridor (either riparian or upland) that is at least 50 ft. wide, has at least 30% cover of shrubs or forest, and connects to estuaries, other wetlands or undisturbed uplands that are at least 25 acres in size? OR a Lake-fringe wetland, if it does not have an undisturbed corridor as in the question above?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">YES = 2 points (go to H 2.3) <input type="checkbox"/> NO = go to H 2.2.3</p> <p>H 2.2.3 Is the wetland:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Within 5 mi (8km) of a brackish or salt water estuary OR • Within 3 miles of a large field or pasture (> 40 acres) OR • Within 1 mile of a lake greater than 20 acres? <p style="text-align: right;">YES = 1 point <input type="checkbox"/> NO = 0 points</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">0</p>

Comments:

	<p>H 2.3 <u>Near or adjacent to other priority habitats listed by WDFW</u> (see p. 82): (see new and complete descriptions of WDFW priority habitats, and the counties in which they can be found, in the PHS report http://wdfw.wa.gov/hab/phslist.htm)</p> <p>Which of the following priority habitats are within 330 ft. (100m) of the wetland unit? <i>NOTE: the connections do not have to be relatively undisturbed.</i></p> <p>___ Aspen Stands: Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 0.4 ha (1 acre).</p> <p>___ Biodiversity Areas and Corridors: Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife (full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 152).</p> <p>___ Herbaceous Balds: Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock.</p> <p>___ Old-growth/Mature forests: (Old-growth west of Cascade crest) Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 20 trees/ha (8 trees/acre) > 81 cm (32 in) dbh or > 200 years of age. (Mature forests) Stands with average diameters exceeding 53 cm (21 in) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80 - 200 years old west of the Cascade crest.</p> <p>___ Oregon white Oak: Woodlands Stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important (full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 158).</p> <p>___X___ Riparian: The area adjacent to aquatic systems with flowing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.</p> <p>___ Westside Prairies: Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie (full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 161).</p> <p>___X___ Instream: The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources.</p> <p>___ Nearshore: Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore. (full descriptions of habitats and the definition of relatively undisturbed are in WDFW report: pp. 167-169 and glossary in Appendix A).</p> <p>___ Caves: A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.</p> <p>___ Cliffs: Greater than 7.6 m (25 ft) high and occurring below 5000 ft.</p> <p>___ Talus: Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.15 - 2.0 m (0.5 - 6.5 ft), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.</p> <p>___ Snags and Logs: Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 51 cm (20 in) in western Washington and are > 2 m (6.5 ft) in height. Priority logs are > 30 cm (12 in) in diameter at the largest end, and > 6 m (20 ft) long.</p> <p>If wetland has 3 or more priority habitats = 4 points</p> <p>If wetland has 2 priority habitats = 3 points</p> <p>If wetland has 1 priority habitat = 1 point No habitats = 0 points</p> <p>Note: All vegetated wetlands are by definition a priority habitat but are not included in this list. Nearby wetlands are addressed in question H 2.4)</p>	3
	<p>H 2.4 <u>Wetland Landscape:</u> Choose the one description of the landscape around the wetland that best fits (see p. 84)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are at least 3 other wetlands within 1/2 mile, and the connections between them are relatively undisturbed (light grazing between wetlands OK, as is lake shore with some boating, but connections should NOT be bisected by paved roads, fill, fields, or other development.....points = 5 • The wetland is Lake-fringe on a lake with little disturbance and there are 3 other lake-fringe wetlands within 1/2 milepoints = 5 • There are at least 3 other wetlands within 1/2 mile, BUT the connections between them are disturbed.points = 3 • The wetland fringe on a lake with disturbance and there are 3 other lake-fringe wetlands within 1/2 milepoints = 3 • There is at least 1 wetland within 1/2 milepoints = 2 • There are no wetlands within 1/2 mile.....points = 0 	3
	<p>H 2 TOTAL Score – opportunity for providing habitat Add the scores from H2.1, H2.2, H2.3, H2.4</p>	8
	<p>TOTAL for H 1 from page 8</p>	6
◆	<p>Total Score for Habitat Functions Add the points for H 1 and H 2; then record the result on p. 1</p>	14

Comments:

CATEGORIZATION BASED ON SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS

***Please determine if the wetland meets the attributes described below
and circle the appropriate answers and Category.***

Wetland Type – Check off any criteria that apply to the wetland. Circle the Category when the appropriate criteria are met.	
SC1	<u>Estuarine wetlands?</u> (see p.86) Does the wetland unit meet the following criteria for Estuarine wetlands? <input type="checkbox"/> The dominant water regime is tidal, <input type="checkbox"/> Vegetated, and <input type="checkbox"/> With a salinity greater than 0.5 ppt. YES = Go to SC 1.1 <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X
	SC 1.1 Is the wetland unit within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151? YES = Category I NO = go to SC 1.2 Cat. 1
	SC 1.2 Is the wetland at least 1 acre in size and meets at least two of the following conditions? YES = Category I NO = Category II <input type="checkbox"/> The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing, and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. If the non-native <i>Spartina</i> spp., are only species that cover more than 10% of the wetland, then the wetland should be given a dual rating (I/II). The area of <i>Spartina</i> would be rated a Category II while the relatively undisturbed upper marsh with native species would be a Category I. Do not, however, exclude the area of <i>Spartina</i> in determining the size threshold of 1 acre. <input type="checkbox"/> At least 3/4 of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft. buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un-mowed grassland <input type="checkbox"/> The wetland has at least 2 of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands. Cat. I Cat. II Dual Rating I/II
SC2	<u>Natural Heritage Wetlands</u> (see p. 87) Natural Heritage wetlands have been identified by the Washington Natural Heritage Program/DNR as either high quality undisturbed wetlands or wetlands that support state Threatened, Endangered, or Sensitive plant species. SC 2.1 Is the wetland being rated in a Section/Township/Range that contains a natural heritage wetland? (This question is used to screen out most sites before you need to contact WNHP/DNR.) S/T/R information from Appendix D _____ or accessed from WNHP/DNR web site _____ YES _____ Contact WNHP/DNR (see p. 79) and go to SC 2.2 <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X SC 2.2 Has DNR identified the wetland as a high quality undisturbed wetland or as a site with state threatened or endangered plant species? YES = Category 1 NO _____ not a Heritage Wetland Cat I
SC3	<u>Bogs</u> (see p. 87) Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key below to identify if the wetland is a bog. <i>If you answer yes you will still need to rate the wetland based on its function.</i> 1. Does the unit have organic soil horizons (i.e. layers of organic soil), either peats or mucks, that compose 16 inches or more of the first 32 inches of soil profile? (See Appendix B for a field key to identify organic soils)? YES = go to question 3 <input type="checkbox"/> NO = go to question 2 2. Does the wetland have organic soils, either peats or mucks that are less than 16 inches deep over bedrock, or an impermeable hardpan such as clay or volcanic ash, or that are floating on a lake or pond? YES = go to question 3 <input type="checkbox"/> NO = is not a bog for purpose of rating 3. Does the unit have more than 70% cover of mosses at ground level, AND other plants, if present, consist of the “bog” species listed in Table 3 as a significant component of the vegetation (more than 30% of the total shrub and herbaceous cover consists of species in Table 3)? YES = Is a bog for purpose of rating NO = go to question 4 NOTE: If you are uncertain about the extent of mosses in the understory you may substitute that criterion by measuring the pH of the water that seeps into a hole dug at least 16” deep. If the pH is less than 5.0 and the “bog” plant species in Table 3 are present, the wetland is a bog. 4. Is the unit forested (> 30% cover) with sitka spruce, subalpine fir, western red cedar, western hemlock, lodgepole pine, quaking aspen, Englemann’s spruce, or western white pine. WITH any of the species (or combination of species) on the bog species plant list in Table 3 as a significant component of the ground cover (> 30% coverage of the total shrub/herbaceous cover)? YES = Category I NO = Is not a bog for purpose of rating Cat. I

SC4	<p>Forested Wetlands (see p. 90)</p> <p>Does the wetland have at least 1 acre of forest that meet one of these criteria for the Department of Fish and Wildlife's forests as priority habitats? <i>If you answer yes you will still need to rate the wetland based on its function.</i></p> <p>_____ Old-growth forests: (west of Cascade Crest) Stands of at least two three species forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/acre (20 trees/hectare) that are at least 200 years of age OR have a diameter at breast height (dbh) of 32 inches (81 cm or more).</p> <p>NOTE: The criterion for dbh is based on measurements for upland forests. Two-hundred year old trees in wetlands will often have a smaller dbh because their growth rates are often slower. The DFW criterion is and "OR" so old-growth forests do not necessarily have to have trees of this diameter.</p> <p>_____ Mature forests: (west of the Cascade Crest) Stands where the largest trees are 80 – 200 years old OR have an average diameters (dbh) exceeding 21 inches (53 cm); crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth.</p> <p>YES = Category I <input type="checkbox"/> NO = <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X not a forested wetland with special characteristics</p>	Cat. I
SC5	<p>Wetlands in Coastal Lagoons (see p. 91)</p> <p>Does the wetland meet all of the following criteria of a wetland in a coastal lagoon?</p> <p>_____ The wetland lies in a depression adjacent to marine waters that is wholly or partially separated from marine waters by sandbanks, gravel banks, shingle, or, less frequently, rocks.</p> <p>_____ The lagoon in which the wetland is located contains surface water that is saline or brackish (> 0.5 ppt) during most of the year in at least a portion of the lagoon (<i>needs to be measured near the bottom.</i>)</p> <p>YES = Go to SC 5.1 <input type="checkbox"/> NO = <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X not a wetland in a coastal lagoon</p> <p>SC 5.1 Does the wetland meet all of the following three conditions?</p> <p>_____ The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing) and has less than 20% cover of invasive plant species (see list of invasive species on p. 74).</p> <p>_____ At least 3/4 of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft. buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un-mowed grassland.</p> <p>_____ The wetland is larger than 1/10 acre (4350 square ft.)</p> <p>YES = Category I NO = Category II</p>	Cat. I Cat. II
SC6	<p>Interdunal Wetlands (see p. 93)</p> <p>Is the wetland west of the 1889 line (also called the Western Boundary of Upland Ownership or WBUO)?</p> <p>YES = Go to SC 6.1 <input type="checkbox"/> NO = <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X not an interdunal wetland for rating</p> <p><i>If you answer yes you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.</i></p> <p>In practical terms that means the following geographic areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Long Beach Peninsula -- lands west of SR 103 • Grayland-Westport -- lands west of SR 105 • Ocean Shores-Copalis -- lands west of SR 115 and SR 109 <p>SC 6.1 Is the wetland one acre or larger, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is one acre or larger?</p> <p>YES = Category II NO = go to SC 6.2</p> <p>SC 6.2 Is the wetland between 0.1 and 1 acre, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is between 0.1 and 1 acre?</p> <p>YES = Category III</p>	Cat. II Cat. III
◆	<p>Category of wetland based on Special Characteristics</p> <p>Choose the "highest" rating if wetland falls into several categories, and record on p. 1.</p> <p>If you answered NO for all types enter "Not Applicable" on p. 1</p>	NA

Comments:

WETLAND RATING FORM – WESTERN WASHINGTON

Version 2 – Updated July 2006 to increase accuracy and reproducibility among users

Updated Oct. 2008 with the new WDFW definitions for priority habitats

Name of wetland (if known): Wetland B Date of site visit: July 9, 2012Rated by: Scott Swarts Trained by Ecology? Yes X No Date of training: Nov 15, 2006SEC: 27 TWNSHP: 25N RNGE: 5E Is S/T/R in Appendix D? Yes No XMap of wetland unit: Figure Estimated size one half acre**SUMMARY OF RATING**Category based on FUNCTIONS provided by wetland: I II III X IV

Category I =	Score > 70
Category II =	Score 51 - 69
Category III =	Score 30 – 50
Category IV =	Score < 30

Score for Water Quality Functions	16
Score for Hydrologic Functions	18
Score for Habitat Functions	11
TOTAL Score for Functions	45

Category based on SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS of Wetland I II Does not apply X**Final Category** (choose the “highest” category from above)**III****Summary of basic information about the wetland unit.**

Wetland Unit has Special Characteristics		Wetland HGM Class used for Rating	
Estuarine		Depressional	
Natural Heritage Wetland		Riverine	X
Bog		Lake-fringe	
Mature Forest		Slope	
Old Growth Forest		Flats	
Coastal Lagoon		Freshwater Tidal	
Interdunal			
None of the above	X	Check if unit has multiple HGM classes present	

Does the wetland being rated meet any of the criteria below? If you answer YES to any of the questions below you will need to protect the wetland according to the regulations regarding the special characteristics found in the wetland.

Check List for Wetlands that Need Additional Protection (in addition to the protection recommended for its category)	YES	NO
SP1. <i>Has the wetland unit been documented as a habitat for any Federally listed Threatened or Endangered animal or plant species (T/E species)?</i> For the purposes of this rating system, “documented” means the wetland is on the appropriate state or federal database.		X
SP2. <i>Has the wetland unit been documented as habitat for any State listed Threatened or Endangered animal species?</i> For the purposes of this rating system, “documented” means the wetland is on the appropriate state database. Note: Wetlands with State listed plant species are categorized as Category 1 Natural Heritage Wetlands (see p. 19 of data form).		X
SP3. <i>Does the wetland unit contain individuals of Priority species listed by the WDFW for the state?</i>		X
SP4. <i>Does the wetland unit have a local significance in addition to its functions?</i> For example, the wetland has been identified in the Shoreline Master Program, the Critical Areas Ordinance, or in a local management plan as having special significance.		X

To complete the next part of the data sheet you will need to determine the Hydrogeomorphic Class of the wetland being rated.

The hydrogeomorphic classification groups wetlands in to those that function in similar ways. This simplifies the questions needed to answer how well the wetland functions. The Hydrogeomorphic Class of a wetland can be determined using the key below. See p. 24 for more detailed instructions on classifying wetlands.

Classification of Vegetated Wetlands for Western Washington

If the hydrologic criteria listed in each question do not apply to the entire unit being rated, you probably have a unit with multiple HGM classes. In this case, identify which hydrologic criteria in questions 1-7 apply, and go to Question 8.

1. Are the water levels in the entire unit usually controlled by tides (i.e. except during floods)?

☐ NO – go to 2

YES – the wetland class is **Tidal Fringe**

If yes, is the salinity of the water during periods of annual low flow below 0.5 ppt (parts per thousand)?

YES – **Freshwater Tidal Fringe**

NO – **Saltwater Tidal Fringe (Estuarine)**

*If your wetland can be classified as a Freshwater Tidal Fringe use the forms for **Riverine** wetlands. If it is a Saltwater Tidal Fringe it is rated as an **Estuarine** wetland. Wetlands that were called estuarine in the first and second editions of the rating system are called Salt Water Tidal Fringe in the Hydrogeomorphic Classification. Estuarine wetlands were categorized separately in the earlier editions, and this separation is being kept in this revision. To maintain consistency between editions, the term “Estuarine” wetland is kept. Please note, however, that the characteristics that define Category I and II estuarine wetlands have changed (see p. ____).*

2. The entire wetland unit is flat and precipitation is only source (>90%) of water to it. Groundwater and surface water runoff are NOT sources of water to the unit.

☐ NO – go to 3

YES – The wetland class is **Flats**

If your wetland can be classified as a “Flats” wetland, use the form for **Depressional** wetlands.

3. Does the entire wetland meet both of the following criteria?

_____ The vegetated part of the wetland is on the shores of a body of permanent open water (without any vegetation on the surface) where at least 20 acres (8ha) in size;

_____ At least 30% of the open water area is deeper than 6.6 (2 m)?

☐ NO – go to 4

YES – The wetland class is **Lake-fringe (Lacustrine Fringe)**

4. Does the entire wetland meet all of the following criteria?

_____ The wetland is on a slope (*slope can be very gradual*).

_____ The water flows through the wetland in one direction (unidirectional) and usually comes from seeps. It may flow subsurface, as sheetflow, or in a swale without distinct banks.

_____ The water leaves the wetland **without being impounded**?

NOTE: Surface water does not pond in these types of wetlands except occasionally in very small and shallow depressions or behind hummocks (depressions are usually <3 ft diameter and less than 1 foot deep).

☐ NO – go to 5

YES – The wetland class is **Slope**

5. Does the entire wetland meet all of the following criteria?

X The unit is in a valley or stream channel where it gets inundated by overbank flooding from that stream or river.

X The overbank flooding occurs at least once every two years.

NOTE: The riverine unit can contain depressions that are filled with water when the river is not flooding..

NO – go to 6

☒ YES – The wetland class is **Riverine**

6. Is the entire wetland unit in a topographic depression in which water ponds, or is saturated to the surface, at some time of the year. This means that any outlet, if present is higher than the interior of the wetland.

NO – go to 7

YES – The wetland class is **Depressional**

7. Is the entire wetland located in a very flat area with no obvious depression and no overbank flooding. The unit does not pond surface water more than a few inches. The unit seems to be maintained by high groundwater in the area. The wetland may be ditched, but has no obvious natural outlet.

No – go to 8

YES – The wetland class is **Depressional**

8. Your wetland unit seems to be difficult to classify and probably contains several different HGM classes. For example, seeps at the base of a slope may grade into a riverine floodplain, or a small stream within a depressional wetland has a zone of flooding along its sides. GO BACK AND IDENTIFY WHICH OF THE HYDROLOGIC REGIMES DESCRIBED IN QUESTIONS 1-7 APPLY TO DIFFERENT AREAS IN THE UNIT (make a rough sketch to help you decide). Use the following table to identify the appropriate class to use for the rating system if you have several HGM classes present within your wetland. NOTE: Use this table only if the class that is recommended in the second column represents 10% or more of the total area of the wetland unit being rated. If the area of the class listed in column 2 is less than 10% of the unit, classify the wetland using the class that represents more than 90% of the total area.

<i>HGM Classes within the wetland unit being rated</i>	<i>HGM Class to Use in Rating</i>
Slope + Riverine	Riverine
Slope + Depressional	Depressional
Slope + Lake-fringe	Lake-fringe
Depressional + Riverine along stream within boundary	Depressional
Depressional + Lake-fringe	Depressional
Salt Water Tidal Fringe and any other class of freshwater wetland	Treat as ESTUARINE under wetlands with special characteristics

R Riverine and Freshwater Tidal Fringe Wetlands		Points
WATER QUALITY FUNCTIONS – Indicators that wetland functions to improve water quality.		(only 1 score per box)
R 1	Does the wetland have the <u>potential</u> to improve water quality? (see p.52)	
	R 1.1 Area of surface depressions within the riverine wetland that can trap sediments during a flooding event: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Depressions cover > 3/4 area of wetland points = 8 Depressions cover > 1/2 area of wetland points = 4 (If depressions > 1/2 of area of unit draw polygons on aerial photo or map) Depressions present but cover < 1/2 area of wetland. <u>points = 2</u> No depressions present points = 0 	Figure ____ 2
	R 1.2 Characteristics of the vegetation in the unit (areas with >90% cover at person height): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trees or shrubs > 2/3 area of the unit points = 8 Trees or shrubs > 1/3 area of the wetland <u>points = 6</u> Ungrazed, herbaceous plants > 2/3 area of unit <u>points = 6</u> Ungrazed herbaceous plants > 1/3 area of unit points = 3 Trees, shrubs, and ungrazed herbaceous < 1/3 area of unit points = 0 	Figure ____ 6
	Aerial photo or map showing polygons of different vegetation types	
	Add the points in the boxes above	8
R 2	Does the wetland have the <u>opportunity</u> to improve water quality?	(see p. 53)
	Answer YES if you know or believe there are pollutants in groundwater or surface water coming into the wetland that would otherwise reduce water quality in streams, lakes or groundwater downgradient from the wetland. <i>Note which of the following conditions provide the sources of pollutants. A unit may have pollutants coming from several sources, but any single source would qualify as opportunity.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Grazing in the wetland or within 150 ft <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Untreated stormwater discharges to wetland <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tilled fields or orchards within 150 ft. of wetland <input type="checkbox"/> A stream or culvert discharges into wetland that drains developed areas, residential areas, farmed fields, roads, or clear-cut logging <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Residential, urban areas, golf courses are within 150 ft. of wetland <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The river or stream linked to the wetland has a contributing basin where human activities have raised levels of sediment, toxic compounds or nutrients in the river water above standards for water quality. <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ 	Multiplier 2
	YES multiplier is 2 NO multiplier is 1	
◆	TOTAL – Water Quality Functions Multiply the score from R1 by R2; then <i>add score to table on p. 1</i>	16
HYDROLOGIC FUNCTIONS – Indicators that wetland functions to reduce flooding and stream erosion.		
R 3	Does the wetland have the <u>potential</u> to reduce flooding and erosion?	(see p.54)
	R 3.1 Characteristics of the overbank storage the wetland provides: <i>Estimate the average width of the wetland perpendicular to the direction of the flow and the width of the stream or river channel (distance between banks). Calculate the ratio: (average width of unit) / (average width of stream between banks).</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If the ratio is more than 20..... points = 9 If the ratio is between 10 – 20..... points = 6 If the ratio is 5- <10..... points = 4 If the ratio is 1- <5..... <u>points = 2</u> If the ratio is < 1 points = 1 	Figure ____ 2
	Aerial photo or map showing average widths	
	R 3.2 Characteristics of vegetation that slow down water velocities during floods: <i>Treat large woody debris as "forest or shrub". Choose the points appropriate for the best description. (polygons need to have >90% cover at person height NOT Cowardin classes):</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Forest or shrub for > 1/3 area OR herbaceous plants > 2/3 area <u>points = 7</u> Forest or shrub for > 1/10 area OR herbaceous plants > 1/3 area points = 4 Vegetation does not meet above criteria points = 0 	Figure ____ 7
	Aerial photo or map showing polygons of different vegetation types	
	Add the points in the boxes above	9
R 4	Does the wetland have the <u>opportunity</u> to reduce flooding and erosion?	(see p.57)
	Answer YES if the wetland is in a location in the watershed where the flood storage, or reduction in water velocity, it provides helps protect downstream property and aquatic resources from flooding or excessive and/or erosive flows. <i>Note which of the following conditions apply.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> There are human structures and activities downstream (roads, buildings, bridges, farms) that can be damaged by flooding. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> There are natural resources downstream (e.g. salmon redds) that can be damaged by flooding <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ 	Multiplier 2
	(Answer NO if the major source of water to the wetland is controlled by a reservoir or the wetland is tidal fringe along the sides of a dike)	
	YES multiplier is 2 NO multiplier is 1	
◆	TOTAL – Hydrologic Functions Multiply the score from R3 by R4; then <i>add score to table on p. 1</i>	18

Comments:

These questions apply to wetlands of all HGM classes.		Points (only 1 score per box)						
HABITAT FUNCTIONS – Indicators that wetland functions to provide important habitat.								
H 1	Does the wetland have the <u>potential</u> to provide habitat for many species?							
H 1.1	<p><u>Vegetation structure</u> (see P. 72): Check the types of vegetation classes present (as defined by Cowardin) – Size threshold for each class is 1/4 acre or more than 10% of the area if unit is smaller than 2.5 acres.</p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Bed <input type="checkbox"/> Emergent plants <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scrub/shrub (areas where shrubs have > 30% cover) <input type="checkbox"/> Forested (areas where trees have > 30% cover) If the unit has a forested class check if: <input type="checkbox"/> The forested class has 3 out of 5 strata (canopy, sub-canopy, shrubs, herbaceous, moss/ground-cover) that each cover 20% within the forested polygon. Add the number of vegetation types that qualify. If you have: <table border="0" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <tr> <td>4 structures or more..... points = 4</td> <td>Map of Cowardin vegetation classes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 structures..... points = 1</td> <td>3 structures..... points = 2</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>1 structure..... points = 0</td> </tr> </table> </p>	4 structures or more..... points = 4	Map of Cowardin vegetation classes	2 structures..... points = 1	3 structures..... points = 2		1 structure..... points = 0	<p>Figure ____</p> <p>0</p>
4 structures or more..... points = 4	Map of Cowardin vegetation classes							
2 structures..... points = 1	3 structures..... points = 2							
	1 structure..... points = 0							
H 1.2	<p><u>Hydroperiods</u> (see p.73): Check the types of water regimes (hydroperiods) present within the wetland. The water regime has to cover more than 10% of the wetland or 1/4 acre to count (see text for descriptions of hydroperiods).</p> <p> <input type="checkbox"/> Permanently flooded or inundated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Seasonally flooded or inundated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Occasionally flooded or inundated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturated only <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permanently flowing stream or river in, or adjacent to, the wetland <input type="checkbox"/> Seasonally flowing stream in, or adjacent to, the wetland <input type="checkbox"/> Lake-fringe wetland..... = 2 points <input type="checkbox"/> Freshwater tidal wetland..... = 2 points </p> <p style="text-align: right;">Map of hydroperiods</p>	<p>Figure ____</p> <p>3</p>						
H 1.3	<p><u>Richness of Plant Species</u> (see p. 75): Count the number of plant species in the wetland that cover at least 10 ft² (different patches of the same species can be combined to meet the size threshold) You do not have to name the species. Do not include Eurasian Milfoil, reed canarygrass, purple loosestrife, Canadian Thistle.</p> <p>If you counted: <table border="0" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <tr> <td>> 19 species..... points = 2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5 – 19 species..... points = 1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>< 5 species..... points = 0</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>List species below if you want to:</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p> <p>_____</p>	> 19 species..... points = 2	5 – 19 species..... points = 1	< 5 species..... points = 0	<p>0</p>			
> 19 species..... points = 2								
5 – 19 species..... points = 1								
< 5 species..... points = 0								
H 1.4	<p><u>Interspersion of Habitats</u> (see p. 76): Decided from the diagrams below whether interspersion between Cowardin vegetation (described in H1.1), or the classes and unvegetated areas (can include open water or mudflats) is high, medium, low, or none.</p> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="flex: 1;"> <p>None = 0 points Low = 1 point Moderate = 2 points</p> <p>High = 3 points [riparian braided channels]</p> </div> <div style="flex: 1; padding-left: 20px;"> <p>Note: If you have 4 or more classes or 3 vegetation classes and open water, the rating is always “high”.</p> <p>Use map of Cowardin classes.</p> </div> </div>	<p>Figure ____</p> <p>0</p>						
H 1.5	<p><u>Special Habitat Features</u> (see p. 77): Check the habitat features that are present in the wetland. The number of checks is the number of points you put into the next column.</p> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Large, downed, woody debris within the wetland (> 4 in. diameter and 6 ft. long) <input type="checkbox"/> Standing snags (diameter at the bottom > 4 inches) in the wetland <input type="checkbox"/> Undercut banks are present for at least 6.6 ft. (2m) and/or overhanging vegetation extends at least 3.3 ft. (1m) over a stream (or ditch) in, or contiguous with the unit, for at least 33 ft. (10m) <input type="checkbox"/> Stable steep banks of fine material that might be used by beaver or muskrat for denning (> 30 degree slope) OR signs of recent beaver activity are present (cut shrubs or trees that have not yet turned grey/brown) <input type="checkbox"/> At least 1/4 acre of thin-stemmed persistent vegetation or woody branches are present in areas that are permanently or seasonally inundated (structures for egg-laying by amphibians) <input type="checkbox"/> Invasive plants cover less than 25% of the wetland area in each stratum of plants NOTE: The 20% stated in early printings of the manual on page 78 is an error. </p>	<p>1</p>						
H 1 TOTAL Score – potential for providing habitat		4						

H 2	Does the wetland have the <u>opportunity</u> to provide habitat for many species?	(only 1 score per box)
	<p>H 2.1 <u>Buffers</u> (see P. 80): <i>Choose the description that best represents condition of buffer of wetland unit. The highest scoring criterion that applies to the wetland is to be used in the rating. See text for definition of "undisturbed".</i></p> <p>____ 100m (330 ft) of relatively undisturbed vegetated areas, rocky areas, or open water > 95% of circumference. No structures are within the undisturbed part of buffer (relatively undisturbed also means no grazing, no landscaping, no daily human use)..... points = 5</p> <p>____ 100m (330 ft) of relatively undisturbed vegetated areas, rocky areas, or open water > 50% circumference..... points = 4</p> <p>____ 50m (170 ft) of relatively undisturbed vegetated areas, rocky areas, or open water > 95% circumference..... points = 4</p> <p>____ 100m (330 ft) of relatively undisturbed vegetated areas, rocky areas, or open water > 25% circumference..... points = 3</p> <p>____ 50m (170 ft) of relatively undisturbed vegetated areas, rocky areas, or open water for > 50% circumference..... points = 3</p> <p>If buffer does not meet any of the criteria above:</p> <p>____ No paved areas (except paved trails) or buildings within 25m (80 ft) of wetland > 95% circumference. Light to moderate grazing or lawns are OK..... points = 2</p> <p>____ No paved areas of buildings within 50m of wetland for > 50% circumference. Light to moderate grazing or lawns are OK..... points = 2</p> <p>____ Heavy grazing in buffer..... points = 1</p> <p>____ Vegetated buffers are < 2m wide (6.6 ft) for more than 95% circumference (e.g. tilled fields, paving, basalt bedrock extend to edge of wetland)..... points = 0</p> <p><u>X</u> Buffer does not meet any of the criteria above..... points = 1</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Arial photo showing buffers</p>	<p>Figure ____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p>
	<p>H 2.2 <u>Corridors and Connections</u> (see p. 81)</p> <p>H 2.2.1 Is the wetland part of a relatively undisturbed and unbroken vegetated corridor (either riparian or upland) that is at least 150 ft. wide, has at least a 30% cover of shrubs, forest or native undisturbed prairie, that connects to estuaries, other wetlands or undisturbed uplands that are at least 250 acres in size? (<i>Dams in riparian corridors, heavily used gravel roads, paved roads, are considered breaks in the corridor.</i>)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">YES = 4 points (go to H 2.3) <input type="checkbox"/> NO = go to H 2.2.2</p> <p>H 2.2.2 Is the wetland part of a relatively undisturbed and unbroken vegetated corridor (either riparian or upland) that is at least 50 ft. wide, has at least 30% cover of shrubs or forest, and connects to estuaries, other wetlands or undisturbed uplands that are at least 25 acres in size? OR a Lake-fringe wetland, if it does not have an undisturbed corridor as in the question above?</p> <p style="text-align: right;">YES = 2 points (go to H 2.3) <input type="checkbox"/> NO = go to H 2.2.3</p> <p>H 2.2.3 Is the wetland:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Within 5 mi (8km) of a brackish or salt water estuary OR • Within 3 miles of a large field or pasture (> 40 acres) OR • Within 1 mile of a lake greater than 20 acres? <p style="text-align: right;">YES = 1 point <input type="checkbox"/> NO = 0 points</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">0</p>

Comments:

	<p>H 2.3 <u>Near or adjacent to other priority habitats listed by WDFW</u> (see p. 82): (see new and complete descriptions of WDFW priority habitats, and the counties in which they can be found, in the PHS report http://wdfw.wa.gov/hab/phslist.htm)</p> <p>Which of the following priority habitats are within 330 ft. (100m) of the wetland unit? <i>NOTE: the connections do not have to be relatively undisturbed.</i></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Aspen Stands: Pure or mixed stands of aspen greater than 0.4 ha (1 acre).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Biodiversity Areas and Corridors: Areas of habitat that are relatively important to various species of native fish and wildlife (full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 152).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Herbaceous Balds: Variable size patches of grass and forbs on shallow soils over bedrock.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Old-growth/Mature forests: (Old-growth west of Cascade crest) Stands of at least 2 tree species, forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 20 trees/ha (8 trees/acre) > 81 cm (32 in) dbh or > 200 years of age. (Mature forests) Stands with average diameters exceeding 53 cm (21 in) dbh; crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth; 80 - 200 years old west of the Cascade crest.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Oregon white Oak: Woodlands Stands of pure oak or oak/conifer associations where canopy coverage of the oak component is important (full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 158).</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Riparian: The area adjacent to aquatic systems with flowing water that contains elements of both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems which mutually influence each other.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Westside Prairies: Herbaceous, non-forested plant communities that can either take the form of a dry prairie or a wet prairie (full descriptions in WDFW PHS report p. 161).</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Instream: The combination of physical, biological, and chemical processes and conditions that interact to provide functional life history requirements for instream fish and wildlife resources.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Nearshore: Relatively undisturbed nearshore habitats. These include Coastal Nearshore, Open Coast Nearshore, and Puget Sound Nearshore. (full descriptions of habitats and the definition of relatively undisturbed are in WDFW report: pp. 167-169 and glossary in Appendix A).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Caves: A naturally occurring cavity, recess, void, or system of interconnected passages under the earth in soils, rock, ice, or other geological formations and is large enough to contain a human.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Cliffs: Greater than 7.6 m (25 ft) high and occurring below 5000 ft.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Talus: Homogenous areas of rock rubble ranging in average size 0.15 - 2.0 m (0.5 - 6.5 ft), composed of basalt, andesite, and/or sedimentary rock, including riprap slides and mine tailings. May be associated with cliffs.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Snags and Logs: Trees are considered snags if they are dead or dying and exhibit sufficient decay characteristics to enable cavity excavation/use by wildlife. Priority snags have a diameter at breast height of > 51 cm (20 in) in western Washington and are > 2 m (6.5 ft) in height. Priority logs are > 30 cm (12 in) in diameter at the largest end, and > 6 m (20 ft) long.</p> <p>If wetland has 3 or more priority habitats = 4 points</p> <p>If wetland has 2 priority habitats = 3 points</p> <p>If wetland has 1 priority habitat = 1 point No habitats = 0 points</p> <p>Note: All vegetated wetlands are by definition a priority habitat but are not included in this list. Nearby wetlands are addressed in question H 2.4)</p>	3
	<p>H 2.4 <u>Wetland Landscape:</u> Choose the one description of the landscape around the wetland that best fits (see p. 84)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are at least 3 other wetlands within 1/2 mile, and the connections between them are relatively undisturbed (light grazing between wetlands OK, as is lake shore with some boating, but connections should NOT be bisected by paved roads, fill, fields, or other development.....points = 5 • The wetland is Lake-fringe on a lake with little disturbance and there are 3 other lake-fringe wetlands within 1/2 milepoints = 5 • There are at least 3 other wetlands within 1/2 mile, BUT the connections between them are disturbed.points = 3 • The wetland fringe on a lake with disturbance and there are 3 other lake-fringe wetlands within 1/2 milepoints = 3 • There is at least 1 wetland within 1/2 milepoints = 2 • There are no wetlands within 1/2 mile.....points = 0 	3
	<p>H 2 TOTAL Score – opportunity for providing habitat Add the scores from H2.1, H2.2, H2.3, H2.4</p>	7
	<p>TOTAL for H 1 from page 8</p>	4
◆	<p>Total Score for Habitat Functions Add the points for H 1 and H 2; then record the result on p. 1</p>	11

Comments:

CATEGORIZATION BASED ON SPECIAL CHARACTERISTICS

*Please determine if the wetland meets the attributes described below
and circle the appropriate answers and Category.*

Wetland Type – Check off any criteria that apply to the wetland. Circle the Category when the appropriate criteria are met.	
SC1	<p>Estuarine wetlands? (see p.86)</p> <p>Does the wetland unit meet the following criteria for Estuarine wetlands?</p> <p>_____ The dominant water regime is tidal,</p> <p>_____ Vegetated, and</p> <p>_____ With a salinity greater than 0.5 ppt.</p> <p align="center">YES = Go to SC 1.1 <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X</p>
	<p>SC 1.1 Is the wetland unit within a National Wildlife Refuge, National Park, National Estuary Reserve, Natural Area Preserve, State Park or Educational, Environmental, or Scientific Reserve designated under WAC 332-30-151? YES = Category I NO = go to SC 1.2</p> <p align="right">Cat. 1</p>
	<p>SC 1.2 Is the wetland at least 1 acre in size and meets at least two of the following conditions?</p> <p align="center">YES = Category I NO = Category II</p> <p>_____ The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing, and has less than 10% cover of non-native plant species. If the non-native <i>Spartina</i> spp., are only species that cover more than 10% of the wetland, then the wetland should be given a dual rating (I/II). The area of <i>Spartina</i> would be rated a Category II while the relatively undisturbed upper marsh with native species would be a Category I. Do not, however, exclude the area of <i>Spartina</i> in determining the size threshold of 1 acre.</p> <p>_____ At least 3/4 of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft. buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un-mowed grassland</p> <p>_____ The wetland has at least 2 of the following features: tidal channels, depressions with open water, or contiguous freshwater wetlands.</p> <p align="right">Cat. I Cat. II Dual Rating I/II</p>
SC2	<p>Natural Heritage Wetlands (see p. 87)</p> <p>Natural Heritage wetlands have been identified by the Washington Natural Heritage Program/DNR as either high quality undisturbed wetlands or wetlands that support state Threatened, Endangered, or Sensitive plant species.</p> <p>SC 2.1 Is the wetland being rated in a Section/Township/Range that contains a natural heritage wetland? (This question is used to screen out most sites before you need to contact WNHP/DNR.)</p> <p align="center">S/T/R information from Appendix D _____ or accessed from WNHP/DNR web site _____</p> <p align="center">YES _____ Contact WNHP/DNR (see p. 79) and go to SC 2.2 <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X</p> <p>SC 2.2 Has DNR identified the wetland as a high quality undisturbed wetland or as a site with state threatened or endangered plant species?</p> <p align="center">YES = Category 1 NO _____ not a Heritage Wetland</p> <p align="right">Cat I</p>
SC3	<p>Bogs (see p. 87)</p> <p>Does the wetland (or any part of the unit) meet both the criteria for soils and vegetation in bogs? Use the key below to identify if the wetland is a bog. <i>If you answer yes you will still need to rate the wetland based on its function.</i></p> <p>1. Does the unit have organic soil horizons (i.e. layers of organic soil), either peats or mucks, that compose 16 inches or more of the first 32 inches of soil profile? (See Appendix B for a field key to identify organic soils)? YES = go to question 3 <input type="checkbox"/> NO = go to question 2</p> <p>2. Does the wetland have organic soils, either peats or mucks that are less than 16 inches deep over bedrock, or an impermeable hardpan such as clay or volcanic ash, or that are floating on a lake or pond? YES = go to question 3 <input type="checkbox"/> NO = is not a bog for purpose of rating</p> <p>3. Does the unit have more than 70% cover of mosses at ground level, AND other plants, if present, consist of the “bog” species listed in Table 3 as a significant component of the vegetation (more than 30% of the total shrub and herbaceous cover consists of species in Table 3)?</p> <p align="center">YES = Is a bog for purpose of rating NO = go to question 4</p> <p>NOTE: If you are uncertain about the extent of mosses in the understory you may substitute that criterion by measuring the pH of the water that seeps into a hole dug at least 16” deep. If the pH is less than 5.0 and the “bog” plant species in Table 3 are present, the wetland is a bog.</p> <p>4. Is the unit forested (> 30% cover) with sitka spruce, subalpine fir, western red cedar, western hemlock, lodgepole pine, quaking aspen, Englemann’s spruce, or western white pine. WITH any of the species (or combination of species) on the bog species plant list in Table 3 as a significant component of the ground cover (> 30% coverage of the total shrub/herbaceous cover)?</p> <p align="center">YES = Category I NO = Is not a bog for purpose of rating</p> <p align="right">Cat. I</p>

SC4	<p>Forested Wetlands (<i>see p. 90</i>)</p> <p>Does the wetland have at least 1 acre of forest that meet one of these criteria for the Department of Fish and Wildlife's forests as priority habitats? <i>If you answer yes you will still need to rate the wetland based on its function.</i></p> <p>_____ Old-growth forests: (west of Cascade Crest) Stands of at least two three species forming a multi-layered canopy with occasional small openings; with at least 8 trees/acre (20 trees/hectare) that are at least 200 years of age OR have a diameter at breast height (dbh) of 32 inches (81 cm or more).</p> <p>NOTE: The criterion for dbh is based on measurements for upland forests. Two-hundred year old trees in wetlands will often have a smaller dbh because their growth rates are often slower. The DFW criterion is and "OR" so old-growth forests do not necessarily have to have trees of this diameter.</p> <p>_____ Mature forests: (west of the Cascade Crest) Stands where the largest trees are 80 – 200 years old OR have an average diameters (dbh) exceeding 21 inches (53 cm); crown cover may be less than 100%; decay, decadence, numbers of snags, and quantity of large downed material is generally less than that found in old-growth.</p> <p>YES = Category I <input type="checkbox"/> NO = <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X not a forested wetland with special characteristics</p>	Cat. I
SC5	<p>Wetlands in Coastal Lagoons (<i>see p. 91</i>)</p> <p>Does the wetland meet all of the following criteria of a wetland in a coastal lagoon?</p> <p>_____ The wetland lies in a depression adjacent to marine waters that is wholly or partially separated from marine waters by sandbanks, gravel banks, shingle, or, less frequently, rocks.</p> <p>_____ The lagoon in which the wetland is located contains surface water that is saline or brackish (> 0.5 ppt) during most of the year in at least a portion of the lagoon (<i>needs to be measured near the bottom.</i>)</p> <p>YES = Go to SC 5.1 <input type="checkbox"/> NO = <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X not a wetland in a coastal lagoon</p> <p>SC 5.1 Does the wetland meet all of the following three conditions?</p> <p>_____ The wetland is relatively undisturbed (has no diking, ditching, filling, cultivation, grazing) and has less than 20% cover of invasive plant species (see list of invasive species on p. 74).</p> <p>_____ At least 3/4 of the landward edge of the wetland has a 100 ft. buffer of shrub, forest, or un-grazed or un-mowed grassland.</p> <p>_____ The wetland is larger than 1/10 acre (4350 square ft.)</p> <p>YES = Category I NO = Category II</p>	Cat. I Cat. II
SC6	<p>Interdunal Wetlands (<i>see p. 93</i>)</p> <p>Is the wetland west of the 1889 line (also called the Western Boundary of Upland Ownership or WBUO)?</p> <p>YES = Go to SC 6.1 <input type="checkbox"/> NO = <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X not an interdunal wetland for rating</p> <p><i>If you answer yes you will still need to rate the wetland based on its functions.</i></p> <p>In practical terms that means the following geographic areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Long Beach Peninsula -- lands west of SR 103 • Grayland-Westport -- lands west of SR 105 • Ocean Shores-Copalis -- lands west of SR 115 and SR 109 <p>SC 6.1 Is the wetland one acre or larger, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is one acre or larger?</p> <p>YES = Category II NO = go to SC 6.2</p> <p>SC 6.2 Is the wetland between 0.1 and 1 acre, or is it in a mosaic of wetlands that is between 0.1 and 1 acre?</p> <p>YES = Category III</p>	Cat. II Cat. III
◆	<p>Category of wetland based on Special Characteristics</p> <p><i>Choose the "highest" rating if wetland falls into several categories, and record on p. 1.</i></p> <p>If you answered NO for all types enter "Not Applicable" on p. 1</p>	NA

Comments:

APPENDIX D

DATA PLOT FORMS 1–4

This page intentionally left blank.

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Valley Creek at NE 21st Street City/County: Bellevue/King Sampling Date: July 9, 2012
 Applicant/Owner: City of Bellevue State: WA Sampling Point: DP 1 - upland
 Investigator(s): Scott Swarts Section, Township, Range: S27, T25N, R5E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): roadway/sidewalk slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 40
 Subregion (LRR): LRR - A Lat: 47.628742 Long: -122.153188 Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Bellingham silt loam NWI classification: None

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☒ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Remarks: Roadway/sidewalk slope higher than wetland/stream. This DP is on fill material. Area mowed.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>3</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100</u> (A/B)
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
_____ = Total Cover				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: OBL species _____ x 1 = _____ FACW species _____ x 2 = _____ FAC species _____ x 3 = _____ FACU species _____ x 4 = _____ UPL species _____ x 5 = _____ Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = _____
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
_____ = Total Cover				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft.)				
1. Cat's-ear (<i>Hypochaeris radicata</i>)	5	No	FACU	
2. White clover (<i>Trifolium repens</i>)	20	Yes	FAC	
3. Dandelion (<i>Taraxacum officinale</i>)	5	No	_____	
4. Field horsetail (<i>Equisetum arvense</i>)	5	No	FAC	
5. Reed canarygrass (<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>)	30	Yes	FACW	
6. Other mowed grass	30	Yes	FAC?	
7. Creeping buttercup (<i>Ranunculus repens</i>)	5	No	FACW	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
_____ = Total Cover				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
_____ = Total Cover				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>zero</u>				
Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <input type="checkbox"/> Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dominance Test is >50% <input type="checkbox"/> Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.				
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>				
Remarks: Mowed slope of roadway/sidewalk. Higher than wetland and stream.				

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP 1

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹			
0 - 16+	10YR 2/2	100					silty sand	Gravels prevalent > 4 inches

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.) <input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) <input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12) <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5) <input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6) <input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3) <input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6) <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7) <input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³: <input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) <input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2) <input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
--	--

Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	---

Remarks: Fill material associated with road and sidewalk.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) <input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5) <input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) <input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Valley Creek at NE 21st Street City/County: Bellevue/King Sampling Date: July 9, 2012
 Applicant/Owner: City of Bellevue State: WA Sampling Point: DP 2 - wetland
 Investigator(s): Scott Swarts Section, Township, Range: S27, T25N, R5E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): floodplain Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 0
 Subregion (LRR): LRR - A Lat: 47.628786 Long: -122.153151 Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Bellingham silt loam NWI classification: None

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☒ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Remarks:	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100</u> (A/B)
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: OBL species _____ x 1 = _____ FACW species _____ x 2 = _____ FAC species _____ x 3 = _____ FACU species _____ x 4 = _____ UPL species _____ x 5 = _____ Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = _____
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5 ft.</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <input type="checkbox"/> Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dominance Test is >50% <input type="checkbox"/> Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1. <u>Toad rush (Juncus bufonius)</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
2. <u>Creeping buttercup (Ranunculus repens)</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
3. <u>Reed canarygrass (Phalaris arundinacea)</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
4. <u>Curly dock (Rumex crispus)</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>zero</u>				
Remarks: Mowed slope of roadway/sidewalk. Higher than wetland and stream.				

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP 2

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹			
0 - 20+	10YR 2/1	100					silt loam	some organics present

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.) <input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12) <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5) <input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6) <input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3) <input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6) <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7) <input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³: <input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) <input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2) <input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
---	--

Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
--	---

Remarks: Fill material associated with road and sidewalk.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) <input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5) <input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) <input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): <u>15 inches</u> Saturation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): <u>Surface</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Valley Creek at NE 21st Street City/County: Bellevue/King Sampling Date: Sept. 12, 2012
 Applicant/Owner: City of Bellevue State: WA Sampling Point: DP 3 - upland
 Investigator(s): Greggory King Section, Township, Range: S27, T25N, R5E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): streambed bank Local relief (concave, convex, none): none Slope (%): 4
 Subregion (LRR): LRR - A Lat: 47.628742 Long: -122.153188 Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Bellingham silt loam NWI classification: None

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☒ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Remarks: Streambed bank is above OHWM in a thicket of dense veg on a minimally sloped bench.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100</u> (A/B)
1. <u>Salix lucida</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>90</u> = Total Cover				
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>5 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: OBL species _____ x 1 = _____ FACW species _____ x 2 = _____ FAC species _____ x 3 = _____ FACU species _____ x 4 = _____ UPL species _____ x 5 = _____ Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = _____
1. <u>Rubus armeniacus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>10</u> = Total Cover				
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: _____)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <input type="checkbox"/> Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dominance Test is >50% <input type="checkbox"/> Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
_____ = Total Cover				
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: _____)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>zero</u>				

Remarks: minimal slope stream buffer bench. DP located above OHWM

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP 3

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features		Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%				
0 - 16+	10YR 3/2	100					silty sand loam	
16+	10YR 4/3	100		< 2	C	M	sandy clay loam w/ mottles	

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.) <input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) <input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12) <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5) <input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6) <input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3) <input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6) <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7) <input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³: <input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) <input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2) <input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
--	--

Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	---

Remarks: well drained sandy soil with silts and organics mixed

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) <input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5) <input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) <input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region

Project/Site: Valley Creek at NE 21st Street City/County: Bellevue/King Sampling Date: Sept. 13, 2012
 Applicant/Owner: City of Bellevue State: WA Sampling Point: DP 4 -wetland
 Investigator(s): Gregory King Section, Township, Range: S27, T25N, R5E
 Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): flat wetland bench next to stream Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope (%): 0
 Subregion (LRR): LRR - A Lat: 47.628742 Long: -122.153188 Datum: _____
 Soil Map Unit Name: Bellingham silt loam NWI classification: None

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☒ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Remarks: sample area in a bench/depression adjoining stream channel above OHWM.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

<u>Tree Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100</u> (A/B)
1. <u>Salix lucida</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>FACW</u>	
2. <u>Salix scouleriana</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: _____ Multiply by: OBL species _____ x 1 = _____ FACW species _____ x 2 = _____ FAC species _____ x 3 = _____ FACU species _____ x 4 = _____ UPL species _____ x 5 = _____ Column Totals: _____ (A) _____ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = _____
<u>95</u> = Total Cover				
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>5 ft</u>)				
1. <u>Rubus armeniacus</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <input type="checkbox"/> Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dominance Test is >50% <input type="checkbox"/> Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <input type="checkbox"/> Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
<u>2</u> = Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>5 ft.</u>)				
1. <u>Field horsetail (Equisetum arvense)</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>FAC</u>	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
<u>2</u> = Total Cover				
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
<u>0</u> = Total Cover				
<u>% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum</u> <u>95</u>				
Remarks: Primarily open ground with organic debris, surrounded / salix canopy with understory of rubus and equisetum. DP situated on a depressed bench, above OHWM next to stream channel.				

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP-4

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features			Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹			
0 - 16	10YR 2/1	100						moist, sticky silt/clay loam
16+	10YR 2/1		gley1 2.5/5G	<5	C			sticky clay loam w.gley's @> 16"

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.) <input type="checkbox"/> Histosol (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> Histic Epipedon (A2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Black Histic (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) <input type="checkbox"/> Thick Dark Surface (A12) <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³: <input type="checkbox"/> Sandy Redox (S5) <input type="checkbox"/> Stripped Matrix (S6) <input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Matrix (F3) <input type="checkbox"/> Redox Dark Surface (F6) <input type="checkbox"/> Depleted Dark Surface (F7) <input type="checkbox"/> Redox Depressions (F8) <input type="checkbox"/> 2 cm Muck (A10) <input type="checkbox"/> Red Parent Material (TF2) <input type="checkbox"/> Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)
---	---

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if present): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
--	---

Remarks: dark sticky clay loam with gleys at 16", on depressed stream channel bank bench, situated above OHWM

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)				Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) <input type="checkbox"/> Salt Crust (B11) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Invertebrates (B13) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5) <input type="checkbox"/> Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A) <input type="checkbox"/> Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)					

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Depth (inches): <u>very moist</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
---	---


Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: Soil very moist

APPENDIX E

DESIGN DRAWINGS

This page intentionally left blank.

**LOCATION MAP**

APPROXIMATE SCALE :
1" = 8 MILES

1"=8 mi
Scale 4 0 4 8
Miles

**REFERENCE:**

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS:

PARCEL 2725059171 (EASEMENT) – ROCHEL, LE RON
PARCEL 2725059270 – SCHLAIFER, GERTRUDE
PARCEL 2725059271 – NOLO LLC
PARCEL 2725059241 – F-MAC JV LLC

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE AND
PARCEL 2725059003, BELLEVUE, WA 98007

LAT 47°37'43.25" N, LONG 122°09'11.27" W


DATUM: NAVD 88

WATER BODY: VALLEY CREEK

CITY: BELLEVUE

COUNTY: KING

STATE: WASHINGTON

**VICINITY MAP**

SCALE: 1" = 400'

1"=400'
Scale 200 0 200 400
Feet

**PROJECT LOCATION MAPS****PROPOSED PROJECT:**

VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT
WORK INCLUDES CULVERT REPLACEMENT, CHANNEL
RESTORATION, AND MITIGATION.

DATE:

SHEET 1 OF 20

SYMBOL		DESCRIPTION	
			LOG WITH ROOTWAD
	TAX LOT / PARCEL NUMBER		LOG
	WHEEL CHAIR RAMP		EARTH ANCHOR (SECTION, PLAN)
	SIGN		BOULDER
	POLE		TEMPORARY SANDBAG DAM
	TRAFFIC SIGNAL CABINET		WATER SURFACE
	STREET LIGHT W/ ARM		TEMPORARY MONITORING STATION
	POST OR BOLLARD		
	DECIDUOUS TREE		PROJECT LIMITS
	CONIFEROUS TREE		CLEARING AND GRADING LIMITS
	WATER MANHOLE		ROAD CENTERLINE
	WATER VALVE		STREAM FLOW LINE
	WATER METER		ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK
	FIRE HYDRANT		WETLAND BOUNDARY
	SEWER MANHOLE		EDGE OF GRAVEL OR DIRT
	STORM DRAIN MANHOLE		TRAFFIC STRIPING
	STORM DRAIN VAULT		ROCKERY
	STORM CATCH BASIN		FENCE LINE (TYPE AS NOTED)
	STORM CULVERT		TREE/VEGETATION LINE
	ELECTRIC MANHOLE		EASEMENT LINE
	ELECTRIC VAULT		PROPERTY LINE
	TELEPHONE MANHOLE		QUARTER SECTION LINE
	TELEPHONE RISER		EXISTING RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE
	GAS VALVE		SANITARY SEWER
	TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION ACCESS		NATURAL OR PETROLEUM GAS
	CONCRETE		UNDERGROUND POWER
	GRAVEL BACKFILL FOR PIPE ZONE BEDDING		STORM DRAIN
	NATIVE MATERIAL		UNDER GROUND TELEPHONE
	TOPSOIL		DOMESTIC WATER
	STREAMBED SEDIMENT		COIR MATTING
	WETLAND		SELECTIVE CLEARING LIMIT
			60' WETLAND BUFFER
			50' STREAM BUFFER
			100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007

LEGEND

PROPOSED PROJECT:
VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

DATE: SHEET 2 OF 20

ABBREVIATIONS

AC	ACRE(S)	MIN	MINIMUM
APPROX	APPROXIMATE	MON	MONUMENT
AVE	AVENUE	N	NORTH, NORTHING
AVG	AVERAGE	NAD	NORTH AMERICAN DATUM
ASPH	ASPHALT	NAVD	NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM
CB	CATCH BASIN	NE	NORTHEAST
CESCL	CONTRACTOR EROSION SEDIMENT CONTROL LEAD	NO	NUMBER
CFS	CUBIC FEET PER SECOND	NTS	NOT TO SCALE
CG	CURB AND GUTTER	NW	NORTHWEST
CHAN	CHANNEL	OC	ON CENTER
CLF	CHAIN LINK FENCE	OH	OVERHEAD POWER LINE
CLR	CLEAR, CLEARANCE	OHW	ORDINARY HIGH WATER
℄	CENTERLINE	OHWM	ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK
CMP	CORRUGATED METAL PIPE	P	POWER
COB	CITY OF BELLEVUE	PP	POWER POLE
CONC	CONCRETE	PVC	POLYVINYL CHLORIDE
CSBC	CRUSHED SURFACING BASE COURSE	R	RADIUS
CSWPPP	CONTAMINATED STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN	RD	ROAD
CY	CUBIC YARD(S)	ROW	RIGHT OF WAY
DECID	DECIDUOUS	S	SOUTH, SLOPE
DIA, DIAM	DIAMETER	SD	STORM DRAIN
DIM	DIMENSION	SE	SOUTHEAST
DW	DRIVEWAY	SF	SQUARE FOOT/FEET
E	EAST, EASTING	SHT	SHEET
EC	EROSION CONTROL	SS	SANITARY SEWER
EL, ELEV	ELEVATION	ST	STREET
EX, EXIST	EXISTING	STA	STATION
FT	FOOT, FEET	SW	SOUTHWEST
G	GAS	T	TELECOMMUNICATIONS
GV	GAS VALVE	TEMP	TEMPORARY
GAL	GALLON(S)	TESC	TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL
H	HIGH	TYP	TYPICAL
HORIZ	HORIZONTAL	V, VERT	VERTICAL
IE	INVERT ELEVATION	W	WEST, WATER, WIDE/WIDTH
L	LENGTH	W/	WITH
LB	POUND	WAC	WASHINGTON ADMINISTRATIVE CODE
LF	LINEAR FOOT/FEET	WM	WATER METER, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN
MAX	MAXIMUM	WSEL	WATER SURFACE ELEVATION
MH	MANHOLE	WV	WATER VALVE
		YR	YEAR

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007


ABBREVIATIONS

PROPOSED PROJECT:

VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

DATE:

SHEET 3 OF 20



CUT AND FILL VOLUME TABLE

DESCRIPTION	CUT (CY)	FILL (CY)
WATERWARD OF OHWM	160 CY	92 CY
OUTSIDE WATERWARD OF OHWM	315 CY	215 CY
TOTAL	475 CY	307 CY

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007


OVERALL SITE PLAN

PROPOSED PROJECT:


VALLEY CREEK - NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

DATE:


SHEET 4 OF 20



PLAN
SCALE: 1" = 20'




REFERENCE:	APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE, BELLEVUE, WA 98007	PROPOSED PROJECT: VALLEY CREEK - NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT	CHANNEL RESTORATION PLAN AND PROFILE
	DATE:		SHEET 5 OF 20



REFERENCE:	APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE	PROPOSED PROJECT:	CULVERT SECTION AND MISCELLANEOUS DETAILS
	LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE, BELLEVUE, WA 98007	VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT	
DATE:			SHEET 8 OF 20

\\SHADAM\Projec... 9/28/2017 2:15:06 PM



PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 40'



1"=40' 20 0 20 40
Scale Feet

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007

TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN

PROPOSED PROJECT:

VALLEY CREEK - NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

DATE:

SHEET 9 OF 20

Webster, Mary B. - 10/10/2012 3:57:31 AM - R:\Seattle\001158 CITY OF BELLEVUE\2651211007 Valley Ck Phase I\Data Analytical\CAD\ARPA Figures\10-tesc notes 1 of 2.dwg

TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES

1. THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE TESC PLANS, AND THE CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, REPLACEMENT, UPGRADING, AND REMOVAL OF THE TESC FACILITIES IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR UNTIL ALL CONSTRUCTION IS APPROVED AND THE SITE IS STABILIZED.
2. THE TESC FACILITIES MUST BE CONSTRUCTED PRIOR TO, AND IN CONJUNCTION WITH, ALL WORK SO AS TO ENSURE THAT THE TRANSPORT OF SEDIMENT IS MINIMIZED.
3. THE TESC FACILITIES SHALL BE INSPECTED WEEKLY DURING THE DRY SEASON AND DAILY DURING THE WET SEASON BY A CESCL-QUALIFIED CONTRACTOR'S REPRESENTATIVE, AND MAINTAINED TO ENSURE CONTINUED PROPER FUNCTIONING. ALL TESC MEASURES SHALL BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE NPDES PERMIT FOR GENERAL CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL TRACK THE INSPECTION RESULTS AND MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES IN THE MONITORING LOG.
4. TO REDUCE THE POTENTIAL FOR EROSION OF EXPOSED SOILS, OR WHEN RAINY SEASON CONSTRUCTION IS PERMITTED, THE FOLLOWING BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPs) ARE REQUIRED:
 - PRESERVE NATURAL VEGETATION FOR AS LONG AS POSSIBLE OR AS REQUIRED BY THE CLEARING AND GRADING INSPECTOR.
 - PROTECT EXPOSED SOIL USING PLASTIC (EC-14), EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS (EC-15), STRAW OR MULCH (COB GUIDE TO MULCH MATERIALS, RATES, AND USE CHART), OR AS DIRECTED BY THE CLEANING AND GRADING INSPECTOR.
 - INSTALL A TEMPORARY SEDIMENT POND, A SERIES OF SEDIMENT TANKS, TEMPORARY FILTER VAULTS, OR OTHER SEDIMENT CONTROL FACILITIES.
5. PRIOR TO CLEARING AND GRADING, STRAW WATTLE MUST BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH COB EC-16 AND SHALL BE LOCATED AS SHOWN ON THE APPROVED PLANS OR PER THE CLEARING AND GRADING INSPECTOR, ALONG SLOPE CONTOURS AND DOWN-SLOPE OF ANY AREAS DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION.
6. CLEARING SHALL BE LIMITED TO THE AREAS WITHIN THE APPROVED CLEARING LIMITS. EXPOSED SOILS MUST BE COVERED AT THE END OF EACH WORKING DAY WHEN WORKING FROM OCTOBER 1ST THOUGH APRIL 30TH (WET SEASON). FROM MAY 1ST THOUGH SEPTEMBER 30TH (DRY SEASON), EXPOSED SOILS MUST BE COVERED WHEN RAIN IS FORECASTED.
7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE AWARE OF THE FOLLOWING ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SEASON PEAK FLOWS FOR VALLEY CREEK AND SEARS CREEK:
VALLEY CREEK: 2-YR = 18 CFS; 10-YR = 25.5 CFS
SEARS CREEK: 2-YR = 44 CFS; 10-YR = 64 CFS
THE CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE ALL ACTIONS TO ANTICIPATE CHANGES IN WEATHER CONDITIONS THAT MAY AFFECT FLOWS AND ADJUST BYPASS PLAN ACCORDINGLY.
8. ANY AREAS OF EXPOSED SOILS THAT WILL NOT BE DISTURBED FOR SEVEN DAYS OR MORE, SHALL BE STABILIZED WITH APPROVED TESC METHODS (E.G., SEEDING, MULCHING, PLASTIC COVERING). WHERE STRAW MULCH FOR TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROL IS REQUIRED, IT SHALL BE APPLIED AT A MINIMUM THICKNESS OF 2 TO 3 INCHES
9. THE TESC FACILITIES ON INACTIVE SITES SHALL BE INSPECTED AND MAINTAINED A MINIMUM OF ONCE A MONTH, OR WITHIN FORTY-EIGHT (48) HOURS FOLLOWING A STORM EVENT.
10. AT NO TIME SHALL MORE THAN 3 FEET OF SEDIMENT BE ALLOWED TO ACCUMULATE WITHIN A SEDIMENT TRAP.
12. REFUELING AND MAINTENANCE OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT SHALL OCCUR A MIN OF 50 FEET FROM ANY STREAM OHWM.
13. A HARD-SURFACE CONSTRUCTION ACCESS PAD IS REQUIRED PER CLEARING & GRADING STANDARD DETAIL EC-2. THIS PAD MUST REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED.
14. THE CONTRACTOR MUST HAVE A SWEEPER AVAILABLE DURING EARTHWORK AND IMMEDIATELY REMOVE SOIL THAT HAS BEEN TRACKED ONTO PAVED AREAS AS A RESULT OF CONSTRUCTION. THE PAVEMENT SHALL BE CLEANED AT THE END OF EACH CONSTRUCTION DAY IF SEDIMENT IS DEPOSITED ONTO THE PAVEMENT DUE TO CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY AND/OR VEHICLES.
15. ALL SANDBAGS SHALL BE FILLED WITH WASHED GRAVEL; SAND IS NOT ALLOWED.
16. IN-STREAM WORK SHALL BE LIMITED TO THE FISH WINDOW: JULY 1 THROUGH AUGUST 31.
17. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MONITOR DISCHARGE FROM THE SITE. SITE DISCHARGE SHALL MEET ALL LOCAL, CITY, STATE AND FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR WATER QUALITY INCLUDING TURBIDITY AND PH.
18. SOIL STOCKPILES MUST BE STABILIZED FROM EROSION BY USING A COVERING TESC BMP METHOD SUCH AS PLASTIC SHEETING AND LOCATED AWAY FROM STORM DRAIN INLETS, DRAINAGE CHANNELS AND WATERWAYS.
19. CONCRETE TRUCK CHUTES, PUMPS AS WELL AS HAND TOOLS, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO SCREEDS, SHOVELS, RAKES, FLOATS AND TROWELS, SHALL BE WASHED OUT ONLY INTO FORMED AREAS AWAITING INSTALLATION OF CONCRETE OR ASPHALT; OR, WITH THE APPROVAL OF THE ENGINEER, AN AREA TO BE EXCAVATED THAT DOES NOT RUNOFF INTO A CRITICAL AREA. WHEN NO FORMED AREAS OR AREAS TO BE EXCAVATED ARE AVAILABLE, WASHDOWN AND LEFTOVER PRODUCT SHALL BE CONTAINED IN A LINED CONTAINER. CONTAINED WASHDOWN WATER AND CONCRETE SHALL BE DISPOSED OF IN A MANNER THAT DOES NOT VIOLATE GROUNDWATER OR SURFACE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS. UNUSED CONCRETE REMAINING IN THE TRUCK AND PUMP SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE ORIGINATING BATCH PLANT FOR RECYCLING.
20. EQUIPMENT THAT CANNOT BE EASILY MOVED SHALL ONLY BE WASHED IN AREAS THAT DO NOT DIRECTLY DRAIN TO NATURAL OR CONSTRUCTED STORMWATER CONVEYANCES.
21. WASHDOWN FROM AREAS SUCH AS THE PROPOSED CULVERT AND TRASH RACK MODIFICATIONS TO EXISTING BYPASS STRUCTURE SHALL NOT DRAIN DIRECTLY TO NATURAL OR CONSTRUCTED STORMWATER CONVEYANCES.
22. ALL TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPs SHALL BE REMOVED WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER FINAL SITE STABILIZATION IS ACHIEVED OR AFTER THE TEMPORARY BMPs ARE NO LONGER NEEDED. TRAPPED SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED OR STABILIZED ON SITE. DISTURBED SOIL RESULTING FROM REMOVAL OF BMPs OR VEGETATION SHALL BE PERMANENTLY STABILIZED.

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007

TEMP EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES 1 OF 2

PROPOSED PROJECT:

VALLEY CREEK - NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

DATE: SHEET 10 OF 20

RECOMMENDED CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE

- | | | | |
|----|--|-----|--|
| 1. | PREPARE CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN AND SUBMIT IT AT THE PRECONSTRUCTION CONFERENCE. | 9. | RESTORE STREAMBED TO FINAL CONDITION. ESTABLISH PLANTINGS, INSTALL HABITAT FEATURES, AND STABILIZE SITE. |
| 2. | SURVEY AND FLAG CONSTRUCTION LIMITS WITH HIGH VISIBILITY FENCE ADJACENT TO CRITICAL AREAS. LATH AND FLAGGING MAY BE USED ELSEWHERE. FLAG TREES TO BE SAVED OR REMOVED FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE ENGINEER. PROTECT TREES TO REMAIN (EC-21). | 10. | REMOVE TEMPORARY SANDBAG DAMS 2 AND 3. REMOVE TEMPORARY DIVERSION PIPES 1 AND 2 AND RETURN STREAM FLOWS TO THE RESTORED CHANNEL. |
| 3. | ACTIVATE WATER QUALITY MONITORING PLAN. | 11. | INSTALL TEMPORARY CREEK SANDBAG DAM 4 AND TEMPORARY DIVERSION PIPES 3 AND 4 AND BYPASSED FLOW THROUGH THE CULVERT. DISCHARGE FLOW INTO THE STABILIZED CHANNEL BED. BYPASSED FLOW SHALL BE DISCHARGED IN A MANNER WHICH PREVENTS RE-SUSPENSION OF SEDIMENT OR EROSION IN THE CREEK. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE PREPARED TO MOVE OPERATIONS OUT OF THE CREEK AND STABILIZE WORK AREA IN ANTICIPATION OF HIGH FLOWS. |
| 4. | INSTALL TESC MEASURES INCLUDING TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EXIT. | 12. | COORDINATE WITH OWNER TO INSTALL FISH BLOCK NETS AND HAND NET FISH FROM WORK AREA PER PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. |
| 5. | INSTALL TEMPORARY CREEK BYPASS SYSTEM USING TEMPORARY SANDBAG DAMS 1, 2, AND 3 AND TEMPORARY DIVERSION PIPES 1 AND 2 TO INTERCEPT FLOWS WITHIN CONSTRUCTION LIMITS UPSTREAM OF PROPOSED CLEARING AND GRADING LIMITS. DISCHARGE FLOW WITHIN CONSTRUCTION LIMITS DOWNSTREAM OF PROPOSED CLEARING AND GRADING LIMITS. BYPASSED FLOW SHALL BE DISCHARGED IN A MANNER WHICH PREVENTS RE-SUSPENSION OF SEDIMENT OR EROSION IN THE CREEK. | 13. | ACTIVATE TEMPORARY CREEK BYPASS SYSTEM. PUMP ANY WATER REMAINING IN THE BYPASSED STREAMBED/CULVERT TO BAKER TANKS FOR TREATMENT AND DISCHARGE TO EXISTING STORM DRAIN OR SANITARY SEWER LINE. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY PERMITS ASSOCIATED WITH DISCHARGE. |
| 6. | COORDINATE WITH OWNER TO INSTALL FISH BLOCK NETS AND HAND NET FISH FROM WORK AREA PER PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. | 14. | COMPLETE CULVERT REPLACEMENT. |
| 7. | ACTIVATE TEMPORARY CREEK BYPASS SYSTEM. PUMP ANY WATER REMAINING IN THE BYPASSED STREAMBED TO BAKER TANKS FOR TREATMENT AND DISCHARGE TO EXISTING STORM DRAIN OR SANITARY SEWER LINE. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY PERMITS ASSOCIATED WITH DISCHARGE. | 15. | PERFORM FINAL RESTORATION AND CLEAN UP. ANY AREAS OF EXPOSED SOILS SHALL BE STABILIZED AND SEEDED. |
| 8. | COMPLETE CHANNEL RESTORATION WORK. | 16. | REMOVE TESC MEASURES ONLY AFTER SITE IS STABILIZED AND VEGETATION HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED. TESC MEASURES REMOVAL MUST HAVE PRIOR APPROVAL FROM THE PROJECT ENGINEER AND CESCL. |

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007

TEMP EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES 2 OF 2

PROPOSED PROJECT:

VALLEY CREEK - NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

DATE:

SHEET 11 OF 20

\\STADAM\Project_Series\00158 C Y Q- B- 1FV- \265 71 33/ Valley Crk Phase I\Date Analytical\CAD\DATA -figures\2 -mitigation summary table.dwg
9/28/2012 8:56:53 AM
-author: jcm

CITY OF BELLEVUE MITIGATION SUMMARY	
WETLAND IMPACT	PROPOSED MITIGATION
PERMANENT IMPACT 129 SF (0.003 AC) (CONVERTED TO STREAM)	@8:1 REQUIRED 1,032 SF (0.024 AC) ENHANCEMENT
	ADDITIONAL PROPOSED 473 SF (0.011 AC) ENHANCEMENT
	TOTAL 1,505 SF (0.035 AC)
WETLAND / STREAM BUFFER IMPACT	PROPOSED MITIGATION
PERMANENT IMPACT 158 SF (0.003 AC) (CONVERTED TO STREAM)	@1:1 REQUIRED 158 SF (0.003 AC) ENHANCEMENT
	ADDITIONAL PROPOSED 7,276 SF (0.167 AC) ENHANCEMENT
	TOTAL 7,434 SF (0.171 AC)
STREAM IMPACT	PROPOSED MITIGATION
TEMPORARY IMPACT 1,811 SF (0.042 AC) (DEWATERING AND RESTORATION)	RESTORATION 1,983 SF (0.046 AC)
TEMPORARY IMPACT 199 SF (0.005 AC) (DEWATERING)	REQUIRED NONE ENHANCEMENT
	TOTAL 1,983 SF (0.046 AC)

NOTE: STREAM RESTORATION AREA INCLUDES PERMANENT IMPACTS AREAS FROM WETLAND AND BUFFERS AS A RESULT OF STREAM WIDENING.


REFERENCE: APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE, BELLEVUE, WA 98007	MITIGATION SUMMARY TABLE
	PROPOSED PROJECT: VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT DATE: SHEET 12 OF 20



SCALE: 1" = 40'




1"=40'



Scale Feet


SHEET 13 OF 20



NOTE: SELECTIVE CLEARING AREA IS APPROXIMATE. INVASIVE SPECIES SHALL BE REMOVED WHERE FOUND WITHIN THE SELECTIVE CLEARING LIMIT.

PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 40'



REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007

MITIGATION PLAN

PROPOSED PROJECT:

VALLEY CREEK - NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

DATE:

SHEET 14 OF

GENERAL MITIGATION NOTES:

1. PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION A LICENSED SURVEYOR SHALL SURVEY, STAKE, AND FLAG LIMITS OF WORK AND WETLAND BOUNDARIES AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS.
2. SELECTIVELY CLEAR AND GRUB BUFFERS AND WETLAND INFILL PLANTING AREAS. FIELD ADJUST PLANTING AROUND EXISTING VEGETATION AS NECESSARY. WETLAND BIOLOGIST / LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT SHALL APPROVE FINAL LAYOUT IN FIELD.
3. BUFFER AND WETLAND INFILL PLANTING AREAS SHALL BE PIT AMENDED WITH TOPSOIL TYPE A AS SPECIFIED.
4. PLACE 18" DIAMETER X 3" DEEP BARK MULCH RINGS AROUND TREES AND SHRUBS IN BUFFER INFILL AREAS. WETLAND INFILL AREAS SHALL RECEIVE COMPOST MULCH RINGS.
5. ALL PLANTINGS SHALL RECEIVE DRIWATER GEL OR APPROVED EQUAL. PLANTS SHALL BE MANUALLY WATERED (E.G. WATERING TRUCK, OR IRRIGATION BAGS) BY THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PLANT ESTABLISHMENT PERIOD. CONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT IRRIGATION METHOD FOR APPROVAL BY OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE. ALL PLANTS SHALL BE WATERED AS NEEDED DURING INSTALLATION AND THROUGHOUT THE GROWING SEASON PERIOD (MARCH 15 TO OCTOBER 31).
6. PLANTING DATES: OCTOBER 1 THROUGH APRIL 15.

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007

MITIGATION NOTES

PROPOSED PROJECT:

VALLEY CREEK - NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

DATE:

SHEET 15 OF 20

MITIGATION PLANTING SCHEDULE

BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	SIZE	SPACING	QUANTITY						
				A-1	A-2	B-1	B-2	B-3	C-1	C-2
TREES										
FRAXINUS LATIFOLIA	OREGON ASH	2 GAL.	8' O.C.	1		9	1	3		
PICEA SITCHENSIS	SITKA SPRUCE	2 GAL.	8' O.C.	1						
POPULUS TRICHOCARPA	BLACK COTTONWOOD	2 GAL.	8' O.C.	1		3	1			
PRUNUS EMARGINATA	BITTER CHERRY	2 GAL.	8' O.C.	2						
PSEUDOTSUGA MENZIESII	DOUGLAS FIR	2 GAL.	8' O.C.	2	1	15	2	3		
THUJA PLICATA	WESTERN RED CEDAR	2 GAL.	8' O.C.	1		10	1			
TSUGA HETEROPHYLLA	WESTERN HEMLOCK	2 GAL.	8' O.C.	1		9				
SHRUBS										
ACER CIRCINATUM	VINE MAPLE	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	3						
CORNUS STOLONIFERA	RED-OSIER DOGWOOD	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	3		18		3		
CORYLUS CORNUTA	WESTERN BEAKED HAZEL	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	3						
HOLODISCUS DISCOLOR	OCEAN SPRAY	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	3						
LONICERA INVOLUCRATA	BLACK TWINBERRY	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	6	3	18		3		
MAHONIA AQUIFOLIUM	TALL OREGON GRAPE	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	5		25	5	5		
OEMLERIA CERASIFORMIS	INDIAN PLUM	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	3		17				
PHYSOCARPUS CAPITATUS	PACIFIC NINEBARK	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	5		17				
ROSA GYMNOCARPA	DWARF ROSE	2 GAL.	4' O.C.			25	5	5		
RUBUS SPECTABILIS	SALMONBERRY	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	3		22		3		
SAMBUCUS RACEMOSA	RED ELDERBERRY	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	3		22	3	3		
SYMPHORICARPOS ALBUS	SNOWBERRY	2 GAL.	4' O.C.			22	5	3		
CORNUS STOLONIFERA	RED-OSIER DOGWOOD	LIVE STAKES	2' O.C.						60	55
SALIX LUCIDA	PACIFIC WILLOW	LIVE STAKES	2' O.C.						60	55
SALIX SCOULERIANA	SCOULER'S WILLOW	LIVE STAKES	2' O.C.						52	40
SALIX SITCHENSIS	SITKA WILLOW	LIVE STAKES	2' O.C.						75	63

NOTE: SHRUBS SHALL BE PLANTED IN CLUSTERS OF 3-5 OF THE SAME SPECIES.

HYDROSEED SCHEDULE

VARIETY	% BY WEIGHT	MIN % GERM.
COLONIAL BENTGRASS	10%	85%
RED CREEPING FESCUE	45%	80%
PERENNIAL RYEGRASS	45%	90%
APPLICATION RATE:		90 LBS/ACRE
CANFOR WOOD CELLULOSE ECO-FIBER MULCH:		2,000 LBS/ACRE
NUTRICULTURE SEED STARTER FERTILIZER (16-45-7):		200 LBS/ACRE
CANFOR ECO-TAC GUAR TACKIFIER:		60 LBS/ACRE
STAY MOIST MOISTURE RETENTION AGENT:		60 LBS/ACRE

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH
AVE NE, BELLEVUE, WA 98007

PROPOSED PROJECT:

VALLEY CREEK -- NE 21ST ST
FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

MITIGATION PLANTING SCHEDULE

DATE:


SHEET 16 OF

9/28/2022 2:53:54 PM \\SHADAM\Project_Sets\00138.ctb 0- B- HVLP-285 21'00" Valley Crk Phase 1\Date Analytical\CAD\WPA-figures\ / mitigation plant layout.dwg
-catherstone, dean

PLANT MATERIAL SETBACK CHART

PLANT TYPE	GUARDRAIL BARRIER	EDGE OF ROADWAY	WALL	FENCE	SIGNS	EX. TREE TRUNK	EX. VEG. MASS
EVERGREEN TREE	10'	10'	10'	10'	15'	15'	10'
DECIDUOUS TREE	10'	10'	10'	10'	15'	15'	10'
SHRUB	5'	10'	5'	6'	6'	10'	10'

TYPICAL SETBACKS FOR PLANT MATERIAL UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER DURING LAYOUT AND STAKING OF PLANT LOCATIONS. DISTANCE NOTED IS TO STEM OR TRUNK OF PLANT.



DETAIL


PLANTING AREA LAYOUT
SCALE: NTS

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE
LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007

MITIGATION DETAILS

PROPOSED PROJECT:
VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT
DATE: SHEET 17 OF 20




DETAIL

LIVE STAKING PLANTING
SCALE: NTS

DETAIL


SHRUB PLANTING
SCALE: NTS

REFERENCE:	APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE, BELLEVUE, WA 98007	PROPOSED PROJECT: VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT	MITIGATION PLANTING DETAILS	
			DATE:	SHEET 18 OF 20



DETAIL


DECIDUOUS TREE PLANTING
SCALE: NTS



DETAIL

EVERGREEN TREE PLANTING
SCALE: NTS

REFERENCE:	APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE, BELLEVUE, WA 98007	PROPOSED PROJECT: VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT	MITIGATION PLANTING DETAILS	
			DATE:	SHEET 19 OF 20



REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007

DRIVING DIRECTIONS FROM SR 520

PROPOSED PROJECT:

VALLEY CREEK - NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

DATE:

SHEET 20 OF 20

APPENDIX F

FISH SALVAGE AND DEWATERING

This page intentionally left blank.

The following Dewatering and Fish Capture Protocol is as required by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers when using the Restoration Programmatic for the State of Washington – Specific Project Information Form. Use of this protocol is required when obtaining a permit from the Corps for restoration projects that falls within the range of the nine restoration activities considered by National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) during its Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) consultation (NMFS Reference No. 2008/03598; USFWS Reference No. 13410-2008-F-0209).

APPENDIX A (FROM SPIF): DEWATERING AND FISH CAPTURE PROTOCOL

Work to facilitate habitat restoration may occur in isolation from flowing waters or in flowing water depending on site conditions to minimize impacts to salmonids.

If bull trout or other listed salmonids could be present in the vicinity of the project use the following dichotomous key to determine which dewatering protocol and timing window you need to implement for your project. This key references information within the *Draft Recovery Plan for the Coastal-Puget Sound Distinct Population Segment of Bull Trout Volumes I and II* (USFWS 2004a; USFWS 2004b), and the *Draft Recovery Plan for the Columbia River Distinct Population Segment of Bull Trout* (USFWS 2002).

<http://www.fws.gov/pacific/bulltrout/recovery.html>. If you have questions, contact the USFWS.

1. Is the project located within a documented or potential bull trout Local Population Area that is excluded from coverage under this programmatic consultation (see Table 1)?
 - a. Yes – Dewatering in a documented or potential bull trout Local Population Area in eastern Washington is not covered under this programmatic consultation. Complete an individual section 7 consultation for the project. Please contact the USFWS office in Spokane or Wenatchee for assistance.
 - b. ☐ No – go to 2
2. Is the project located within a water body where any listed salmonids are likely to be present? For specific bull trout areas where projects are permitted see Table 2.
 - a. Yes – go to 3
 - b. ☐ No - use “Protocol for Dewatering Outside High Likelihood Listed Fish Areas”;
3. Is the stream flow at the time of project construction anticipated to be greater than or equal to 5 cubic feet per second **and** is the dewatered stream length (not including the culvert and plunge pool length, if present) greater than or equal to 33 ft?
 - a. No - use “Protocol for Dewatering Outside High Likelihood Listed Fish Areas”;
 - b. Yes - use “Protocol I Dewatering Within High Likelihood Listed Fish Areas”; and consult with a USFWS bull trout biologist staff on appropriate timing window.

Table 1 List of streams and marine areas that important for bull trout recovery where in-water work is permitted

Management Unit	Bull Trout Areas
Olympic Peninsula - Marine	<p>Hood Canal and independent tributaries</p> <p>Strait of Juan de Fuca and independent tributaries (includes Bell, Morse, Ennis, Siebert Creeks)</p> <p>Pacific Ocean and independent coastal tributaries (includes Goodman, Mosquito, Cedar, Steamboat, Kalaloch and Joe Creeks, Raft, Moclips and Copalis Rivers)</p> <p>Lower Chehalis River/Grays Harbor and independent Tributaries (includes Humptulips, Wishkah, Wynoochee and Satsop Rivers)</p>
Olympic Peninsula - Freshwater	<p>Dungeness River – mouth to RM 10</p> <p>Skokomish River – mouth to head of Cushman Reservoir</p> <p>Hoh River – mouth to headwaters</p> <p>Queets River – mouth to headwaters</p> <p>Quinault River - mouth to headwaters</p>
Puget Sound - Marine	All marine shorelines including North Puget Sound, Main Basin, Whidbey Basin, and South Puget Sound
Puget Sound - Freshwater	<p>Samish River, Whatcom Creek, Squalicum Creek, Duwamish and lower Green River, and Lower Nisqually River including the Nisqually River estuary and McAllister Creek (FMO areas outside of core areas)</p> <p>Lake Washington including the following: lower Cedar River; Sammamish River; Lakes Washington, Sammamish, and Union; and Ship Canal</p> <p>Nooksack River – mouth to National Forest boundary (North and South Forks)</p> <p>Skagit River – mouth to National Forest boundary</p> <p>Stillaguamish River – mouth to headwaters of N Fork; Deer Creek – mouth to National Forest boundary; S Fork and Canyon Cr – mouth to National Forest boundary</p> <p>Snohomish/Skykomish – mouth to confluence of Skykomish and Snoqualmie Rivers; Pilchuck River; Snoqualmie River to falls; Tolt River; Skykomish River – mouth to National Forest boundary, including Sultan River, Woods Creek and Wallace River; S Fk Skykomish to National Forest boundary</p> <p>Puyallup River – mouth, including Mowich River, to National Park boundary;</p> <p>Carbon River – mouth to National Forest boundary;</p> <p>White River – mouth to National Forest boundary</p>
Lower Columbia	<p>Lewis River – mouth to RM 75 (Upper Falls), including Swift, Yale, and Mervin Reservoirs</p> <p>Klickitat River – mouth to confluence of W FK Klickitat</p> <p>Mainstems of the Columbia, Snake, Walla Walla, Pend Oreille, and Grande Ronde Rivers</p>

Management Unit	Bull Trout Areas
Middle Columbia River Basin	Ahtanum Creek – mouth to confluence of N and S Forks Naches River – mouth to confluence of Little Naches and Bumping River Tieton River – mouth to Rimrock Lake Yakima River – mouth to Easton (RM 203) and Teanaway River
Upper Columbia River Basin	Wenatchee River – mouth to confluence of the Chiwawa; Peshastin Cr – mouth to confluence of Ingalls Cr; Chewack River – confluence with Wenatchee to RM 20; Beaver Cr – mouth to Blue Buck Cr Entiat River – mouth to confluence with Mad River Methow River – mouth to confluence of Lost River
Northeast Washington Pend Oreille River	Pend Oreille River; Tacoma Cr - mouth to Little Tacoma; Small Creek – mouth to forks; Sullivan Creek to and including Sullivan Lake
Walla Walla River Basin	Touchet River – mouth to forks; S Fk Touchet River – to confluence of Griffin Cr N Fk Touchet to Wolf Fork; Wolf Fork to confluence of Coates Cr Mill Creek and tributaries
Snake River Basin	Mainstem Snake and Grande Ronde Rivers ; Asotin Creek – mouth to confluence of N Fk Asotin and Charley Cr; Tucannon River – mouth to confluence of Hixon Cr

Protocol I Dewatering Within High Likelihood Listed Fish Areas

A. Fish Capture – General Guidelines

1. Fish Capture Methods
 - a. Minnow traps. Optional. Traps may be left in place prior to dewatering and may be used in conjunction with seining. Once dewatering starts, minnow traps should only be used if there is someone present to check the traps every few hours, and remove the traps once the water level becomes too low.
 - b. Seining. Required. Use seine with mesh of a size to ensure entrapment of the residing ESA-listed fish and age classes.
 - c. Sanctuary dip nets. Required. Use in conjunction with other methods as area is dewatered.
 - d. Electrofishing. Optional. Use electrofishing only after other means of fish capture have been exhausted or where other means of fish capture are not be feasible. Applicants shall adhere to NMFS Backpack Electrofishing Guidelines (NMFS 2000).
2. Fish capture operations will be conducted by or under the supervision of a fishery biologist experienced in such efforts and all staff working with the capture operation must have the necessary knowledge, skills, and abilities to ensure the safe handling of all ESA-listed fish.
3. The applicant must obtain any other Federal, State and local permits and authorizations necessary for the conduct of fish capture activities.
4. A description of any capture and release effort will be included in a post-project report, including the name and address of the supervisory fish biologist, methods used to isolate the work area and minimize disturbances to ESA-listed species, stream conditions before and following placement and removal of barriers; the means of fish removal; the number and size of fish removed by species and age class; condition upon release of all fish handled; and any incidence of observed injury or mortality.
5. Storage and Release. ESA-listed fish must be handled with extreme care and kept in water at all times during transfer procedures. The transfer of ESA-listed fish must be conducted using a sanctuary net that holds water during transfer, whenever necessary to prevent the added stress of an out-of-water transfer. A healthy environment for non-ESA listed fish shall be provided by large buckets (five gallon minimum to prevent overcrowding) and minimal handling of fish. The water temperature in the transfer buckets shall not exceed the temperature of cold pool water in the subject stream. Retain fish the minimum time possible to ensure that stress is minimized, temperatures do not rise, and dissolved oxygen remains suitable. Release fish as near as possible to the isolated reach in a pool or area that provides cover and flow refuge.

B. Dewater Instream Work Area and Fish Capture

Fish screen. Except for gravity diversions that have gradual and small outfall drops directly into water, all water intake structures must have a fish screen installed, operated, and maintained in accordance with NMFS Guidelines (NMFS 1997; Chapter 11 in NMFS 2008).

The sequence for stream flow diversion will be:

Note: this sequence will take one 24-hour period prior to construction to complete (of which 12 hours are for staged dewatering with 6 hours overnight). We suggest you start in the morning the day before project construction is scheduled and leave the reach dewatered overnight according to instruction below.

1. Install flow conveyance devices (pumps, discharge lines, gravity drain lines, conduits, and channels), but do not divert flow.
2. Install upstream barrier. Allow water to flow over upstream barrier.
3. Install block net at upstream end of work area. Block nets will be checked every 4 hours, 24 hours a day. If any fish are impinged or killed on the nets they will be checked hourly.
4. Reduce flow over upstream barrier by one-third for a minimum of 6 hours.
5. Inspect as discharge is diminishing and in dewatered areas for stranded and trapped fish and remove them with sanctuary dip nets.
6. Reduce flow over upstream barrier by an additional one-third for a minimum of 6 hours.
7. Again, inspect dewatered areas for stranded and trapped fish and remove them with sanctuary dip nets.
8. Leave the project area in a stable, low flow (one third of flow) condition, overnight, allowing fish to leave the area volitionally.
9. In the morning, remove any remaining fish from the area to be dewatered using seines and/or hand held sanctuary dip-nets.
10. Divert upstream flow completely.
11. Install downstream barrier if necessary (only in low gradient, backwatered reaches).
12. If water remains within the work area; seine, dip net, and lastly electrofish (if using this technique), the project area until catch rates have reached no fish for 3 consecutive passes. Move rocks as needed to flush fish and effectively electrofish the work area.
13. If needed, pump water out of isolated pools within the project area to a temporary storage and treatment site or into upland areas and filter through vegetation prior to reentering the stream channel. Continue to seine, dip net and electrofish while pumping.
14. If fish continue to be captured, shut pump off before average water depths reach one foot. Continue to seine, dip net and electrofish until no fish are caught for 3 consecutive passes.
15. Pump dry and check substrate for remaining fish.
16. Continue to pump water from the project area as needed for the duration of the project.

The diversion structure is typically a temporary dam built just upstream of the project site with sand bags that are filled with clean gravel or stream/floodplain rock and covered with plastic sheeting. A portable bladder dam or other non-erosive diversion technologies may be used to contain stream flow. Mining of stream or floodplain rock can be used for diversion dam construction if it does not result in significant additional floodplain or stream disturbance. Often

gravel has to be moved to key in logs in which case it makes sense to use this gravel for the diversion structure.

The temporary bypass system must consist of non-erosive techniques, such as a pipe or a plastic-lined channel, both of which must be sized large enough to accommodate the predicted peak flow rate during construction. In cases of channel rerouting, water can be diverted to one side of the existing channel.

Dissipate flow at the outfall of the bypass system to diffuse erosive energy of the flow. Place the outflow in an area that minimizes or prevents damage to riparian vegetation. If the diversion inlet is a gravity diversion and is not screened to allow for downstream passage of fish, place diversion outlet in a location that facilitates gradual and safe reentry of fish into the stream channel.

C. Rewater Instream Work Area

Remove stream diversion and restore stream flow. Heavy machinery operating from the bank may be used to aid in removal of diversion structures. Slowly re-water the construction site to prevent loss of surface water downstream as the construction site streambed absorbs water and to prevent a sudden increase in stream turbidity. Look downstream during re-watering to prevent stranding of aquatic organisms below the construction site.

All stream diversion devices, equipment, pipe, and conduits will be removed and disturbed soil and vegetation will be restored after the diversion is no longer needed.

Protocol II Dewatering Outside High Likelihood Listed Fish Areas

If bull trout or other listed salmonids are captured at any time during the dewatering process, immediately notify a USFWS bull trout biologist or NMFS biologist and obtain guidance to either continue to dewater and remove fish or stop activities and re-water the project site.

Normal guidance:

1. If you encounter listed fish at or prior to step 3 switch to Protocol I
2. If you encounter listed fish after step 3, continue to dewater and remove fish, paying close attention to presence of additional listed salmonids.

A. Fish Capture – General Guidelines

1. Fish Capture Methods
 - a. Minnow traps. Optional. Traps may be left in place prior to dewatering and may be used in conjunction with seining. Once dewatering starts, minnow traps should only be used if there is someone present to check the traps every few hours, and remove the traps once the water level becomes too low.
 - b. Seining. Required. Use seine with mesh of such a size to ensure entrapment of the residing ESA-listed fish and age classes.
 - c. Sanctuary dip nets. Required. Use in conjunction with other methods as area is dewatered.

- d. Electrofishing. Optional. Use electrofishing only after other means of fish capture have been exhausted or where other means of fish capture are not be feasible. Applicants shall adhere to NMFS Backpack Electrofishing Guidelines.
2. Fish capture operations will be conducted by or under the supervision of a fishery biologist experienced in such efforts and all staff working with the seining operation must have the necessary knowledge, skills, and abilities to ensure the safe handling of all ESA-listed fish.
3. The applicant must obtain any other Federal, State and local permits and authorizations necessary for the conduct of fish capture activities.
4. A description of any seine and release effort will be included in a post-project report, including the name and address of the supervisory fish biologist, methods used to isolate the work area and minimize disturbances to ESA-listed species, stream conditions before and following placement and removal of barriers; the means of fish removal; the number and size of fish removed by species; conditions upon release of all fish handled; and any incidence of observed injury or mortality.
5. Storage and Release. Fish must be handled with extreme care and kept in water to the maximum extent possible during transfer procedures. A healthy environment for the stressed fish shall be provided by large buckets (five gallon minimum to prevent overcrowding) and minimal handling of fish. The temperature of the water shall not exceed the temperature in large deep holding pools of the subject system. The transfer of any ESA-listed fish must be conducted using a sanctuary net that holds water during transfer, to prevent the added stress of an out-of-water transfer. Retain fish the minimum time possible to ensure that stress is minimized, temperatures do not rise, and dissolved oxygen remains suitable. Release fish as near as possible to the isolated reach in a pool or area that provides cover and flow refuge.

B. Dewater Instream Work Area and Fish Capture

Fish screen. Except for gravity diversions that have gradual and small outfall drops directly into water, all water intake structures must have a fish screen installed, operated, and maintained in accordance with the NMFS Guidelines (NMFS 1997; Chapter 11 in NMFS 2008). The sequence for stream flow diversion would be as follows:

1. Install flow conveyance devices (pumps, discharge lines, gravity drain lines, conduits, and channels), but do not divert flow.
2. Install block net at upstream end of work area.
3. Seine and dip net through the entire project area in a downstream direction, starting at the upstream end; thereby moving fish out of the project area. Then, if necessary electrofish.
4. Install upstream barrier and divert upstream flow completely.
5. Capture any remaining fish using hand held dip-nets.
6. Install downstream barrier if necessary (only in low gradient backwatered reaches).
7. If water remains within the work area; seine and dip net, if necessary electrofish the project area until catch rates have reached no fish for 3 consecutive passes.

8. Pump water out of isolated pools within the project area to a temporary storage and treatment site or into upland areas and filter through vegetation prior to re-entering the stream channel. Continue to seine, dip net, or electrofish while pumping.
9. If fish continue to be captured, shut pump off before average water depths reach one foot. Continue to seine, dip net, or electrofish until no fish are caught for 3 consecutive passes.
10. Pump dry and check substrate for remaining fish and remove them.
11. Continue to pump water from the project area as needed for the duration of the project.

The diversion structure is typically a temporary dam built just upstream of the project site with sand bags that are filled with clean gravel or stream/floodplain rock and covered with plastic sheeting. A portable bladder dam or other non-erosive diversion technologies may be used to contain stream flow. Mining of stream or floodplain rock can be used for diversion dam construction if it does not result in significant additional floodplain or stream disturbance. Often gravel has to be moved to key in logs in which case it makes sense to use this gravel for the diversion structure.

The temporary bypass system must consist of non-erosive techniques, such as a pipe or a plastic-lined channel, both of which must be sized large enough to accommodate the predicted peak flow rate during construction. In cases of channel rerouting, water can be diverted to one side of the existing channel.

Dissipate flow at the outfall of the bypass system to diffuse erosive energy of the flow. Place the outflow in an area that minimizes or prevents damage to riparian vegetation. If the diversion inlet is a gravity diversion and is not screened to allow for downstream passage of fish, place diversion outlet in a location that facilitates gradual and safe reentry of fish into the stream channel.

C. Rewater Instream Work Area

Remove stream diversion and restore stream flow. Heavy machinery operating from the bank may be used to aid in removal of diversion structures. Slowly re-water the construction site to prevent loss of surface water downstream as the construction site streambed absorbs water and to prevent a sudden increase in stream turbidity. Look downstream during re-watering to prevent stranding of aquatic organisms below the construction site.

All stream diversion devices, equipment, pipe, and conduits will be removed and disturbed soil and vegetation will be restored after the diversion is no longer needed.

Literature Cited

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 1997. Fish Screening Criteria for Anadromous Salmonids. NMFS Southwest Region, (January 1997). 12 p.
<http://swr.nmfs.noaa.gov/hcd/fishscrn.pdf>

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2000. Guidelines for Electrofishing Waters Containing Salmonids Listed Under the ESA. <http://www.nwr.noaa.gov/ESA-Salmon-Regulations-Permits/4d-Rules/upload/electro2000.pdf>

NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). February 2008. ANADROMOUS SALMONID PASSAGE FACILITY DESIGN. http://www.nwr.noaa.gov/Salmon-Hydropower/FERC/upload/Fish_Passage_Design.pdf


USFWS (USFWS). 2002. Bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*) draft recovery plan. Chapter One. Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, Oregon. 137 pp.

USFWS (USFWS). 2004a. Draft Recovery Plan for the Coastal-Puget Sound Distinct Population Segment of Bull Trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*). Volume I (of II): Puget Sound Management Unit. Portland, Oregon. 389 + xvii pp.

USFWS (USFWS). 2004b. Draft Recovery Plan for the Coastal-Puget Sound Distinct Population Segment of Bull Trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*). Volume II (of II): Olympic Peninsula Management Unit. Portland, Oregon. 277 + xvi pp.

LITERATURE CITED

- Bates, K., B. Bernard, B. Heiner, J.P. Klavas, and P.D. Powers. 2003. Design of Road Culverts for Fish Passage. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, WA.
http://wdfw.wa.gov/hab/engineer/cm/culvert_manual_final.pdf
- NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2000. Guidelines for Electrofishing Waters Containing Salmonids Listed Under the Endangered Species Act.

**LOCATION MAP**

APPROXIMATE SCALE :
1" = 8 MILES

1"=8 mi
Scale Miles

**REFERENCE:**

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS:

PARCEL 2725059171 (EASEMENT) – ROCHEL, LE RON

PARCEL 2725059270 – SCHLAIFER, GERTRUDE

PARCEL 2725059271 – NOLO LLC

PARCEL 2725059241 – F-MAC JV LLC

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE AND
PARCEL 2725059003, BELLEVUE, WA 98007

LAT 47°37'43.25" N, LONG 122°09'11.27" W


DATUM: NAVD 88

WATER BODY: VALLEY CREEK

CITY: BELLEVUE

COUNTY: KING

STATE: WASHINGTON

**VICINITY MAP**

SCALE: 1" = 400'

1"=400'
Scale Feet

**PROJECT LOCATION MAPS****PROPOSED PROJECT:**

VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT
WORK INCLUDES CULVERT REPLACEMENT, CHANNEL
RESTORATION, AND MITIGATION.

DATE:

SHEET 1 OF 20

SYMBOL		DESCRIPTION	
	QUARTER CORNER		LOG WITH ROOTWAD
	TAX LOT / PARCEL NUMBER		LOG
	WHEEL CHAIR RAMP		EARTH ANCHOR (SECTION, PLAN)
	SIGN		BOULDER
	POLE		TEMPORARY SANDBAG DAM
	TRAFFIC SIGNAL CABINET		WATER SURFACE
	STREET LIGHT W/ ARM		TEMPORARY MONITORING STATION
	POST OR BOLLARD		
	DECIDUOUS TREE		
	CONIFEROUS TREE		
	WATER MANHOLE		
	WATER VALVE		
	WATER METER		
	FIRE HYDRANT		
	SEWER MANHOLE		
	STORM DRAIN MANHOLE		
	STORM DRAIN VAULT		
	STORM CATCH BASIN		
	STORM CULVERT		
	ELECTRIC MANHOLE		
	ELECTRIC VAULT		
	TELEPHONE MANHOLE		
	TELEPHONE RISER		
	GAS VALVE		
	TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION ACCESS		
	CONCRETE		
	GRAVEL BACKFILL FOR PIPE ZONE BEDDING		
	NATIVE MATERIAL		
	TOPSOIL		
	STREAMBED SEDIMENT		
	WETLAND		

SYMBOL		DESCRIPTION	
	PROJECT LIMITS		CLEARING AND GRADING LIMITS
	ROAD CENTERLINE		STREAM FLOW LINE
	ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK		WETLAND BOUNDARY
	EDGE OF GRAVEL OR DIRT		TRAFFIC STRIPING
	ROCKERY		FENCE LINE (TYPE AS NOTED)
	TREE/VEGETATION LINE		EASEMENT LINE
	PROPERTY LINE		QUARTER SECTION LINE
	EXISTING RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE		SANITARY SEWER
	NATURAL OR PETROLEUM GAS		UNDERGROUND POWER
	STORM DRAIN		UNDER GROUND TELEPHONE
	DOMESTIC WATER		COIR MATTING
	SELECTIVE CLEARING LIMIT		60' WETLAND BUFFER
	50' STREAM BUFFER		100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN

REFERENCE:	LEGEND
APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE	PROPOSED PROJECT:
LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE, BELLEVUE, WA 98007	VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT
DATE:	SHEET 2 OF 20

ABBREVIATIONS

AC	ACRE(S)	MIN	MINIMUM
APPROX	APPROXIMATE	MON	MONUMENT
AVE	AVENUE	N	NORTH, NORTHING
AVG	AVERAGE	NAD	NORTH AMERICAN DATUM
ASPH	ASPHALT	NAVD	NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM
CB	CATCH BASIN	NE	NORTHEAST
CESCL	CONTRACTOR EROSION SEDIMENT CONTROL LEAD	NO	NUMBER
CFS	CUBIC FEET PER SECOND	NTS	NOT TO SCALE
CG	CURB AND GUTTER	NW	NORTHWEST
CHAN	CHANNEL	OC	ON CENTER
CLF	CHAIN LINK FENCE	OH	OVERHEAD POWER LINE
CLR	CLEAR, CLEARANCE	OHW	ORDINARY HIGH WATER
℄	CENTERLINE	OHWM	ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK
CMP	CORRUGATED METAL PIPE	P	POWER
COB	CITY OF BELLEVUE	PP	POWER POLE
CONC	CONCRETE	PVC	POLYVINYL CHLORIDE
CSBC	CRUSHED SURFACING BASE COURSE	R	RADIUS
CSWPPP	CONTAMINATED STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN	RD	ROAD
CY	CUBIC YARD(S)	ROW	RIGHT OF WAY
DECID	DECIDUOUS	S	SOUTH, SLOPE
DIA, DIAM	DIAMETER	SD	STORM DRAIN
DIM	DIMENSION	SE	SOUTHEAST
DW	DRIVEWAY	SF	SQUARE FOOT/FEET
E	EAST, EASTING	SHT	SHEET
EC	EROSION CONTROL	SS	SANITARY SEWER
EL, ELEV	ELEVATION	ST	STREET
EX, EXIST	EXISTING	STA	STATION
FT	FOOT, FEET	SW	SOUTHWEST
G	GAS	T	TELECOMMUNICATIONS
GV	GAS VALVE	TEMP	TEMPORARY
GAL	GALLON(S)	TESC	TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL
H	HIGH	TYP	TYPICAL
HORIZ	HORIZONTAL	V, VERT	VERTICAL
IE	INVERT ELEVATION	W	WEST, WATER, WIDE/WIDTH
L	LENGTH	W/	WITH
LB	POUND	WAC	WASHINGTON ADMINISTRATIVE CODE
LF	LINEAR FOOT/FEET	WM	WATER METER, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN
MAX	MAXIMUM	WSEL	WATER SURFACE ELEVATION
MH	MANHOLE	WV	WATER VALVE
		YR	YEAR

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007


ABBREVIATIONS

PROPOSED PROJECT:

VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

DATE:

SHEET 3 OF 20



CUT AND FILL VOLUME TABLE

DESCRIPTION	CUT (CY)	FILL (CY)
WATERWARD OF OHWM	160 CY	92 CY
OUTSIDE WATERWARD OF OHWM	315 CY	215 CY
TOTAL	475 CY	307 CY

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007


OVERALL SITE PLAN

PROPOSED PROJECT:

VALLEY CREEK - NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT


DATE:

SHEET 4 OF 20



PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 20'



PROFILE

SCALE: 1" = 20'

1"=20'
Scale Feet

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH
AVE NE, BELLEVUE, WA 98007


PROPOSED PROJECT:

VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST ST
FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

CHANNEL RESTORATION PLAN AND PROFILE


DATE:

SHEET 5 OF 20




SECTION

SCALE: 1"=4'






EARTH ANCHORS (3 TYP)




DETAIL

TURNING LOGS
SCALE: NONE



DETAIL

LOG BOLE
SCALE: NONE



REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH
AVE NE, BELLEVUE, WA 98007


PROPOSED PROJECT:



VALLEY CREEK - NE 21ST ST
FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

CHANNEL SECTION AND DETAILS

DATE:


SHEET 6 OF 20






REFERENCE:	APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE, BELLEVUE, WA 98007	PROPOSED PROJECT: VALLEY CREEK - NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT	CULVERT SECTION AND MISCELLANEOUS DETAILS DATE: SHEET 8 OF 20
------------	---	---	--

\\SHADAM\Project_Sets\00158.dwg - B- HVJ - 265 21 00 Valley Crk Phase 1 Date Analytical CAD\A-PA - figures\9 - base plan.dwg 9/28/2012 2:15:16 PM - author: jcm



PLAN

SCALE: 1" = 40'



<p>REFERENCE:</p> <p>APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE</p> <p>LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE, BELLEVUE, WA 98007</p>	<p>TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN</p> <p>PROPOSED PROJECT:</p> <p>VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT</p> <p>DATE:</p> <p>SHEET 9 OF 20</p>
---	--

Webster, Mary B. - 10/10/2012 3:57:31 AM - R:\Seattle\001158 CITY OF BELLEVUE\2651211007 Valley Ck Phase I\Data Analytical\CAD\ARPA Figures\10-tesc notes 1 of 2.dwg

TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES

1. THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE TESC PLANS, AND THE CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, REPLACEMENT, UPGRADING, AND REMOVAL OF THE TESC FACILITIES IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR UNTIL ALL CONSTRUCTION IS APPROVED AND THE SITE IS STABILIZED.
2. THE TESC FACILITIES MUST BE CONSTRUCTED PRIOR TO, AND IN CONJUNCTION WITH, ALL WORK SO AS TO ENSURE THAT THE TRANSPORT OF SEDIMENT IS MINIMIZED.
3. THE TESC FACILITIES SHALL BE INSPECTED WEEKLY DURING THE DRY SEASON AND DAILY DURING THE WET SEASON BY A CESCL-QUALIFIED CONTRACTOR'S REPRESENTATIVE, AND MAINTAINED TO ENSURE CONTINUED PROPER FUNCTIONING. ALL TESC MEASURES SHALL BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE NPDES PERMIT FOR GENERAL CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL TRACK THE INSPECTION RESULTS AND MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES IN THE MONITORING LOG.
4. TO REDUCE THE POTENTIAL FOR EROSION OF EXPOSED SOILS, OR WHEN RAINY SEASON CONSTRUCTION IS PERMITTED, THE FOLLOWING BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (BMPs) ARE REQUIRED:
 - PRESERVE NATURAL VEGETATION FOR AS LONG AS POSSIBLE OR AS REQUIRED BY THE CLEARING AND GRADING INSPECTOR.
 - PROTECT EXPOSED SOIL USING PLASTIC (EC-14), EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS (EC-15), STRAW OR MULCH (COB GUIDE TO MULCH MATERIALS, RATES, AND USE CHART), OR AS DIRECTED BY THE CLEANING AND GRADING INSPECTOR.
 - INSTALL A TEMPORARY SEDIMENT POND, A SERIES OF SEDIMENT TANKS, TEMPORARY FILTER VAULTS, OR OTHER SEDIMENT CONTROL FACILITIES.
5. PRIOR TO CLEARING AND GRADING, STRAW WATTLE MUST BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH COB EC-16 AND SHALL BE LOCATED AS SHOWN ON THE APPROVED PLANS OR PER THE CLEARING AND GRADING INSPECTOR, ALONG SLOPE CONTOURS AND DOWN-SLOPE OF ANY AREAS DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION.
6. CLEARING SHALL BE LIMITED TO THE AREAS WITHIN THE APPROVED CLEARING LIMITS. EXPOSED SOILS MUST BE COVERED AT THE END OF EACH WORKING DAY WHEN WORKING FROM OCTOBER 1ST THOUGH APRIL 30TH (WET SEASON). FROM MAY 1ST THOUGH SEPTEMBER 30TH (DRY SEASON), EXPOSED SOILS MUST BE COVERED WHEN RAIN IS FORECASTED.
7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE AWARE OF THE FOLLOWING ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SEASON PEAK FLOWS FOR VALLEY CREEK AND SEARS CREEK:
VALLEY CREEK: 2-YR = 18 CFS; 10-YR = 25.5 CFS
SEARS CREEK: 2-YR = 44 CFS; 10-YR = 64 CFS
THE CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE ALL ACTIONS TO ANTICIPATE CHANGES IN WEATHER CONDITIONS THAT MAY AFFECT FLOWS AND ADJUST BYPASS PLAN ACCORDINGLY.
8. ANY AREAS OF EXPOSED SOILS THAT WILL NOT BE DISTURBED FOR SEVEN DAYS OR MORE, SHALL BE STABILIZED WITH APPROVED TESC METHODS (E.G., SEEDING, MULCHING, PLASTIC COVERING). WHERE STRAW MULCH FOR TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROL IS REQUIRED, IT SHALL BE APPLIED AT A MINIMUM THICKNESS OF 2 TO 3 INCHES
9. THE TESC FACILITIES ON INACTIVE SITES SHALL BE INSPECTED AND MAINTAINED A MINIMUM OF ONCE A MONTH, OR WITHIN FORTY-EIGHT (48) HOURS FOLLOWING A STORM EVENT.
10. AT NO TIME SHALL MORE THAN 3 FEET OF SEDIMENT BE ALLOWED TO ACCUMULATE WITHIN A SEDIMENT TRAP.
12. REFUELING AND MAINTENANCE OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT SHALL OCCUR A MIN OF 50 FEET FROM ANY STREAM OHWM.
13. A HARD-SURFACE CONSTRUCTION ACCESS PAD IS REQUIRED PER CLEARING & GRADING STANDARD DETAIL EC-2. THIS PAD MUST REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED.
14. THE CONTRACTOR MUST HAVE A SWEEPER AVAILABLE DURING EARTHWORK AND IMMEDIATELY REMOVE SOIL THAT HAS BEEN TRACKED ONTO PAVED AREAS AS A RESULT OF CONSTRUCTION. THE PAVEMENT SHALL BE CLEANED AT THE END OF EACH CONSTRUCTION DAY IF SEDIMENT IS DEPOSITED ONTO THE PAVEMENT DUE TO CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY AND/OR VEHICLES.
15. ALL SANDBAGS SHALL BE FILLED WITH WASHED GRAVEL; SAND IS NOT ALLOWED.
16. IN-STREAM WORK SHALL BE LIMITED TO THE FISH WINDOW: JULY 1 THROUGH AUGUST 31.
17. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MONITOR DISCHARGE FROM THE SITE. SITE DISCHARGE SHALL MEET ALL LOCAL, CITY, STATE AND FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR WATER QUALITY INCLUDING TURBIDITY AND PH.
18. SOIL STOCKPILES MUST BE STABILIZED FROM EROSION BY USING A COVERING TESC BMP METHOD SUCH AS PLASTIC SHEETING AND LOCATED AWAY FROM STORM DRAIN INLETS, DRAINAGE CHANNELS AND WATERWAYS.
19. CONCRETE TRUCK CHUTES, PUMPS AS WELL AS HAND TOOLS, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO SCREEDS, SHOVELS, RAKES, FLOATS AND TROWELS, SHALL BE WASHED OUT ONLY INTO FORMED AREAS AWAITING INSTALLATION OF CONCRETE OR ASPHALT; OR, WITH THE APPROVAL OF THE ENGINEER, AN AREA TO BE EXCAVATED THAT DOES NOT RUNOFF INTO A CRITICAL AREA. WHEN NO FORMED AREAS OR AREAS TO BE EXCAVATED ARE AVAILABLE, WASHDOWN AND LEFTOVER PRODUCT SHALL BE CONTAINED IN A LINED CONTAINER. CONTAINED WASHDOWN WATER AND CONCRETE SHALL BE DISPOSED OF IN A MANNER THAT DOES NOT VIOLATE GROUNDWATER OR SURFACE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS. UNUSED CONCRETE REMAINING IN THE TRUCK AND PUMP SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE ORIGINATING BATCH PLANT FOR RECYCLING.
20. EQUIPMENT THAT CANNOT BE EASILY MOVED SHALL ONLY BE WASHED IN AREAS THAT DO NOT DIRECTLY DRAIN TO NATURAL OR CONSTRUCTED STORMWATER CONVEYANCES.
21. WASHDOWN FROM AREAS SUCH AS THE PROPOSED CULVERT AND TRASH RACK MODIFICATIONS TO EXISTING BYPASS STRUCTURE SHALL NOT DRAIN DIRECTLY TO NATURAL OR CONSTRUCTED STORMWATER CONVEYANCES.
22. ALL TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMPs SHALL BE REMOVED WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER FINAL SITE STABILIZATION IS ACHIEVED OR AFTER THE TEMPORARY BMPs ARE NO LONGER NEEDED. TRAPPED SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED OR STABILIZED ON SITE. DISTURBED SOIL RESULTING FROM REMOVAL OF BMPs OR VEGETATION SHALL BE PERMANENTLY STABILIZED.

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007

TEMP EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES 1 OF 2

PROPOSED PROJECT:

VALLEY CREEK - NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

DATE: SHEET 10 OF 20

9/28/2019 9:24:35 PM \\STADAM\Project_Series\08-138-01-00 Valley Ck Phase 1\Date Analytical\CAD\WIP-figures\1 esc notes 2 of 2.dwg -catherlove, Jean

RECOMMENDED CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE

1. PREPARE CONSTRUCTION STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN AND SUBMIT IT AT THE PRECONSTRUCTION CONFERENCE.
2. SURVEY AND FLAG CONSTRUCTION LIMITS WITH HIGH VISIBILITY FENCE ADJACENT TO CRITICAL AREAS. LATH AND FLAGGING MAY BE USED ELSEWHERE. FLAG TREES TO BE SAVED OR REMOVED FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE ENGINEER. PROTECT TREES TO REMAIN (EC-21).
3. ACTIVATE WATER QUALITY MONITORING PLAN.
4. INSTALL TESC MEASURES INCLUDING TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EXIT.
5. INSTALL TEMPORARY CREEK BYPASS SYSTEM USING TEMPORARY SANDBAG DAMS 1, 2, AND 3 AND TEMPORARY DIVERSION PIPES 1 AND 2 TO INTERCEPT FLOWS WITHIN CONSTRUCTION LIMITS UPSTREAM OF PROPOSED CLEARING AND GRADING LIMITS. DISCHARGE FLOW WITHIN CONSTRUCTION LIMITS DOWNSTREAM OF PROPOSED CLEARING AND GRADING LIMITS. BYPASSED FLOW SHALL BE DISCHARGED IN A MANNER WHICH PREVENTS RE-SUSPENSION OF SEDIMENT OR EROSION IN THE CREEK.
6. COORDINATE WITH OWNER TO INSTALL FISH BLOCK NETS AND HAND NET FISH FROM WORK AREA PER PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.
7. ACTIVATE TEMPORARY CREEK BYPASS SYSTEM. PUMP ANY WATER REMAINING IN THE BYPASSED STREAMBED TO BAKER TANKS FOR TREATMENT AND DISCHARGE TO EXISTING STORM DRAIN OR SANITARY SEWER LINE. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY PERMITS ASSOCIATED WITH DISCHARGE.
8. COMPLETE CHANNEL RESTORATION WORK.
9. RESTORE STREAMBED TO FINAL CONDITION. ESTABLISH PLANTINGS, INSTALL HABITAT FEATURES, AND STABILIZE SITE.
10. REMOVE TEMPORARY SANDBAG DAMS 2 AND 3. REMOVE TEMPORARY DIVERSION PIPES 1 AND 2 AND RETURN STREAM FLOWS TO THE RESTORED CHANNEL.
11. INSTALL TEMPORARY CREEK SANDBAG DAM 4 AND TEMPORARY DIVERSION PIPES 3 AND 4 AND BYPASSED FLOW THROUGH THE CULVERT. DISCHARGE FLOW INTO THE STABILIZED CHANNEL BED. BYPASSED FLOW SHALL BE DISCHARGED IN A MANNER WHICH PREVENTS RE-SUSPENSION OF SEDIMENT OR EROSION IN THE CREEK. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE PREPARED TO MOVE OPERATIONS OUT OF THE CREEK AND STABILIZE WORK AREA IN ANTICIPATION OF HIGH FLOWS.
12. COORDINATE WITH OWNER TO INSTALL FISH BLOCK NETS AND HAND NET FISH FROM WORK AREA PER PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.
13. ACTIVATE TEMPORARY CREEK BYPASS SYSTEM. PUMP ANY WATER REMAINING IN THE BYPASSED STREAMBED/CULVERT TO BAKER TANKS FOR TREATMENT AND DISCHARGE TO EXISTING STORM DRAIN OR SANITARY SEWER LINE. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY PERMITS ASSOCIATED WITH DISCHARGE.
14. COMPLETE CULVERT REPLACEMENT.
15. PERFORM FINAL RESTORATION AND CLEAN UP. ANY AREAS OF EXPOSED SOILS SHALL BE STABILIZED AND SEEDED.
16. REMOVE TESC MEASURES ONLY AFTER SITE IS STABILIZED AND VEGETATION HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED. TESC MEASURES REMOVAL MUST HAVE PRIOR APPROVAL FROM THE PROJECT ENGINEER AND CESCL.

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007

TEMP EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES 2 OF 2

PROPOSED PROJECT:

VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

DATE:


SHEET 11 OF 20

\\STADAM\Project_Series\00158 C Y Q- B- 1FV- \265 71 33/ Valley Crk Phase I\Date Analytical\CAD\DATA -figures\2 -mitigation summary table.dwg
9/28/2012 8:56:53 AM
-author: jcm

CITY OF BELLEVUE MITIGATION SUMMARY	
WETLAND IMPACT	PROPOSED MITIGATION
PERMANENT IMPACT 129 SF (0.003 AC) (CONVERTED TO STREAM)	@8:1 REQUIRED 1,032 SF (0.024 AC) ENHANCEMENT
	ADDITIONAL PROPOSED 473 SF (0.011 AC) ENHANCEMENT
	TOTAL 1,505 SF (0.035 AC)
WETLAND / STREAM BUFFER IMPACT	PROPOSED MITIGATION
PERMANENT IMPACT 158 SF (0.003 AC) (CONVERTED TO STREAM)	@1:1 REQUIRED 158 SF (0.003 AC) ENHANCEMENT
	ADDITIONAL PROPOSED 7,276 SF (0.167 AC) ENHANCEMENT
	TOTAL 7,434 SF (0.171 AC)
STREAM IMPACT	PROPOSED MITIGATION
TEMPORARY IMPACT 1,811 SF (0.042 AC) (DEWATERING AND RESTORATION)	RESTORATION 1,983 SF (0.046 AC)
TEMPORARY IMPACT 199 SF (0.005 AC) (DEWATERING)	REQUIRED NONE ENHANCEMENT
	TOTAL 1,983 SF (0.046 AC)

NOTE: STREAM RESTORATION AREA INCLUDES PERMANENT IMPACTS AREAS FROM WETLAND AND BUFFERS AS A RESULT OF STREAM WIDENING.

REFERENCE: APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE, BELLEVUE, WA 98007	MITIGATION SUMMARY TABLE
	PROPOSED PROJECT: VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT DATE: SHEET 12 OF 20



REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007


MITIGATION SUMMARY PLAN

PROPOSED PROJECT:

VALLEY CREEK - NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

DATE:

SHEET 13 OF 20



NOTE: SELECTIVE CLEARING AREA IS APPROXIMATE. INVASIVE SPECIES SHALL BE REMOVED WHERE FOUND WITHIN THE SELECTIVE CLEARING LIMIT.

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007

MITIGATION PLAN

PROPOSED PROJECT:

VALLEY CREEK - NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

DATE:

SHEET 14 OF

GENERAL MITIGATION NOTES:

1. PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION A LICENSED SURVEYOR SHALL SURVEY, STAKE, AND FLAG LIMITS OF WORK AND WETLAND BOUNDARIES AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS.
2. SELECTIVELY CLEAR AND GRUB BUFFERS AND WETLAND INFILL PLANTING AREAS. FIELD ADJUST PLANTING AROUND EXISTING VEGETATION AS NECESSARY. WETLAND BIOLOGIST / LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT SHALL APPROVE FINAL LAYOUT IN FIELD.
3. BUFFER AND WETLAND INFILL PLANTING AREAS SHALL BE PIT AMENDED WITH TOPSOIL TYPE A AS SPECIFIED.
4. PLACE 18" DIAMETER X 3" DEEP BARK MULCH RINGS AROUND TREES AND SHRUBS IN BUFFER INFILL AREAS. WETLAND INFILL AREAS SHALL RECEIVE COMPOST MULCH RINGS.
5. ALL PLANTINGS SHALL RECEIVE DRIWATER GEL OR APPROVED EQUAL. PLANTS SHALL BE MANUALLY WATERED (E.G. WATERING TRUCK, OR IRRIGATION BAGS) BY THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PLANT ESTABLISHMENT PERIOD. CONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT IRRIGATION METHOD FOR APPROVAL BY OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE. ALL PLANTS SHALL BE WATERED AS NEEDED DURING INSTALLATION AND THROUGHOUT THE GROWING SEASON PERIOD (MARCH 15 TO OCTOBER 31).
6. PLANTING DATES: OCTOBER 1 THROUGH APRIL 15.

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007

MITIGATION NOTES

PROPOSED PROJECT:

VALLEY CREEK - NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

DATE:

SHEET 15 OF 20

MITIGATION PLANTING SCHEDULE

BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	SIZE	SPACING	QUANTITY						
				A-1	A-2	B-1	B-2	B-3	C-1	C-2
TREES										
FRAXINUS LATIFOLIA	OREGON ASH	2 GAL.	8' O.C.	1		9	1	3		
PICEA SITCHENSIS	SITKA SPRUCE	2 GAL.	8' O.C.	1						
POPULUS TRICHOCARPA	BLACK COTTONWOOD	2 GAL.	8' O.C.	1		3	1			
PRUNUS EMARGINATA	BITTER CHERRY	2 GAL.	8' O.C.	2						
PSEUDOTSUGA MENZIESII	DOUGLAS FIR	2 GAL.	8' O.C.	2	1	15	2	3		
THUJA PLICATA	WESTERN RED CEDAR	2 GAL.	8' O.C.	1		10	1			
TSUGA HETEROPHYLLA	WESTERN HEMLOCK	2 GAL.	8' O.C.	1		9				

SHRUBS

ACER CIRCINATUM	VINE MAPLE	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	3						
CORNUS STOLONIFERA	RED-OSIER DOGWOOD	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	3		18		3		
CORYLUS CORNUTA	WESTERN BEAKED HAZEL	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	3						
HOLODISCUS DISCOLOR	OCEAN SPRAY	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	3						
LONICERA INVOLUCRATA	BLACK TWINBERRY	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	6	3	18		3		
MAHONIA AQUIFOLIUM	TALL OREGON GRAPE	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	5		25	5	5		
OEMLERIA CERASIFORMIS	INDIAN PLUM	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	3		17				
PHYSOCARPUS CAPITATUS	PACIFIC NINEBARK	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	5		17				
ROSA GYMNOCARPA	DWARF ROSE	2 GAL.	4' O.C.			25	5	5		
RUBUS SPECTABILIS	SALMONBERRY	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	3		22		3		
SAMBUCUS RACEMOSA	RED ELDERBERRY	2 GAL.	4' O.C.	3		22	3	3		
SYMPHORICARPOS ALBUS	SNOWBERRY	2 GAL.	4' O.C.			22	5	3		
CORNUS STOLONIFERA	RED-OSIER DOGWOOD	LIVE STAKES	2' O.C.						60	55
SALIX LUCIDA	PACIFIC WILLOW	LIVE STAKES	2' O.C.						60	55
SALIX SCOULERIANA	SCOULER'S WILLOW	LIVE STAKES	2' O.C.						52	40
SALIX SITCHENSIS	SITKA WILLOW	LIVE STAKES	2' O.C.						75	63

NOTE: SHRUBS SHALL BE PLANTED IN CLUSTERS OF 3-5 OF THE SAME SPECIES.

HYDROSEED SCHEDULE

VARIETY	% BY WEIGHT	MIN % GERM.
COLONIAL BENTGRASS	10%	85%
RED CREEPING FESCUE	45%	80%
PERENNIAL RYEGRASS	45%	90%
APPLICATION RATE:		90 LBS/ACRE
CANFOR WOOD CELLULOSE ECO-FIBER MULCH:		2,000 LBS/ACRE
NUTRICULTURE SEED STARTER FERTILIZER (16-45-7):		200 LBS/ACRE
CANFOR ECO-TAC GUAR TACKIFIER:		60 LBS/ACRE
STAY MOIST MOISTURE RETENTION AGENT:		60 LBS/ACRE

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH
AVE NE, BELLEVUE, WA 98007

PROPOSED PROJECT:

VALLEY CREEK - NE 21ST ST
FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

MITIGATION PLANTING SCHEDULE

DATE:


SHEET 16 OF

9/28/2022 2:53:54 PM \\SHADAM\Project_Sets\00138.ctb 0- B- HVLP-285 21'00" Valley Crk Phase 1\Date Analytical\CAD\WPA-figures\ / mitigation plant layout.cwg
-catherstone, Jean

PLANT MATERIAL SETBACK CHART

PLANT TYPE	GUARDRAIL BARRIER	EDGE OF ROADWAY	WALL	FENCE	SIGNS	EX. TREE TRUNK	EX. VEG. MASS
EVERGREEN TREE	10'	10'	10'	10'	15'	15'	10'
DECIDUOUS TREE	10'	10'	10'	10'	15'	15'	10'
SHRUB	5'	10'	5'	6'	6'	10'	10'

TYPICAL SETBACKS FOR PLANT MATERIAL UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER DURING LAYOUT AND STAKING OF PLANT LOCATIONS. DISTANCE NOTED IS TO STEM OR TRUNK OF PLANT.



DETAIL


PLANTING AREA LAYOUT
SCALE: NTS

REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE
LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007


MITIGATION DETAILS

PROPOSED PROJECT:
VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT
DATE: SHEET 17 OF 20



DETAIL


LIVE STAKING PLANTING
SCALE: NTS



DETAIL


SHRUB PLANTING
SCALE: NTS

REFERENCE:	APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE, BELLEVUE, WA 98007	PROPOSED PROJECT: VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT	MITIGATION PLANTING DETAILS
			DATE: SHEET 18 OF 20



DETAIL


DECIDUOUS TREE PLANTING
SCALE: NTS



DETAIL

EVERGREEN TREE PLANTING
SCALE: NTS

REFERENCE:	APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE, BELLEVUE, WA 98007	PROPOSED PROJECT: VALLEY CREEK – NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT	MITIGATION PLANTING DETAILS DATE: SHEET 19 OF 20



REFERENCE:

APPLICANT: CITY OF BELLEVUE

LOCATION: NE 21ST STREET EAST OF 140TH AVE NE,
BELLEVUE, WA 98007

DRIVING DIRECTIONS FROM SR 520

PROPOSED PROJECT:

VALLEY CREEK - NE 21ST ST FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT

DATE:

SHEET 20 OF 20